

1995

# Hyperbases exist

Richard Statman  
*Carnegie Mellon University*

Follow this and additional works at: <http://repository.cmu.edu/math>

---

This Technical Report is brought to you for free and open access by the Mellon College of Science at Research Showcase @ CMU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Department of Mathematical Sciences by an authorized administrator of Research Showcase @ CMU. For more information, please contact [research-showcase@andrew.cmu.edu](mailto:research-showcase@andrew.cmu.edu).

**NOTICE WARNING CONCERNING COPYRIGHT RESTRICTIONS:**

The copyright law of the United States (title 17, U.S. Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Any copying of this document without permission of its author may be prohibited by law.

# Hyperbases Exist

by

Rick Statman

Department of Mathematics  
Carnegie Mellon University  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Research Report No. 95-186<sub>2</sub>  
December, 1995

510.6  
C28R  
95-186

University Libraries  
Carnegie Mellon University  
Pittsburgh PA 15213-3890

## Hyperbases Exist

by

Rick Statman

**Abstract:** A hyperbasis is a combinatory basis for the lambda calculus which can represent all lambda terms in any infinite set of trees. The usual bases are not hyper. We show that a finite hyperbasis exists.

If  $C$  is a set of combinators let  $C+$  be the set of all applicative combinations of members of  $C$ . To each member of  $C+$  we assign a binary tree as follows; for  $A \in C$  the tree of  $A$  is the one point tree  $\langle \rangle$ , and the tree of  $(MN)$  is  $\langle \text{tree of } M, \text{tree of } N \rangle$  (it is true that this definition is in general ambiguous but the ambiguity is harmless).  $C$  is said to be a hyperbasis if, for every infinite set of trees  $T$ , for each combinator  $N$  there exists an  $M \in C+$  so that  $M =_{\beta} N$  and the tree of  $M \in T$ .

Example 1. The set  $\{S, K\}$  is not a hyperbasis. Let  $T_1 = \{ \langle \rangle, \langle \langle \rangle \rangle, \langle \langle \langle \rangle \rangle \rangle, \langle \langle \langle \langle \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle, \dots \}$ , then any applicative combination of  $S$ ,  $K$  and  $x$  whose tree belongs to  $T_1$  has only head  $w$ - $\beta$  reducts which can be written with at most 2 parentheses. Thus, for example,  $\lambda x. x(xx)(xx)$  is not definable this way. Compare this with [1] 7.4.7.

Example 2. Bohm's one point basis  $X = \lambda x. xSKS$  is not a hyperbasis. For let  $T_2 = \{ \langle \rangle, \langle \langle \rangle \rangle, \langle \langle \langle \rangle \rangle \rangle, \langle \langle \langle \langle \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle, \dots \}$  and let  $A$  be any one point basis. Then the sequence  $A, AA, A(AA), A(A(AA)), \dots$  must either omit some combinator or repeat (modulo  $\beta$  conversion) for otherwise we can solve recursively the problem of conversion. Thus the sequence either omits some combinator or it is finite (modulo  $\beta$  conversion).

**Lemma:** Let  $C$  be a set of combinators containing  $I$  and let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be as in the previous examples. Suppose that for each  $i=1,2$  and for each combinator  $N$  there exists  $M \in C+$  such that  $N =_{\beta} M$  and the tree of  $M$  belongs to  $T_i$ , then  $C$  is a hyperbasis.

**Proof:** Let  $T$  be an infinite set of trees and let  $T$  be the union

of all the trees in  $\mathbf{T}$ . Since  $\mathbf{T}$  is infinite, by König's lemma,  $\mathbf{T}$  has an infinite path  $P$ . We distinguish two cases

Case 1;  $P$  has infinitely many steps to the left.

Then there is an infinite sequence of trees  $T(1), T(2), \dots, T(n), \dots$  so that  $T(n) \in \mathbf{T}$  and  $T(n)$  has a path which steps left  $l(n) \geq n$ . Now each left subtree off any path of  $T(n)$  can be  $\beta$  reduced to nothing by substituting  $I$  for each of its leaves, and each right subtree off any path in  $T(n)$  can be  $\beta$  reduced to the one point tree by substituting  $I$  for all of its leaves except the rightmost leaf. Thus we can assume that  $\mathbf{T}$  contains an infinite subset of  $\mathbf{T}_1$ . By a similar substitution for leaves we can assume that  $\mathbf{T}$  actually contains  $\mathbf{T}_1$  and thus by hypothesis for each combinator  $N$  there exists an  $M \in \mathbf{C}^+$  such that  $N =_{\beta} M$  and the tree of  $M$  belongs to  $\mathbf{T}$ .

Case 2 ;  $P$  has only finitely many steps left.

For any tree  $T$  define  $T^{(n)}$  by  $T^{(0)} = T$  and  $T^{(n+1)} = \langle T^{(n)}, \langle \rangle \rangle$ . By performing the substitutions of case 1 we can assume that there is an integer  $m$  and an infinite sequence  $T(0), T(1), \dots, T(n), \dots$  of members of  $\mathbf{T}_2$  such that each of the trees  $T^{(n)(m)}$  belongs to  $\mathbf{T}$ . Again by substitutions similar to those of case 1 we can assume that for each  $T \in \mathbf{T}_2$  the tree  $T^{(m)}$  belongs to  $\mathbf{T}$ . Let  $N$  be given. By hypothesis there is an  $M \in \mathbf{C}^+$  with tree  $T \in \mathbf{T}_2$  so that  $K(\dots(KN)\dots) =_{\beta} M$ . Then  $N =_{\beta} M I \dots I$  and the tree of  $M I \dots I$  is  $T^{(m)} \in \mathbf{T}$ .

This completes the proof.

Theorem: The set  $\{B, B', C, K, I, W, C*B, C*B', C*C, C*K, C*I, C*W\}$  is a hyperbasis.

Proof: Let the designated set of combinators be  $\mathbf{C}$ . The proof consists in first showing that for each  $N$  there exists an  $M \in \{B, B', C, K, I, W\}^+$  so that  $N =_{\beta} M$  and the tree of  $M$  belongs to  $\mathbf{T}_1$ . Next we observe that  $A_1 \dots A_n =_{\beta} C * A_n (\dots (C * A_2 A_1) \dots)$  and the theorem follows from the lemma. Let  $N$  be given. By Church's theorem there exists an applicative combination  $P$  of  $B, C, K, I, W$  such that  $N =_{\beta} P$ . Now we prove by induction on  $P$  that  $P =_{\beta}$



$A_1 \dots A_n$  for  $A_i \in \{B, B', C, K, I, W\}$ . Indeed we have  $A_1 \dots A_n (A'_1 \dots A'_m) =_{\beta}$   
 $B(A_1 \dots A_n)(A'_1 \dots A'_{m-1})A'_m =_{\beta} BB'A_1A_2B'A_3 \dots B'A_{n-1}BA_n(A'_1 \dots A'_{m-1})A'_m$ .

This gives the induction step and completes the proof.

[1] Barendregt, The Lambda Calculus  
North Holland 1984

---