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ABSTRACT

We propose a method for detection of semantic concepts in produced video based on style analysis. Recognition of concepts is done by applying a classifier ensemble to the detected style elements. As a case study we present a method for detecting the concept of news subject monologues. Our approach had the best average precision performance amongst 26 submissions in the 2003 TRECVID benchmark.

1. INTRODUCTION

Automatic techniques for multimodal video indexing suffer from the semantic gap. Caused by the fact that it is hard to infer content-based semantics based on the low-level features that can be extracted from visual, auditory, and textual data. In an effort to bridge this gap, we choose to restrict the domain and exploit multimodal analysis techniques. A restricted domain with widespread availability of multimodal information is the domain of produced video. Video created in a production environment, like news and feature film, requires an author who guides all facets of the creation process and imposes a certain style to express a semantic intention. When we want to analyze produced video and extract the semantics, this creation process should be inverted. Besides extraction of layout and content elements, analysis should exploit the context that is available in produced multimedia data [7, 8]. Moreover, the way the data is captured into the multimedia medium is an important stylistic element [1]. The key observation to help overcome the semantic gap in produced video is therefore that semantic concepts that appear in a video document are stylized in many ways, and that this should be exploited in the analysis.

The focus of previous work on learning semantics from multimedia data is generally based on combining content and context in a probabilistic framework [7, 8]. Drawbacks of probabilistic methods are the difficulty of integrating the information from different modalities accurately into one representation. Therefore, we propose to use discrete detectors. Moreover, by explicitly modelling multimodal layout, content, capture, and context into a common framework we are able to detect the semantics, as intended by the author of produced video, more accurately.

As a case study we focus on automatic detection of news subject monologues in the corpus of the 2003 TRECVID benchmark [11], totalling about 130 hours of produced news video from ABC, CNN, and C-SPAN. The best performing monologue detector of TREC 2002 combines detected faces and speech [5], but is only partly applicable for a news corpus, since talking anchors, reporters, and people in commercials are not distinguished. Hence, using multimodal information sources is not enough, a successful method must exploit style elements and at least include context.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. We first introduce the general framework for modelling produced video. An instance of the framework for detection of TV news monologues is presented in section 3. Experiments are discussed in section 4.

2. MODELLING PRODUCED VIDEO

To communicate an intention, an author of a video document has an arsenal of techniques to choose from [1]. We group the techniques for video creation into four style elements: layout, content, capture, and context. The layout of a video document is the combination of shots, transition edits, and special effects. By using a specific layout the author can influence the experienced rhythm of the video document and work towards a climax. With the content, an author defines the 3D world of a video document. The content is obtained by arranging people and objects in a chosen setting. With the choice of specific actors, commodities like costumes, and design of the set, the message the author wants to communicate can be strengthened. To capture the content into a multimedia representation, the author uses sensors like cameras and microphones. With capture devices an author is not only recording the content, but also expressing a style. Camera framing [1] for example, includes choices for angle, level, height, and distance. Context is an impor-
tant instrument for an author that is used to let the audience infer semantics based on their world knowledge. In a western cowboy movie for example, horses are likely to appear. Context can therefore enhance or limit the possible interpretations of the intended semantics. By exploiting style elements in a specific way an author is guiding the spectator to interpret the video document in correspondence with the author’s intention. When we want to analyze produced video, and automatically extract its semantics, this process should be inverted.

For analysis of style elements multimedia detectors can be used. To circumvent the problems introduced by using a probabilistic output for each individual detector, we require that the output of a detector is discrete. Drawback of a detector based approach is that all detectors are imperfect and generate both false positive and false negative detections. Therefore, each individual style detector can be considered a weak classifier [6]. From the pattern recognition field, the concept of classifier ensembles is well known. An ensemble is believed to benefit from the synergy of a combined use of weak learners, resulting in improved performance. This is especially the case when the various classifiers are largely independent. Multimodal analysis assures some degree of independence since the various detectors are based on different characteristics of the data and stress different style elements. Moreover, by resampling the multimedia data, variation in the data can be exploited and the influence of non-representative data, and hence noisy detections, can be reduced. The complex interplay of style elements can be modelled by a statistical classifier ensemble that is not only able to learn and detect the original author’s intention, but also to accommodate noise, resulting from the variety in multimedia data and detector performance. Within this framework the following steps can be distinguished:

- **Resampling**: Multimedia data, represented by discrete style detectors, can be resampled by creating $T$ redistributions from a data set based on specific criteria;
- **Classification**: For each iteration $t \in T$ a classifier $\gamma_t$ can be trained;
- **Combination**: The results of each $\gamma_t$ are then aggregated to form the final classifier;

An instance of the framework will be discussed next.

### 3. TV NEWS MONOLOGUE ANALYSIS

To show the merit of our approach, an experiment was carried out within the news subject monologue concept detection task of the TRECVID 2003 benchmark [11]. The corpus contained about 130 hours of produced news video from ABC, CNN, and C-SPAN. We will first discuss the detectors used, followed by the classifier architecture.

#### 3.1. Multimodal Style Detectors

For all four style element categories mentioned in section 2 detectors were developed. Because the news broadcasts from different channels were created by different authors, thresholds for individual detectors vary between stations. All detectors are optimized based on experiments using the training set. For specific implementation details we refer to [12]. For the TRECVID benchmark all results were based on the layout scheme defined by a common camera shot segmentation. Therefore all detector results, referred to as features, are synchronized to the granularity of a camera shot.

One of the most reliable cues for the presence of a person, is the detection of a human face in the visual content. Therefore, we have applied a frontal face detector [10]. For each analyzed frame in a camera shot we count the number of faces, and for each face we derive its location and use the size to compute the camera distance used for capture.

When a news subject person is given broadcast time on TV, it is common to display the name of this person to let the viewer know who is talking. For this purpose overlaid text is used. Video Optical Character Recognition (VOCR) [14] was applied to extract this text. We use the length of recognized text strings as an additional style feature, since names are mostly short. The text string was also used as input for a named entity recognizer [14]. Furthermore, the detected strings where compared, using fuzzy string matching, with a database of names of CNN and ABC affiliates.

Besides the visual presence of a person, a news subject monologue requires that someone is talking. However, the presence of speech in the content is not very informative by itself. Therefore, we exploited style elements that are related to speech. Based on the LIMSI speech detection and recognition system [3] we developed a layout related voice over detector and a content related frequent speaker detector [12]. Furthermore, the transcript [3] was compared with a set of keywords that was found to have a correlation with reporters, financial news, and commercials [12].

As considers layout, we distinguish between camera shots of short and long duration, the rationale here is that a news subject monologue has a minimum duration, since it takes some time to tell something. In addition, we also measured the average amount of motion in a camera shot [12].

The broadcasts from the TRECVID corpus contain a lot of commercials. Although they may contain monologues of people promoting a product, those should not be labelled as news subject monologues. Therefore, we used a context detector that is able to detect commercials [4]. Anchors also share many characteristics with news subject monologues, it is therefore important that we can distinguish anchors to circumvent a false interpretation. To stress this importance we used two anchor detectors [4, 14]. Note that, like all other detector results, the result of the anchor and commercial detectors are discrete camera shot features.
3.2. Classifier Architecture

For each news station a separate classifier was instantiated. The feature results of the multimodal style detectors for each camera shot are combined into our framework using bagging [2] and stacking [15]. Bagging resamples the data based on replication and deletion, and trains a classifier on each sample. For each redistribution we use a stacked classifier. In its common use, stacking combines results of different classifiers that solve the same task. The output labels of those individual classifiers are then used as input features for a stacked classifier, which learns how to combine the reliable classifiers in the ensemble and makes the final decision. However, the same technique can also be used to combine classifiers that do not solve the same task per se, but are related semantically. Hence, the discrete output of the weak classifiers discussed in section 3.1 can be used by a stacked classifier to learn new semantics.

As a stacked classifier we chose the Support Vector Machine (SVM) [13]. For the combination we use the sum rule, as it is known to outperform other methods [6]. A limitation of using a SVM in combination with stacking and bagging is that its uncalibrated classification result is not a good comparison measure for ranking. Hence, performance will be influenced by the choice for a specific ranking mechanism. Ideally, one would like to have a posterior probability measure for ranking. Hence, performance will be influenced by the choice for a specific ranking mechanism. Ideally, one would like to have a posterior probability measure that given an input pattern \( x \) returns a confidence value for a particular class \( \omega \). We consider two approaches to obtain such a confidence measure: simple and probabilistic ranking.

Simple ranking uses a threshold \( \tau \) on the uncalibrated SVM output, \( \gamma_t(x) \). This results in an abstract class label \( \delta_t(x) \), where \( \delta_t(x) = 1 \) if \( \gamma_t(x) \geq \tau \) and 0 otherwise. Typically, a value of 0 is chosen for \( \tau \). By averaging the class labels, a simple posterior probability measure can be computed:

\[
p(\omega|x) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \delta_t(x) \quad \forall x \in X
\]

where \( T \) is the number of SVMs in the ensemble and \( X \) is the number of patterns. Although this results in a ranking measure, it is not very likely to be optimal, since there is no confidence value associated to \( \delta_t(x) \).

Probabilistic ranking [9] is a more popular and stable method for SVM output conversion. The method is based on the observation that class-conditional densities between the SVM output values are approximately exponential. Therefore a sigmoid model is suggested. In our classifier architecture the output of this model is averaged over all individual classifiers, resulting in the following posterior probability:

\[
p(\omega|x) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{1}{1 + \exp(\alpha_t \gamma_t(x) + \beta_t)} \quad \forall x \in X
\]

Figure 1: TRECVID 2003 news subject monologue detection results. Column 1 shows average precision for the median system. Column 2 is the score of the second best system. Column 3 shows our best submitted run.

where the parameters \( \alpha_t \) and \( \beta_t \) are maximum likelihood estimates based on the \( t \)th redistribution of the training set [9].

The influence of the ensemble size and ranking method on the final classification result will be discussed in the next section.

4. EVALUATION

To evaluate the viability of our approach we carried out a set of experiments as part of the TRECVID benchmark. The corpus was split into an equally sized training and test set, i.e. each containing about 65 hours of produced video. For training we labelled a subset of the training set of about 29 hours, i.e. 23 ABC, 24 CNN, and all 19 C-SPAN broadcasts.

For evaluation within TRECVID the average precision, \( AP \), is used. This single-valued measure corresponds to the area under an ideal precision-recall curve and is the average of the precision value obtained after each relevant camera shot is retrieved. This metric favors highly ranked relevant camera shots. Let \( L^i = \{l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_{L_i}\} \) be a ranked version of the answer set \( A \). At any given index \( i \) let \( R \cap L^i \) be the number of relevant camera shots in the top \( i \) of \( L \), where \( R \) the total number of relevant camera shots. Then \( AP \) is defined as:

\[
AP = \frac{1}{R} \sum_{i=1}^{A} \frac{R \cap L^i}{i} \lambda(l_i)
\]

where \( \lambda(l_i) = 1 \) if \( l_i \in R \) and 0 otherwise. We used the \( AP \)
as the basic metric for the conducted experiments.

There were a total of 26 submissions for the news subject monologue detection task, the results are summarized in figure 1. Our best run was based on an early version of our system [12]. The run combined an ensemble of 200 classifiers with a round-robin ranking mechanism. To show the merit of using a probabilistic ranking method, we performed an extra set of experiments using the simple (1) and probabilistic (2) ranking mechanisms proposed in section 3.2 in combination with an increasing ensemble of classifiers. For completeness we also included a run based on the round-robin ranking of our best submitted run to TRECVID. Unfortunately TRECVID provided a pooled ground truth only, which is fine for comparison of submitted runs, but when new experiments are performed the pooled ground truth is too sparse and too much specific for the submitted runs. Due to this sparseness, highly ranked unknown labels have a negative influence on AP. Therefore, we modify the basic AP measure in (3) by only updating the denominator i for labels that are known, i.e. only correct and false ones. This has a positive bias on average precision, but is a more reliable metric for comparing new runs. To give a fair performance comparison we also repeated our best run of the TRECVID submission, and calculated the modified AP.

The results are visualized in figure 2.

As the graph indicates, probabilistic ranking outperforms the round-robin and simple ranking mechanisms. There is also a clear relation between ensemble size and AP, which is most apparent for simple ranking. The round-robin ranking outperforms simple ranking for small ensemble sizes, but is outperformed by both simple and probabilistic ranking when the ensemble contains more than 50 classifiers. The best TRECVID submission, round-robin with an ensemble of 200 classifiers, is outperformed by its equivalent using simple or probabilistic ranking.

5. CONCLUSION

Multimedia layout, content, capture, and context should be combined to overcome the semantic gap in produced video. We have used the news subject monologue task of the 2003 TRECVID benchmark as a case study to demonstrate that by using style detectors, in combination with classifier ensembles, semantic concepts can be learned reliably. Our TRECVID submission resulted in the best average precision for this task amongst 26 contributions. Moreover, we were able to improve upon this result by exploiting a probabilistic ranking in combination with a large number of classifiers in the ensemble. Although presented for news subject monologues, the method can be applied to any stylized semantic concept. We aim to demonstrate this in future research.
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