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Abstract

**Objective:** To determine if negative social interactions are prospectively associated with hypertension among older adults.

**Methods:** This is a secondary analysis of data from the 2006 and 2010 waves of the Health and Retirement Study, a survey of community-dwelling older adults (age >50). Total average negative social interactions were assessed at baseline by averaging the frequency of negative interactions across four domains (partner, children, other family, friends). Blood pressure was measured at both waves. Individuals were considered to have hypertension if they reported use of antihypertensive medications, had measured average resting systolic blood pressure $\geq 140$ mmHg, or measured average resting diastolic blood pressure $\geq 90$ mmHg. Analyses excluded those hypertensive at baseline and controlled for demographics, personality, positive social interactions, and baseline health.

**Results:** Twenty-nine percent of participants developed hypertension over the four-year follow-up. Each one-unit increase in the total average negative social interaction score was associated with a $38\%$ increased odds of developing hypertension. Sex moderated the association between total average negative social interactions and hypertension, with effects observed among women but not men. The association of total average negative interactions and hypertension in women was attributable primarily to interactions with friends, but also to negative interactions with family and partners. Age also moderated the association between total average negative social interactions and hypertension, with effects observed among those ages 51-64, but not those ages $\geq 65$.

**Conclusion:** In this sample of older adults, negative social interactions were associated with increased hypertension risk in women and the youngest older adults.
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Numerous studies have evaluated the role of social relationships in cardiovascular outcomes. Most have focused on structural aspects of social ties, such as social network size (number of social ties), social network diversity (number of different types of social ties), or marital status. For example, individuals who are more socially isolated (i.e. have fewer types of social ties) demonstrate higher resting blood pressure (Bland, Krogh, Winkelstein, & Trevisan, 1991), greater cardiovascular mortality risk (Eng, Rimm, Fitzmaurice, & Kawachi, 2002; Kaplan, Salonen, Cohen, Brand, Syme, & Puska, 1988; Kawachi, Colditz, Ascherio, Rimm, Giovannucci, Stampfer, & Willett, 1996), poorer prognosis following myocardial infarction (Ruberman, Weinblatt, Goldberg, & Chaudhary, 1984) and poorer post-stroke recovery (Colantonio, Kasl, Ostfeld, & Berkman, 1993) than their less isolated counterparts. Several studies also link marital status with cardiovascular mortality, with unmarried persons demonstrating greater mortality risk than married individuals (e.g., De Leon, Appels, Otten, & Schouten, 1992; Malyutina, Bobak, Simonova, Gafarov, Nikitin, & Marmot, 2004).

Fewer studies, however, have focused on qualitative aspects of social relationships. Of these, most have concentrated on the positive aspects. For example, individuals who perceive that they have more social support available from their social networks demonstrate greater survival after myocardial infarction (Berkman, Leo-Summers & Horwitz, 1992), lower incidence of coronary heart disease (Orth-Gomer, Rosengren, & Wilhelmsen, 1993), lower resting blood pressure (Dressler, Dos Santos, & Viteri, 1986; Uchino, Cacioppo, Malarkey, Glaser, & Kiecolt-Glaser, 1995; Uchino, Uno, & Holt-Lunstad, 1999), and less cardiovascular reactivity to acute stress (Kamarck, Manuck, & Jennings, 1990; Lepore, Allen & Evans, 1993; Uchino & Garvey, 1997).
The effects of *negative* aspects of social relationships on cardiovascular outcomes, however, have received less attention. By negative social interactions, we mean exchanges or behaviors that involve excessive demands, criticism, disappointment, or other unpleasantness. Here we focus on the role of negative interactions in risk for hypertension. Up until now, support for an association of negative interactions with elevated blood pressure has been limited to a cross-sectional study (de Gaudemaris, Levant, Ehlinger, Hérin, Lepage, Soulat, et al. 2011), a prospective study predicting *self-reported* hypertension (Wickrama, Lorenz, Wallace, Peiris, Conger, & Elder, 2001) and several experimental studies (e.g., Ewart, Taylor, Kraemer, & Agras, 1991; Kiecolt-Glaser & Newton, 2001; Smith, Uchino, MacKenzie, Hicks, Campo, Reblin et al., 2012). Cross-sectional studies provide evidence for an association between negative interactions and blood pressure, but leave the temporal ordering uncertain. The study of self-reported disease suffers in that, at best, self-report is a weak marker of objectively verified hypertension. Finally, experimental studies are limited in that they do not reflect negative interactions as they are experienced in natural social networks and assess short-term changes in blood pressure that quickly return to baseline.

The purpose of the current study was to examine the effects of negative social interactions on the incidence of hypertension, a major risk factor for cardiovascular disease, stroke, and mortality among older adults. Negative social interactions may be especially relevant for older adults, since they have smaller social networks and fewer types of social relationships (Fung, Carstensen, & Lang, 2001), as well as greater (age-related) vulnerability to cardiovascular disease. The study is prospective, uses objective assessments of blood pressure, pursues a range of potential mechanisms that may link negative interactions to hypertension, tests whether the association of negative interactions and onset of hypertension are moderated by sex or by age,
and evaluates whether associations are independent of stable individual differences in social personality traits (e.g., extraversion, agreeableness, hostility, neuroticism) or by levels of positive interaction.

**Mechanisms Linking Negative Interactions to Hypertension**

One possible mechanism through which negative social interactions might be linked to hypertension among older adults is through their effects on psychological well-being. Exposure to relationships with high levels of adverse exchange and conflict may induce psychological distress, which has adverse effects on health (Cohen, 2004). Negative social interactions have been linked to poor psychological outcomes, including greater depressed mood (Ingram, Jones, Fass, Neidig, & Song, 1999; Lincoln, 2008; Schuster, Kessler, & Aseltine, 1990), decreased psychological well-being (Finch, Okun, Barrera, Zautra, & Reich, 1989; Rook, 1984; Rook, 1998), and greater risk of major depressive disorder (Lincoln & Chae, 2012; Wade & Kendler, 2000). Depressed mood (Davidson, Jonas, Dixon, & Markovitz, 2000; Rutledge & Hogan, 2002), well-being (Levenstein, Smith, & Kaplan, 2001; Rutledge & Hogan, 2002) and major depressive disorder (Patten, Williams, Lavorato, Campbell, Eliasziw, & Campbell, 2009) have all been found to predict hypertension.

Negative social interactions may also be linked to increased hypertension risk through their effects on health behaviors. By increasing psychological stress, negative social interactions may promote harmful coping behaviors, including increased tobacco and alcohol use and physical inactivity (Cohen, 2004). Tobacco use (Bowman, Gaziano, Buring, & Sesso, 2007; Halperin, Gaziano, & Sesso, 2008); alcohol consumption (Witteman, Willett, Stampfer, Colditz, Kok, Sacks et al., 1990), and physical inactivity (Paffenbarger, Wing, Hyde, & Jung, 1983) are established risk factors for hypertension.
Effects of Negative Interactions may be Modified by Sex and Age

We were particularly interested in the possibility that negative social interactions might be most harmful for women. Women are thought to be more sensitive to the quality of their social interactions, particularly to negative ones. For example, women have more negative psychological responses to social stress than men (Bakker, Ormel, Verhulst, & Oldehinkel, 2010; Rudolph, Ladd & Dinella, 2007; Shih, Eberhart, Hammen, & Brennan, 2006), are more bothered by negative social exchanges than men (Newsom, Rook, Nishishiba, Sorkin, & Mahan, 2005), demonstrate more cortisol and cardiovascular reactivity to interpersonal laboratory stress (Kiecolt-Glaser & Newton, 2001; Stroud, Salovey, & Epel, 2002) and have greater parasympathetic withdrawal in response to interpersonal conflict (Bloor, Uchino, Hicks & Smith, 2004; Smith, Uchino, Berg, Florsheim, Pearce, Hawkins et al., 2009; Smith, Cribbet, Nealey-Moore, Uchino, Williams, MacKenzie et al., 2011).

We also expected that age might moderate the association between negative social interactions and hypertension risk. As people age, they decrease the size of their social networks, possibly to devote more time, attention and emotional resources to relationships with close friends and family (Carstensen, 1992; Carstensen, 1993; Carstensen, Gotman, & Levenson, 1995; Fung, Carstensen, & Lang, 2001). Having fewer social relationships may exacerbate the effects of negative interactions, since there would be fewer other network members with whom one may have positive interactions to buffer the effects of aversive ones.

Alternatively, increasing age may provide protection from the deleterious effects of negative interactions. As people get older, they adapt to negative aspects of their relationships and perceive them as less problematic (Akiyama, Antonucci, Takahashi, & Langfahl, 2003; Hansson, R. O., Jones, W. H., & Fletcher, 1990). There are also age differences in the strategies
that individuals use for handling interpersonal difficulties that make older adults less vulnerable to the adverse effects of negative interactions. For example, Birditt & Fingerman (2005) found that older adults were more likely to report loyalty strategies (e.g. doing nothing) in response to interpersonal conflict, while younger adults were more likely to report exit strategies (e.g. yelling). Similarly, Diehl and colleagues (1996) observed that older people demonstrated more impulse control, less outward aggression, and more positive appraisals of conflict situations than younger people.

**Alternative Explanations**

We include a group of standard control variables because of the possibility that they may cause or contribute to both the occurrence of negative interactions and risk for hypertension. These include demographic characteristics (age, sex, marital status, race/ethnicity, education, employment status), and markers of baseline health (history of chronic illnesses, baseline systolic/diastolic blood pressure). We also consider the possibility that associations between negative interactions and health may be attributable to personality characteristics that contribute to both the quality of interactions and to health outcomes. For example, low extraversion and agreeableness and high hostility and neuroticism have been associated with both higher levels of conflictive social relationships (Berry, Willingham, and Thayer, 2000; Brondolo, Rieppi, Erickson, Bagiella, Shapiro, McKinley, & Sloan, 2003; Lincoln, 2008) and dysregulated cardiovascular function (extraversion: Miller, Cohen, Rabin, Skoner & Doyle, 1999; Shipley, Weiss, Der, Taylor & Deary, 2007; agreeableness: Miller, Cohen, Rabin, Skoner, & Doyle, 1999; hostility: Suls & Bunde, 2005; Steptoe & Chida, 2009; Tindle, Chang, Kuller, Manson, Robinson, Rosal et al., 2009; neuroticism: Shipley, Weiss, Der, Taylor & Deary, 2007).
Finally, those with higher levels of negative interactions are likely to also have lower levels of positive ones (e.g., Okun & Keith, 1998). This inverse correlation leaves the possibility that what appears to be an association with more negative experiences may in fact be attributable to having fewer positive ones. Thus, we conduct additional analyses to evaluate the association between negative social interactions and hypertension controlling for positive interactions.

**Methods**

**Participants and Design**

This study is a secondary analysis of data from the 2006 and 2010 waves of the Health and Retirement Study (HRS), a large-scale longitudinal study of community-dwelling older adults (aged > 50 years). The HRS sampling methods and study design have been previously documented (Heeringa, & Connor, 1995; Juster & Suzman, 1995). Briefly, the HRS uses a national area probability sample of U.S. households; the sample includes individuals aged >50 years and (when applicable) their partners. A total of 18,469 individuals provided data at baseline (2006 wave). Fifty percent of this sample was randomly selected to participate in enhanced face-to-face interviews including questions assessing demographics, health status, health behaviors, negative interactions and psychological well-being. The interview period also included a blood pressure assessment. Of the participants invited to be interviewed, 7,144 provided interview and blood pressure data at baseline (2006 wave). Of these 6,817 also provided blood pressure data at the 4-year follow-up. The mean follow-up time was 50.18 months (SD 4.06; range 39-61 months).

From the sample with blood pressure data at both assessments, we excluded all participants who were hypertensive at baseline, which included those using antihypertensive medications (n=3,778) and those with baseline blood pressure readings in the hypertensive range (average
resting systolic blood pressure ≥ 140 mmHg or average resting diastolic blood pressure ≥90 mmHg; n=1023). Then, we excluded 371 individuals who were missing data on at least one of our control variables and 1 individual who provided incomplete negative interaction data. Finally, we excluded 142 individuals who died during the follow-up period. Our final sample included 1502 participants (Table 1) who were 84.8% Non-Hispanic White, 6.8% Hispanic, 6.5% Non-Hispanic Black, and 1.9% other racial/ethnic backgrounds. Participants were 59.8% female and ages 51-91 years at baseline (mean age 64.28; SD 8.95). When comparing our sample to those who provided blood pressure data during the 2006 wave but were excluded, our sample tended to be younger, employed, more educated, more likely to be nonsmokers, and married (Table 1). These variables are all well-established associates of hypertension, the screening variable responsible for over 90% of those not meeting criteria for inclusion in our analysis. Our sample included a mixture of individuals (80.6%) and of couples who both participated in the study (19.4%).

Negative Social Interactions

Negative social interactions were assessed in the self-administered psychosocial questionnaire across four domains: relationships with spouse/partner, children, other family, and friends (adapted from Krause, 1995). Four questions were used to evaluate negative interactions in each domain: 1) How often do they make too many demands on you?; 2) How much do they criticize you?; 3) How much do they let you down when you are counting on them?; and 4) How much do they get on your nerves? Responses for each question were coded on a 4-point scale ranging from 1 (not at all) to 4 (a lot). We calculated mean scores for each of the 4 domains [friends (n=1437; mean=1.43; SD 0.48; range 1-4), partner (n=1141; mean=1.98; SD 0.66; range 1-4), children (n=1360; mean=1.75; SD 0.63; range 1-4), and other family (n=1441; mean=1.62;
SD 0.62; range 1-4)) by averaging across item scores within each domain. A domain score was set to missing if more than two items had missing values in accordance with the scoring guidelines established by the HRS coordinating center (Clarke, Fisher, House, & Weir, 2008). To create a total average negative interaction score (range from 1 to 4), we averaged the scores across the four domains. Total scores were calculated using only scored domains, and only for those with a score for at least one of the 4 domains. This method for calculating an average score for this questionnaire has been commonly used in studies of negative social interactions and health (Friedman, Karlamangla, Almeida, & Seeman, 2012; Newsom, Mahan, Rook, & Krause, 2008; Seeman, Berkman, Blazer, & Rowe, 1994; Tun, Miller-Martinez, Lachman, & Seeman, 2013) and reflects the average level of negativity across interaction domains.

**Outcome Measure**

Blood pressure assessments were performed in both 2006 and 2010 by study staff who underwent repeated training (Crimmins, Guyer, Langa, Ofstedal, Wallace, & Weir, 2008). Resting blood pressure values were based on the average of the 3 blood pressure measurements, 45 seconds apart, taken on the respondent’s left arm using an automated blood pressure monitor (Crimmins et al., 2008). Hypertension was defined as either self-reported use of antihypertensive medications, or an average resting systolic blood pressure ≥140 mmHg, or average resting diastolic blood pressure ≥90 mmHg (Chobanian, Bakris, Black, Cushman, Green, Izzo et al., 2003).

**Control Variables**

Demographics, baseline blood pressure and health, personality variables and positive social interactions were included as “standard” controls in all analyses. Hours of volunteering
(related to hypertension in previous analysis of this data set [Sneed & Cohen, 2013]), also assessed at baseline, were added in separate analyses. All categorical breakdowns were based on the original categories from the HRS dataset and were dummy coded in the analyses.

*Baseline blood pressure* assessments are described in the section on outcome measures.

*Demographic* controls included age (continuous), sex (male/female), self-reported race (Non-Hispanic White, Non-Hispanic Black, Hispanic, Non-Hispanic Other), education (less than high school, high school diploma, General Equivalency Diploma [GED], some college, college and above), marital status (married, annulled, never married, divorced, separated, widowed), and employment status (employed/not employed).

*Self-reported history of illness* included 7 separate dummy coded variables evaluating history of diabetes (yes/no), cancer (yes/no), heart problems (yes/no), stroke (yes/no), memory problems (yes/no), arthritis (yes/no) and lung problems (yes/no).

*Personality characteristics* included cynical hostility, neuroticism, extraversion, and agreeableness. All were continuous variables assessed at baseline via self-report questionnaire. Cynical hostility was measured by 5 items from the Cook-Medley Hostility Inventory (Cook & Medley, 1954) as per HRS protocol. Participants rated the extent to which they agreed with each item on a scale from 1 (strongly disagree) to 6 (strongly agree). An index of cynical hostility was created by averaging the scores across all items. Neuroticism, extraversion, and agreeableness were assessed using 16 adjectives (6 for neuroticism, 5 for extraversion, 5 for agreeableness) from the Midlife Development Inventory (MIDI) personality scales (Lachman & Weaver, 1997). Participants rated the extent to which each adjective described them on a scale ranging from 1 (not at all) to 4 (a lot). Scores for each of these personality characteristics were created by averaging the scores across the corresponding items.
Positive social interactions were assessed as social support and measured in each of the 4 social domains (partner, children, other family, friends). Within each domain, participants answered the following 3 items on a scale from 1 (never) to 4 (a lot): 1) How much do they really understand the way you feel about things?; 2) How much can you rely on them if you have a serious problem?; and 3) How much can you open up to them if you need to talk about your worries? We created a total average positive social interaction score by averaging responses to these items across domains. Total scores were calculated using only scored domains, and only for those with a score for at least one of the 4 domains.

Finally, we assessed self-reported hours of volunteer work in the 12 months prior to baseline (none, 1 to 49 hours, 50 to 99 hours, 100 to 199 hours, 200 or more). The categories for volunteerism were pre-established by HRS study staff.

Potential Mediating Variables

The following variables were assessed at baseline and follow-up and tested as potential mediating variables: alcohol use, tobacco use, physical activity, body mass index, and 9 measures of psychological well-being.

Alcohol use (Witteman et al., 1990), tobacco use (Bowman et al., 2007; Halperin et al., 2008), and physical activity (Paffenbarger et al., 1983) are all typically associated with blood pressure. The measures used for evaluating these variables are based on standard instruments typically used in large-scale epidemiological studies. Alcohol use was based on participant responses to the following 3 questions: 1) Do you ever drink any alcoholic beverages such as beer, wine, or liquor?; 2) In the last three months, on average, how many days per week have you had any alcohol to drink?; and 3) In the last three months, on the days you drink, about how many drinks do you have? The average number of drinks per week for each participant was
determined by multiplying average number of days per week drinking by average number of
drinks per day. Similarly, tobacco use was based on participant responses to the following 2
questions: 1) Do you smoke cigarettes now?; and 2) About how many cigarettes or packs do you
usually smoke in a day now? Responses were used to calculate the average number of cigarettes
per day for each participant, with each pack of cigarettes corresponding to 20 cigarettes.

Physical activity was assessed by questions regarding frequency of participation in
vigorous or moderate sports or activities using these categories: more than once a week, once a
week, one to three times a month, or hardly ever/never. Vigorous activities included the
following examples: running, jogging, swimming, cycling, aerobics, a gym workout, tennis, or
digging with a spade or shovel. Moderate activities included the following examples: gardening,
cleaning the car, walking at a moderate pace, dancing, or floor or stretching exercises. We used
a summary physical activity variable previously used in the English Longitudinal Study of Aging
(McMunn, Hyde, Janevic, & Kumari, 2003) to organize vigorous and moderate physical activity
into 5 ordinal categories ranging from 0 (sedentary) to 4 (active).

We also included body mass index as a potential mediator because it is a marker of
adiposity (Keys, Fidanza, Karvonen, Kimura, & Taylor, 1972) and a risk factor for adverse
cardiovascular outcomes (Wilson, D'Agostino, Sullivan, Parise, & Kannel, 2002). We
hypothesized that negative social interactions may cause changes in health behaviors (e.g. poor
diet, physical inactivity) that might lead to greater adiposity. Body mass index was calculated as
weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared.

Standard scales representing nine individual psychological constructs (Table 2 ) were
used to measure psychological well-being at baseline and four-year follow-up: personal control,
purpose in life, life satisfaction, positive affect, optimism, loneliness, hopelessness, negative
affect, and pessimism. Scores on each measure were determined by averaging the scores across the individual items. Scores were set to missing if more than 50% of individual items for each respective measure had missing values.

**Statistical Analyses**

Our primary analytic strategy focused on evaluating the association between total average negative social interactions and hypertension risk and on determining the extent to which age and sex modified the association between negative social interactions and hypertension. A secondary objective was to identify factors that might mediate the association between negative social interactions and hypertension. Finally, we performed additional exploratory analyses based on our initial primary analyses in order to better understand associations between total average negative social interactions and hypertension risk.

Logistic regression was used to evaluate the relationship between total average negative social interactions (across all 4 domains) and hypertension. Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were used to estimate the risk for each one-unit of increase in the total average negative interaction score. We also calculated domain-specific odds ratios and 95% CIs to determine if effects were driven by any particular domain. All odds ratios reflect a change in the likelihood of developing hypertension for every one-unit increase in the negative interactions scale (range 1 to 4).

To evaluate the extent to which the potential mediating variables linked negative social interactions with hypertension, we used the PROCESS macro (Hayes, 2013), a computational tool for path analysis-based mediation analysis with dichotomous outcomes. This analytical method uses a logistic regression-based approach to estimate direct and indirect effects in multiple mediator models. Bootstrap methods are used to draw inferences about indirect effects.
We standardized the average scores for all of the psychological variables, and tested for mediation in three ways: 1) using baseline values of each individual mediator, 2) using values of each individual mediator at follow-up, and 3) evaluating residual change (entering both baseline and follow-up) in each potential mediator from baseline to follow-up. With each approach, the potential mediators were entered into the model both individually and simultaneously. Mediation was supported if addition of these covariates substantially reduced the association of negative social interactions with hypertension (Sobel, 1982).

To determine if either age or sex moderate the associations observed between negative social interactions (across all 4 domains and within each domain) and hypertension, we used first-order cross product terms for total average negative social interactions and these proposed modifier variables. Interaction terms were entered into individual regression equations with the corresponding main effects and control variables.

Finally, since our sample included a mixture of individuals and couples, it is possible that interdependence of data for partners might bias the results. To address this, we reanalyzed our data including all individual participants in addition to a randomly selected member of each couple (selected using a random sequence generator). This resulted in a reduction of power (decreased sample size from 1502 to 1356) but eliminated the possibility of correlated partner responses. We then repeated the analyses using the other member of each couple in the sample.

**Results**

*Control Variables and Hypertension*
Of the 1502 study participants included in our analyses, 445 (29.6%) were hypertensive at follow-up. When entered into the logistic regression model simultaneously, the following standard control variables were related to increased hypertension risk: older age: \( B = 0.03, p < 0.001 \), Hispanic ethnicity (compared to Non-Hispanic Whites; \( B = 0.79; p = .001 \)), self-reported history of diabetes (\( B = 0.52; p = .008 \)), greater average baseline systolic (\( B = 0.04; p < .001 \)) and diastolic blood pressure (\( B = 0.03; p = .003 \)) and higher levels of agreeableness (\( B = 0.38; p = .03 \)). Having less than a high school education was associated with decreased hypertension risk (\( B = -0.75; p = 0.04 \)). The other covariates were not related to hypertension risk.

**Negative Interactions and Hypertension**

The mean total average negative interaction score for study participants was 1.67 (SD= 0.45; Table 3). In a regression including the standard covariates, total average negative interactions across the 4 domains predicted greater hypertension risk (Table 3; OR: 1.38; 95% CI: 1.00-1.89). Given that hours of volunteer work were related to hypertension risk in a previous analysis of this data set (Sneed & Cohen, 2013), we performed additional analyses simultaneously entering volunteerism and total average negative social interactions into a regression model, adjusting for our standard controls. Here, total average negative social interactions were independently associated with greater hypertension risk (OR: 1.39; 95% CI: 1.01-1.91). Further, even after adjusting for negative interactions, those who volunteered at least 200 hours per year were less likely to develop hypertension than nonvolunteers (OR: 0.57; 95% CI: 0.36-0.89).

We were also interested in whether the association between negative interactions and hypertension was driven by negative interactions in any particular social domain. Domain-specific analyses demonstrated that negative interactions were associated with increased...
hypertension risk when examining relationships with one’s friends (Table 3; OR 1.36; 95% CI: 1.04-1.78), and family other than children or partner (Table 3; OR: 1.33; 95% CI: 1.07-1.65).

There were no main effects of negative interactions with children (Table 3; 1.01; 95% CI: 0.80-1.28) or partner (Table 3; OR 1.15; 95% CI: 0.90-1.48) on hypertension.

**Sex and Age as Modifiers**

We also evaluated how sex and age might modify the association between total average negative interactions and hypertension risk. We found that sex moderated the association between the total average negative interaction score and hypertension (Figure 1; B=0.7; p=.02). The relationship between the total average negative interaction score and hypertension was not observed among men (OR: 0.88; 95% CI=0.50-1.53), but was pronounced among women (OR: 1.87; 95% CI: 1.25-2.79). In domain-specific analyses, we observed that sex moderated the association between negative interactions with friends and hypertension (B=0.93; p<.001). Among men, there was no association between negative friend interactions and hypertension (OR: 0.69; 95% CI: 0.43-1.12). Among women, however, negative interactions with friends predicted increased hypertension risk (OR: 2.15; 95% CI: 1.51-3.08). Similar, but nonsignificant patterns were found for the interactions of sex and negative interactions with partner (B=0.31; p=0.15) and family (0.37; p=.07), but not children (B=.11; p=.61).

Age also moderated the association between total average negative social interactions and hypertension (B=-0.03; p=.02). There was no association between total average negative social interactions and hypertension among participants aged ≥ 65 years (OR: 1.16; 95% CI: 0.72-1.86). Among those ages 51-64, however, total average negative social interactions were associated with increased hypertension risk (OR: 1.67; 95% CI: 1.06-2.62). In domain-specific analyses, we observed that age moderated the association between negative partner interactions
and hypertension (B=-0.03; p<.01). Further analyses suggest that the effects of negative partner interactions were most potent for the youngest participants. Similar, but nonsignificant patterns were found for the interactions of sex and negative interactions with children (B=-0.02; p=0.08) and family (-0.12; p=.18), but not friends (B=-.006; p=.71).

Finally, we tested the three way interaction of age, sex, and negative interactions by entering it into a model with all the main effects and 2-way interactions. There was no three-way interaction of these variables in predicting hypertension (B=.009; p=0.31).

We considered the possibility that blood pressure measurements from study participants might be artificially inflated due to the white coat effect (i.e. spuriously high blood pressure readings in response to the clinical environment; Mancia, Grassi, Pomidossi, Gregorini, Bertinieri, Parati et al., 1983; Mancia, Parati, Pomidossi, Grassi, Casadei, & Zanchetti, 1987). To account for this, we repeated our main analyses by dropping the first blood pressure reading and averaging the last 2 readings. In doing so, there is no longer a main effect of total average negative interactions (OR: 1.15; 95% CI: 0.83-1.59) or friend interactions (OR 0.81; 95% CI 0.61-1.06). The remaining results were the same for negative family interactions (OR 0.80; 95% 0.64-1.00), and there was still no association between negative partner (OR 0.87; 95% CI 0.68-1.11) or child (OR 1.07; 95% CI 0.85-1.36) interactions. The effects of negative social interactions were still similarly modified by sex (B=0.66; p=0.03) and age (B=-0.03; p=0.03).

**Accounting for Partners in Same Analysis**

To address the issue of interdependence of date for partners, we reanalyzed our data including all individual participants in addition to a randomly selected member of each couple.
We observed virtually identical results with total average negative interactions associated with increased hypertension risk (OR: 1.42; 95% CI: 1.02-1.98) and statistical interactions of negative social interactions by sex (B=0.72; p=.02) and age (B=-0.03; p=.04). As in the analysis of the entire sample, total average negative social interactions were associated with hypertension risk among women (OR: 1.93; 95% CI: 1.27-2.94) but not men (OR: 0.90; 95% CI: 0.49-1.63) and among those ages 51-64 (OR: 1.76; 95% CI: 1.09-2.84) but not those 65 and older (1.11; 95% CI: 0.68-1.81). We repeated the analyses using the other member of each couple in the sample and again found that total average negative interactions predicted greater risk for hypertension (OR: 1.42; 95% CI: 1.02-1.96) and that age (B=-0.04; p=.02) and sex (B=0.71; p=0.02) interacted with negative interactions in the same manner as described above. Total average negative social interactions were associated with increased hypertension risk among women (OR: 1.86; 95% CI: 1.23-2.81) but not men (OR: 0.93; 95% CI: 0.52-1.66) and among those ages 51-64 (OR: 1.64; 95% CI: 1.03-2.61) but not those 65 and older (OR: 1.22; 95% CI: 0.75-1.98).

Mediation

Finally, we explored factors that might mediate the association between negative interactions and hypertension risk, conducting separate mediation analyses for the entire sample, for women and for participants ages 51-64. There were no indirect effects of negative social interactions on hypertension through any of our potential mediators (body mass index, alcohol use, tobacco use, physical activity, or the 9 individual measures of psychological well-being).

Discussion

We found that total average negative social interaction scores were associated with increased hypertension risk in a sample of community-dwelling adults aged >50 years.
Specifically, each one-unit increase in an individual’s score resulted in a 38% increased odds of hypertension over a 4-year follow-up. This association persisted even after controlling for demographics, personality variables, and positive social interactions. Importantly, this association was independent of volunteerism, which had been associated with decreased hypertension risk in a previous analysis of this dataset (Sneed & Cohen, 2013). Both negative social interactions and volunteerism exerted distinct, independent effects on hypertension risk when evaluated simultaneously. Our findings are consistent with literature linking acute negative social interactions to short-term elevated blood pressure responses in younger adults (Ewart et al., 1991; Kiecolt-Glaser & Newton, 2001; Smith et al., 2012) and suggest that negative interactions may contribute to long-term alterations in blood pressure regulation.

Total average negative interaction scores predicted hypertension risk among women, but not among men. This association was driven primarily by women’s negative interactions with friends, and to a lesser degree, partners and family. There are several possible reasons why women but not men were more impacted by negative relationships. There is evidence that women care more about and pay more attention to their social interactions (Coriell & Cohen, 1995) and have greater expectations of their social relationships than men. In a study of older adults ages 50-97 years, Felmlee & Muraco (2009) observed that women demonstrated greater disapproval of behavior that violated friendship rules, such as betrayal of confidence, failure to confide in them, or not standing up for them when someone criticized them. These greater expectations may lead to greater distress among women when such relationship expectations are not met. Further, women report more intimacy, closeness and self-disclosure in their social relationships than men (Sheets & Lugar, 2006; Singleton & Vacca, 2007). This greater intimacy may intensify reactions to conflict when it arises (Crick, 1995; Crick & Grotpeter, 1995).
Finally, women and men often have different strategies for responding to and resolving conflict. In laboratory marital conflict discussions, for example, women are more likely to confront the conflict directly, whereas men tend to withdraw (Carstensen, Gottman & Levenson, 1995). This difference in conflict management style may also have implications for cardiovascular response to aversive social situations.

We also found that age moderated the association between negative social interactions and hypertension risk, with effects observed among younger (approximately 51 to 64 years) but not older (≥65 years) participants. These findings suggest that negative social interactions are particularly potent for the “sandwiched generation”, those within the later years of midlife who typically bear responsibility for both their own children as well as aging parents. Negative social interactions may only exacerbate the adverse effects of existing life stressors among individuals in this age group. Our observation that negative social interactions were not related to hypertension risk among the oldest participants (≥65 years) is consistent with evidence that, as people get older, they may adapt to negative aspects of their relationships and perceive them as less problematic (Akiyama et al., 2003). It is also possible that the oldest adults have more adaptive strategies for handling interpersonal difficulties that render such interactions less potent with respect to hypertension risk.

We also found no main or interaction effects linking negative interactions with children to hypertension. This is consistent with recent evidence from the MacArthur Study on Successful Aging where regular interactions with spouse and other family members were associated with pulmonary health in older adults, but interactions with children were not (Crittenden, Pressman, Cohen, Smith, & Seeman, unpublished manuscript). It is possible that individuals in this age group have lower expectations of their children than they do of other members of their social
networks. Studies have shown that adult children are willing to provide more support to their parents than their parents expected (Hamon & Blieszner, 1990; Silverstein, Chen, & Heller, 1996). Parents may also have schemas about the nature of the parent/child relationship that lead them to expect more difficult relationships with their children. They may also have greater insight into their children’s behaviors and use this to justify negative interactions in ways that reduce psychological distress. Finally, parents may simply have less face-to-face contact (or contact of any kind) with their children than with other members of their social networks, thus limiting the potential impact of any negative social interactions.

We found no psychological or behavioral explanations for the association between negative interactions and hypertension risk. It is possible that our findings may be explained by factors that were not measured in this study. For example, negative social interactions may increase maladaptive health behaviors linked to hypertension risk, such as poor sleep quality (Gangwisch, Heymsfield, Boden-Albala, Buijs, Kreier, Pickering et al., 2006) or unhealthy nutritional habits (Reddy & Katan, 2004). Our measure of physical activity also may not have been ideal for evaluating activity levels among the participants. Self-report questionnaires gauging moderate and vigorous activity may not be adequate measures of physical activity among elderly individuals, since most physical activity performed by older adults involves walking in the context of regular daily activities rather than a formal exercise regimen (Walsh, Rogot, Pressman, Cauley, & Browner, 2004). More sensitive, objective measures of physical activity (e.g. accelerometry) might be more useful in this population. There may also be physiological explanations for our findings. For example, acute conflictive social interactions are associated with short-term increases in blood pressure, greater parasympathetic withdrawal, activation of the sympathetic nervous system and the hypothalamic pituitary adrenal axis, and
increased production of inflammatory cytokines (Kiecolt-Glaser & Newton, 2001). Sustained negative social interactions may cause long-term wear and tear in these physiological systems (allostatic load), leading to an inability to effectively regulate blood pressure (McEwen, 1998). Future research should explore how additional behavioral factors (e.g., sleep habits, physical activity, diet) and physiological indicators (e.g., increased sympathetic nervous system activity) may mediate the association between negative social interactions and hypertension.

This study is not without limitations. Although the study sample is drawn from a larger, nationally representative sample of older adults, the individuals who met the inclusion criteria for this study were, in some ways, demographically different from the larger HRS sample. Consequently, we do not employ the weighting and sampling design adjustments often used to analyze HRS data (Heeringa & Connor, 1995). Thus, these findings should not be interpreted as being representative of the U.S. population of older adults. Our findings, however, are consistent with other work linking negative social interactions to adverse health outcomes (De Vogli, Chandola, & Marmot, 2007; Krause, 2005). Further, the main effects of negative social interactions were no longer significant when we reanalyzed the data by dropping the first blood pressure reading. These analyses, however, reinforce the importance of negative social interactions with family (irrespective of participant sex) and suggest that negative interactions with friends mostly matter for women.

The negative interaction questionnaire assesses four social domains (partner, friends, children, other family members) that are all traditionally considered to be close relationships. People maintain fewer peripheral relationships as they grow older (e.g., Fung, Carstensen & Lang, 2001), devoting more attention to relationships with close friends and family. Hence, the
measure used here reflects the largest and closest components of the social network in this age group, making negative social interactions in these domains particularly salient.

This study is the first to prospectively evaluate negative social interactions as a predictor of the onset of hypertension. The negative interactions reflect experiences in natural social networks. The work includes controls for multiple alternative explanations for the results, including the first test of the role of stable individual differences that could contribute to both the occurrence of negative interactions and the onset of hypertension. It is also the first study of negative interactions and hypertension to control for the potential overlap with positive social interactions. Finally, it suggests that a range of predicted mediators do not play a role in this association for this older sample.

Our sample included individuals ages 51-91 at baseline (mean age 64.28; SD 8.95). Hypertension risk increases with age, with rates reaching 70% by age 65 (McDonald, Hertz, Unger & Lustik, 2009). Thus, individuals from our sample were particularly at risk for developing hypertension during the follow-up period. In fact, 29% of the sample developed hypertension over the four-year follow-up. Given that hypertension is a major risk factor for diseases of aging, including cardiovascular disease (the number one cause of death in the United States), stroke, and mortality, the association of negative social interactions with increased hypertension risk has important public health significance. Further, interpersonal conflict is one of the most frequently reported types of chronic stress; thus, it is particularly important to understand the role of interpersonal strain in health outcomes such as hypertension.
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Table 1. Descriptive statistics of the study sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Study Sample (n=1502)</th>
<th>Excluded Participants with Blood Pressure Data at Baseline (n=5930)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean years (SD)</td>
<td>64.28 (8.95)</td>
<td>68.07 (10.99)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, n (%)</td>
<td>899(59.9)</td>
<td>3448 (58.1)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, n (%)</td>
<td>603 (40.1)</td>
<td>2482 (41.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic White, n (%)</td>
<td>1274 (84.8)</td>
<td>4394(74.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic Black, n (%)</td>
<td>97 (6.5)</td>
<td>860(14.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic, n (%)</td>
<td>102 (6.8)</td>
<td>507 (8.6)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic Other, n (%)</td>
<td>29(1.9)</td>
<td>134 (2.3)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than high school, n (%)</td>
<td>168 (11.2)</td>
<td>1243 (21.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GED, n (%)</td>
<td>69 (4.6)</td>
<td>282 (4.8)</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school graduate, n (%)</td>
<td>442 (29.4)</td>
<td>1871(31.6)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some college, n (%)</td>
<td>378 (25.2)</td>
<td>1355 (22.9)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College and above, n (%)</td>
<td>445(29.6)</td>
<td>1168 (19.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital Status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married, n (%)</td>
<td>1072 (71.4)</td>
<td>3749 (63.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annulled, n (%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>2(0.0)</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never married, n (%)</td>
<td>52 (3.5)</td>
<td>193(3.3)</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separated, n (%)</td>
<td>17 (1.1)</td>
<td>119(2.0)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced, n (%)</td>
<td>171 (11.4)</td>
<td>629 (10.6)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed, n (%)</td>
<td>190 (12.6)</td>
<td>1224(20.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment Status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed, n (%)</td>
<td>771 (51.3)</td>
<td>2042(34.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Employed, n (%)</td>
<td>731 (48.7)</td>
<td>3887(65.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking Status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Smoker</td>
<td>701 (46.8)</td>
<td>2530 (42.9)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former Smoker</td>
<td>595 (39.7)</td>
<td>2550 (43.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Smoker</td>
<td>201 (13.4)</td>
<td>820(13.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Baseline Systolic Blood Pressure, Mean (SD)</td>
<td>118.34 (11.81)</td>
<td>134.81 (21.30)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Baseline Diastolic Blood Pressure, Mean (SD)</td>
<td>74.26 (7.98)</td>
<td>80.99 (12.26)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 2.** Measures Used to Evaluate Psychological Well-Being in the 2006 (baseline) and 2010 Waves of the Health and Retirement Study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hopelessness</strong></td>
<td>Beck Hopelessness Scale (2 items; Beck, Weissman, Lester, &amp; Trexler, 1974)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Selected hopelessness items (2 items; Everson, Kaplan, Goldberg, Salonen, &amp; Salonen, 1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Life Satisfaction</strong></td>
<td>Satisfaction with Life Scale (5 items; Diener, Emmons, Larsen, &amp; Griffin, 1985)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Loneliness</strong></td>
<td>2006: UCLA Loneliness Scale (3 items; Hughes, Waite, Hawkley, &amp; Cacioppo, 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010: UCLA Loneliness Scale (11 items; Hughes, Waite, Hawkley, &amp; Cacioppo, 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Negative Affect</strong></td>
<td>2006: Negative Affect Scale from the Midlife in the United States study (MIDUS; 6 items; Mroczek &amp; Kolarz, 1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010: Positive and Negative Affect Schedule-Expanded Form (PANAS-X; 12 items; Watson &amp; Clark, 1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Optimism</strong></td>
<td>Revised Life Orientation Test (LOT-R; 3 items; Scheier, Carver, &amp; Bridges, 1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Personal Control</strong></td>
<td>Sense of Control Scales of the Midlife Developmental Inventory (5 items; Lachman &amp; Weaver, 1998a; Lachman &amp; Weaver, 1998b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pessimism</strong></td>
<td>Revised Life Orientation Test (LOT-R; 3 items; Scheier, Carver, &amp; Bridges, 1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Positive Affect</strong></td>
<td>Positive Affect Scale from the Midlife in the United States study (MIDUS; 6 items; Mroczek &amp; Kolarz, 1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Purpose in Life</strong></td>
<td>Purpose Scale of Ryff Measures of Psychological Well-Being (7 items; Ryff 1995; Keyes, Shmotkin, &amp; Ryff, 2002)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3. Logistic Regression for Association of Negative Social Interactions with Hypertension Across Domains and Within Each Social Domain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>Model 2</th>
<th>Model 3</th>
<th>Model 4</th>
<th>Model 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Average Negative Social Interactions (Average of 4 Domains)</td>
<td>1.38 (1.00-1.89)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative Interactions with Partner</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.15 (0.90-1.48)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative Interactions with Children</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.01 (0.80-1.28)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative Interactions with Other Family</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.33 (1.07-1.65)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative Interactions with Friends</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.36 (1.04-1.78)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All models adjust for age, race/ethnicity, sex, employment status, marital status, education, baseline systolic blood pressure, baseline diastolic blood pressure, extraversion, agreeableness, cynical hostility, neuroticism and self-reported history of diabetes, cancer, heart problems, arthritis, memory problems, lung problems, or stroke.
Figure 1. Association of Total Average Negative Social Interaction Scores With Hypertension By Sex.