Sources and production of organic aerosol in Mexico City: insights from the combination of a chemical transport model (PMCAMx-2008) and measurements during MILAGRO

A. P. Tsimpidi  
University of Patras

V. A. Karydis  
University of Patras

M. Zavala  
Molina Center for Energy and the Environment

W. Lei  
Massachusetts Institute of Technology

N. Bei  
Molina Center for the Environment

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: http://repository.cmu.edu/cheme

Published In
Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 11, 5153-5168.
Sources and production of organic aerosol in Mexico City: insights from the combination of a chemical transport model (PMCAMx-2008) and measurements during MILAGRO

A. P. Tsimpidi¹,², V. A. Karydis¹,², M. Zavala³,⁴, W. Lei³,⁴, N. Bei⁴, L. Molina³,⁴, and S. N. Pandis¹,²,⁵

¹Institute of Chemical Engineering and High Temperature Chemical Processes, Foundation for Research and Technology Hellas, Patras, Greece
²Dept. of Chemical Engineering, University of Patras, Patras, Greece
³Department of Earth, Atmospheric and Planetary Sciences, Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), USA
⁴Molina Center for Energy and the Environment (MCE2), USA
⁵Department of Chemical Engineering, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA

Received: 15 October 2010 – Published in Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.: 15 November 2010
Revised: 5 May 2011 – Accepted: 9 May 2011 – Published: 1 June 2011

Abstract. Urban areas are large sources of organic aerosols and their precursors. Nevertheless, the contributions of primary (POA) and secondary organic aerosol (SOA) to the observed particulate matter levels have been difficult to quantify. In this study the three-dimensional chemical transport model PMCAMx-2008 is used to investigate the temporal and geographic variability of organic aerosol in the Mexico City Metropolitan Area (MCMA) during the MILAGRO campaign that took place in the spring of 2006. The organic module of PMCAMx-2008 includes the recently developed volatility basis-set framework in which both primary and secondary organic components are assumed to be semi-volatile and photochemically reactive and are distributed in logarithmically spaced volatility bins. The MCMA emission inventory is modified and the POA emissions are distributed by volatility based on dilution experiments. The model predictions are compared with observations from four different types of sites, an urban (T0), a suburban (T1), a rural (T2), and an elevated site in Pico de Tres Padres (PTP). The performance of the model in reproducing organic mass concentrations in these sites is encouraging. The average predicted PM$_1$ organic aerosol (OA) concentration in T0, T1, and T2 is 18 µg m$^{-3}$, 11.7 µg m$^{-3}$, and 10.5 µg m$^{-3}$ respectively, while the corresponding measured values are 17.2 µg m$^{-3}$, 11 µg m$^{-3}$, and 9 µg m$^{-3}$. The average predicted locally-emitted primary OA concentrations, 4.4 µg m$^{-3}$ at T0, 1.2 µg m$^{-3}$ at T1 and 1.7 µg m$^{-3}$ at PTP, are in reasonably good agreement with the corresponding PMF analysis estimates based on the Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (AMS) observations of 4.5, 1.3, and 2.9 µg m$^{-3}$ respectively. The model reproduces reasonably well the average oxygenated OA (OOA) levels in T0 (7.5 µg m$^{-3}$ predicted versus 7.5 µg m$^{-3}$ measured), in T1 (6.3 µg m$^{-3}$ predicted versus 4.6 µg m$^{-3}$ measured) and in PTP (6.6 µg m$^{-3}$ predicted versus 5.9 µg m$^{-3}$ measured). The rest of the OA mass (6.1 µg m$^{-3}$ and 4.2 µg m$^{-3}$ in T0 and T1 respectively) is assumed to originate from biomass burning activities and is introduced to the model as part of the boundary conditions. Inside Mexico City (at T0), the locally-produced OA is predicted to be on average 60% locally-emitted primary (POA), 6% semi-volatile (S-SOA) and intermediate volatile (I-SOA) organic aerosol, and 34% traditional SOA from the oxidation of VOCs (V-SOA). The average contributions of the OA components to the locally-produced OA for the entire modelling domain are predicted to be 32% POA, 10% S-SOA and I-SOA, and 58% V-SOA. The long range transport from biomass burning activities and other sources in Mexico is predicted to contribute on average almost as much as the local sources during the MILAGRO period.

1 Introduction

The Mexico City Metropolitan Area (MCMA) is the largest megacity in North America and is one of the five largest cities in the world with over 20 million people living in an area of.
and secondary sources at similar magnitudes. Yu et al. (2009) used the semi-empirical EC tracer method to derive primary organic carbon (POC) and secondary organic carbon (SOC) concentrations. The majority of organic carbon particles at T1 and T2 were secondary (an average of $63 \pm 17\%$ and $67 \pm 12\%$ respectively). The geographic distribution of photochemical age and CO was also examined by Kleinman et al. (2008), confirming that Mexico City is a major source region for the area and that pollutants become more dilute and aged as they are advected towards T1 and T2.

Stone et al. (2008) used a chemical mass balance (CMB) model based on molecular marker species in order to determine the relative contribution of major sources to ambient organic carbon (OC). Motor vehicles, including diesel and gasoline, consistently accounted for approximately 50\% of OC in the urban area and 32\% on the periphery. The daily contribution of BB to OC was highly variable, and ranged from 5\% to 26\% at the urban site and 7\% to 39\% at the peripheral site. The remaining OC unapportioned to primary sources showed a strong correlation with water soluble OC (WSOC) and was considered to be secondary in nature. Yokelson et al. (2007) also found high biomass burning emissions suggesting that the fires from forests near Mexico City could produce as much as 80\% to 90\% of the primary fine particle mass in the Mexico City area. The 50\% to 30\% of the “aged” fine particle mass in the March 2006 Mexico City outflow could be from these fires. Moreover, Crounse et al. (2009) found that fires contribute more than half of the organic aerosol mass and submicron aerosol scattering. DeCarlo et al. (2010) reported that during periods of high forest fire intensity near the basin, OA arising from open BB represents around 65\% of the OA mass in the basin and contributes similarly to OA mass in the outflow. Aiken et al. (2010) estimated that during the low regional fire periods, 38\% of OC in the Mexico City center was from non-fossil sources, suggesting the importance of urban and regional non-fossil carbon sources other than the fires, such as food cooking and regional biogenic SOA. They also showed that, by properly accounting for the non-BB sources of K, the fires from the region near the MCMA are estimated to contribute 15\% to 23\% of the OA. Finally, De Gouw et al. (2009) investigated the diurnal variations of hydrocarbons, elemental carbon (EC) and hydrocarbon-like organic aerosol (HOA) which were dominated by a high peak in the early morning. In comparison, diurnal variations of species with secondary sources such as OOA and WSOC stayed relatively high in the afternoon indicating strong photochemical formation.

Chemical transport models (CTMs) that can accurately and efficiently describe the physical and chemical atmospheric transformations of pollutants have been used to estimate the chemical composition and the concentration of the aerosols in the MCMA (e.g., Karydis et al., 2010; Tsimpidi et al., 2010; Hodzic et al., 2009, 2010). Fast et al. (2009) assessed the uncertainties in predicted POA during MILAGRO before testing and evaluating the performance...
of secondary organic aerosol (SOA) treatments. Modeled POA was consistently lower than the measured OA at the ground sites, which is consistent with the expectation that SOA should be a large fraction of the total organic matter mass. Other studies have reported large discrepancies in the measured vs. modeled OOA mass concentrations in both urban and regional polluted atmospheres (Heald et al., 2005; de Gouw et al., 2005; Johnson et al., 2006; Volkamer et al., 2006; Kleinman et al., 2008). Hodzic et al. (2009) found fairly good agreement between predicted and observed POA within the city but large discrepancies were encountered for SOA, suggesting that less than 15% of the observed SOA within the Mexico City can be explained by the oxidation of the traditional SOA precursors. These results are in agreement with the findings of Dzepina et al. (2009) where the traditional SOA precursors (mainly aromatics) by themselves failed to produce enough SOA to match the observations by a factor of 7. Hodzic et al. (2010) applied the CHIMERE model to estimate the potential contribution to SOA formation of recently identified semi-volatile and intermediate volatility organic precursors (Robinson et al., 2007) in and around Mexico City. Their results showed a substantial enhancement in predicted SOA concentrations (2–4 times) both within and downwind of the city leading to much reduced discrepancies with the total OA measurements.

Robinson et al. (2007) proposed two major amendments to the current treatment of OA: (1) accounting for the volatility and gas particle partitioning of POA; and (2) explicit representation of gas-phase oxidation of all low-volatility vapors in SOA production mechanisms. These amendments were implemented in a 3D-CTM, PMCAMx, resulting in the PMCAMx-2008 version (Lane et al., 2008a; Murphy and Pandis, 2009). Tsimpidi et al. (2010) applied PMCAMx-2008 in MCMA during the MCMA-2003 campaign (Molina et al., 2007), reducing significantly the discrepancies between the measured and the modeled OOA. However, that study was limited by the availability of organic aerosol measurements in only one site.

The current study is based on the insights of the work of Tsimpidi et al. (2010) and its goal is to assess further the temporal and geographic variability of organic mass compounds during the 2006 MILAGRO campaign using PMCAMx-2008. The geographic variability of the OA concentration is evaluated by comparing the model predictions against measurements taken at an urban site in Mexico City, two peripheral sites located on the outskirts of the metropolitan area, and an elevated site located at Pico de Tres Padres (PTP). This study aims to improve our understanding of the formation pathways of organic aerosol in Mexico City.

2 Model description

PMCAMx-2008 simulates advection, dispersion, gas-phase chemistry, emission, wet/dry deposition, aerosol dynamics and aqueous-phase chemistry of atmospheric compounds (Gaydos et al., 2007; Karydis et al., 2007). Following Tsimpidi et al. (2010), a volatility distribution is applied to the emitted POA species with nine simulated volatility bins, ranging from $10^{-2}$ to $10^{6} \mu g m^{-3}$ saturation concentration (all effective saturation concentrations in the VBS are at 298 K and 1 atm). This simulation also includes emissions of intermediate volatility organic compounds (IVOCs), which are distributed among the $10^{3}$, $10^{4}$, $10^{5}$, and $10^{6} \mu g m^{-3}$ saturation concentration bins with emissions rates equal to 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, and 0.8 times the original non-volatile POA emission rate, respectively. The gas-phase chemical mechanism in use is based on SAPRC-99, that includes 56 gas-phase species (not including the gas- and particulate-phase organic species added for this study), 18 free radicals, and 211 reactions (Carter, 2000). This mechanism is updated to include gas-phase oxidation of S-SOA and I-SOA and V-SOA precursors. The number of species and reactions may vary from application to application (i.e. Farina et al., 2010; Shrivastava et al., 2010) depending on the desired chemical resolution (number of size and volatility bins). SOA is split between aerosol formed from the condensation of the oxidation products of the volatile organic compounds (V-SOA), intermediate volatile organic compounds (I-SOA), and semi-volatile organic compounds (S-SOA). The V-SOA is simulated with 4 volatility bins (1, 10, 100, 1000 $\mu g m^{-3}$), and 10 size bins (diameters range from 0.04 to 40 $\mu m$). I-SOA and S-SOA are described with 9 volatility bins ($10^{-2}$ – $10^{6} \mu g m^{-3}$) and 10 size bins. The V-SOA yields used in PMCAMx-2008 are based on the NO$_x$-dependent stoichiometric yields of Lane et al. (2008b). However, following Murphy and Pandis (2009) and Tsimpidi et al. (2010), the anthropogenic yields for the low-NO$_x$ case correspond to the high-yield case investigated by Lane et al. (2008b). Recent laboratory studies (Ng et al., 2006; Hildebrandt et al., 2009) have indicated that these higher yields are more accurate so the yields used in this work have been calculated by multiplying the experimentally determined volume concentrations by a density of 1.5 $g cm^{-3}$ (Kostenidou et al., 2007). The corresponding parameters affecting V-SOA, I-SOA, and S-SOA partitioning and removal processes, including effective Henry’s law constants, molecular weights and enthalpies of vaporization, are taken from Tsimpidi et al. (2010). Further gas-phase oxidation of SOA vapors (chemical aging) is modeled using a second-order reaction with hydroxyl radicals. To express the decrease of volatility with aging, products of this reaction are shifted down a factor of 10 in effective saturation concentration. The base-case simulation ages S-SOA, I-SOA and V-SOA from anthropogenic sources using a rate constant $k(298 K) = 40 \times 10^{-12} cm^{3} molec^{-1} s^{-1}$ for S-SOA and I-SOA and $k(298 K) = 10 \times 10^{-12} cm^{3} molec^{-1} s^{-1}$ for V-SOA. No biogenic SOA aging is simulated based on both the available laboratory studies (Ng et al., 2006; Presto et al., 2006) and the results of Lane et al. (2008a). Overall,
Fig. 1. The modeling domain for the Mexico City Metropolitan Area during the MILAGRO campaign. Also shown, the locations of the monitoring stations during the campaign.

Table 1. Organic compound emission rates (tons d\(^{-1}\)) over the modeling domain.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organic compound</th>
<th>Emission rate (tons d(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anthropogenic VOCs</td>
<td>2572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biogenic VOCs</td>
<td>954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate volatile compounds</td>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-volatile compounds</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1 Emission inventory

The emission inventory has been updated in order to include the anthropogenic emissions from the refinery, power plant and chemical companies in the Tula area located north of Mexico City (Fig. 1), the biogenic emissions from the forests located on the northeast part of the model domain, and a semi-empirical HONO “source” (0.8 % of the NO\(_x\) emissions based on Aumont et al. (2003) and Li et al. (2010)). In order to account for partitioning of primary organic emissions, the emission inventory was modified following the recommendations of Tsimpidi et al. (2010). Table 1 shows the amount of the emitted organic material within the limits of the modeling domain. Anthropogenic and biogenic VOC emissions serve as anthropogenic and biogenic V-SOA precursors respectively. Semivolatile (SVOC; \(10^{-2} \leq C^* \leq 10^2 \mu g m^{-3}\)) primary organic emissions partition between the aerosol and the gas phase. The material that remains in the aerosol phase is locally-emitted POA while the gas phase material, which is subsequently oxidized by OH, is considered as S-SOA precursor. Intermediate-volatility (IVOC; \(10^3 \mu g m^{-3} \leq C^* \leq 10^6 \mu g m^{-3}\)) organic compounds exist largely in the gas phase at typical atmospheric conditions and are important I-SOA precursors as their oxidation can produce compounds with lower vapour pressures. Overall, 65 % of the emitted POA is coming from mobile diesel sources with the rest emitted by mobile gasoline (15 %), area (10 %), and point (10 %) sources, indicating that the modeled primary organic mass is dominated by mobile sources. Anthropogenic SOA precursors are mainly emitted by area and mobile gasoline sources. Aromatic VOC emissions consist of 48 % vehicle exhaust (35 % gasoline and 13 % diesel), 40 % area, and 12 % point emission sources. The large fraction of mobile sources to total emitted organic compounds is consistent with the results of Stone et al. (2008). Moreover, Wöhrenschimmel et al. (2010) identified vehicle exhaust as one of the principal emission sources of VOCs, accounting for the 32 % (during night) to 62 % (during day) of the total measured VOC concentration.
3.2 Boundary conditions

The values of the OA concentrations at the boundaries of the domain, approximately 8 µg m$^{-3}$ in the west, 11.5 µg m$^{-3}$ in the east, 7 µg m$^{-3}$ in the south and 5 µg m$^{-3}$ in the northern boundary, were chosen based on results of the GISS-II’ global CTM for March (Racherla and Adams, 2006). All concentrations in this paper are under ambient pressure and temperature conditions. These levels represent the average OA concentrations over the Central Mexican Plateau approximately 100 km outside Mexico City and should not be confused with the larger-scale background concentrations of Mexico (reflecting concentrations over the lower to middle troposphere over the Pacific Ocean) of much less than 0.5 µg m$^{-3}$ (DeCarlo et al., 2008; Fast et al., 2009). 32 % of the OA concentration at the boundaries of the domain is assumed to be 0.04–0.08 µm in size, 24 % is the 0.08–0.16 µm range, 20 % from 0.16 to 0.31 µm, 12 % from 0.31 to 0.625 µm, 8 % from 0.625 to 1.25 µm, and 4 % in the 1.25–2.5 µm range. Because the biomass burning emissions are not included in the current inventory, they are implicitly provided to the model as a part of the boundary conditions. These relatively high boundary condition values are consistent with the findings of Yokelson et al. (2007) suggesting that the fires in the mountainous forests around Mexico City (MC) could produce as much as 80–90 % of the primary particle mass in the MC area. DeCarlo et al. (2010) reported that OA arising from open BB represents around 65 % of the OA mass in the basin and contributes similarly to OA mass in the outflow. Crounse et al. (2009) estimated that biomass burning contributed two thirds of the organic aerosol to the study area in March 2006. Subsequent atmospheric oxidation of co-emitted hydrocarbons can yield low vapor pressure compounds that condense on the existing particulate forming secondary organic aerosol. Therefore, the organic mass transported into the domain is assumed to be a mixture of aged primary and secondary organic aerosols, and is referred to hereafter as “long range transport oxygenated OA” (LT-OOA). The model assumes that V-SOA, I-SOA and S-SOA form a pseudo-ideal solution together with the LT-OOA, which are assumed to be non-reactive and nonvolatile, and therefore, are allowed to partition into this pre-existing organic aerosol.

3.3 Ground observations

To evaluate the model results for the OA components within the city basin during March 2006 we used AMS measurements of submicron aerosols collected at two supersites at the Instituto Mexicano del Petroleo (designated as T0) and Universidad Tecnologica de Tecamac (T1). The T0 monitoring station was located to the northwestern part of the basin of Mexico City. It is an urban background site influenced by road traffic emissions (300 m from four major roads surrounding it), domestic and residential emissions, but also potentially influenced by local industrial emissions and from the Tula industrial area (60 km to the north-northwest, in the Hidalgo State). T1 was a suburban background site located around 50 km to the north of Mexico City, in an area isolated from major urban agglomerations but close to small populated agglomerations, and around 500 m from the closest road. Data collected by the Aerodyne Mobile Laboratory located on an elevated site of Pico de Tres Padres (PTP, 900 m above city ground), a mountain within the MCMA, are also used for comparisons of model predictions against OOA and HOA. PTP is 10 km northeast of “T0” and 19 km southwest of “T1”. The AMS at T0 was a high-resolution time-of-flight AMS (DeCarlo et al., 2006) while those at the other two sites were compact time-of-flight versions (Drewnick et al., 2005) which report unit mass resolution data. Detailed analyses and intercomparisons of the AMS data are reported in other publications (Aiken et al., 2010, 2009; Herndon et al., 2008; Yu et al., 2009; de Gouw et al., 2009; Wood et al., 2010). The AMS spectra were analyzed with the PMF technique (Paatero and Tapper, 1994) as described by Ulbrich et al. (2009) and Aiken et al. (2009). Moreover the model predictions of total OA were also compared against measurements at Rancho La Bisnaga, north of Tizayuca in the State of Hidalgo (T2 site) which is a regional background site located around 90 km to the north of the city of Mexico, in the surroundings of a farm isolated from major urban agglomerations, and around 2 km from the closest road. In this site the OC was measured by a Sunset Labs. OC/EC analyzer (Birch and Cary, 1996). Detailed analyses of the measurements and description of the used instruments can be found in Doran et al. (2007). AMS measurements were not collected at this site and therefore the model evaluation was limited to the total OA comparison. The location of the monitor sites used is shown in Fig. 1.

![Fig. 2. Predicted average ground level concentrations of PM$_1$ organic mass (µg m$^{-3}$) during 4–30 March 2006.](image-url)
4 Overview of model predictions

The predicted average ground-level concentration of PM$_1$ organic mass over the period of March 2006 is shown in Fig. 2. The organic mass peak values (approximately 20 µg m$^{-3}$) are in the center of Mexico City and in the Tula industrial area. The predicted organic mass concentration is the sum of the predicted concentration of primary organic aerosols which have been emitted in the atmosphere as particles (locally-emitted POA) (Fig. 3a) and the predicted concentrations of the oxygenated organic aerosol (Fig. 3b) that has been created in the atmosphere through chemical reactions and corresponds to the sum of PMCAMx-2008 S-SOA, I-SOA, V-SOA, and LT-OOA. The primary organic aerosol concentration is high in the center of Mexico City and in Tula, while it decreases rapidly from its sources mainly due to dilution and evaporation. As an example in the T0 urban site the predicted average locally-emitted primary organic concentration is 4.4 µg m$^{-3}$ while in the T1 suburban site it decreases to 1.2 µg m$^{-3}$ and in the T2 rural site is lower than 1 µg m$^{-3}$. These results are consistent with the findings of Querol et al. (2008) where carbonaceous compounds accounted for a significant proportion of fine PM at the urban and industrial sites (around 50%) and were markedly decreased at the suburban and rural sites (30%). On the contrary, the predicted oxygenated organic aerosol concentration has a relatively more uniform spatial distribution with high values in the entire domain (Fig. 3b). The highest values are predicted in the center of Mexico City (up to 7.5 µg m$^{-3}$), coming mainly from anthropogenic sources, and in the northeast corner of the domain, mainly from biogenic sources. In the suburban and rural areas, such as T1 and T2, the predicted oxygenated OA is also high, with concentrations around 6 µg m$^{-3}$. Anthropogenic V-SOA, I-SOA, and S-SOA, are predicted to be more photochemically processed and less volatile downwind of the Mexico City center. In particular at T0 locally-produced OA consists of 60% POA and 40% OOA. At T1 and T2, OOA corresponds to 60% and 85% of locally-produced OA respectively. The domain-average contribution of OOA to locally-produced OA is 68%. Observational studies during the MILAGRO campaign also support the predicted spatial distribution of OOA. DeCarlo et al. (2008) calculated the O/C atomic ratio for OA and found a clear increase with photochemical age. Yu et al. (2009) found that the majority of organic carbon particles at T1 and T2 were secondary (an average of 63 ± 17 % and 67 ± 12 % respectively). The geographic distribution of photochemical age and CO was also examined by Kleinman et al. (2008), confirming that Mexico City is a major source region for the area and that pollutants become more dilute and aged as they are advected towards T1 and T2. Finally, V-SOA is predicted to be the dominant component of OOA (accounting for 84% of the locally produced OOA) as the emission rate of its precursors (anthropogenic and biogenic VOCs) is more than ten times higher than the I-VOC and S-VOC emission rate (Table 1). I-SOA concentration (3% of OOA) is lower than the S-SOA (13% of OOA) as the saturation concentration of its precursors is between 10$^3$ and 10$^6$ µg m$^{-3}$ and requires a few generations of aging before its volatility is reduced enough to allow its partitioning into the particulate phase.

5 Model performance evaluation

The results of the comparison of model predictions with the OA observations are depicted in Figs. 4 and 5. The model does a reasonable job most of the time reproducing the observations in T0, which is located in the urban center of Mexico City (Fig. 4a). Nevertheless, the model is missing a few major spikes which appear early in the morning such as during the 11th, 18th, and 21st of the month and are associated with biomass burning events (Aiken et al., 2009). Therefore, these underpredictions are related to the emission inventory currently used, which does not contain temporally variable
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Fig. 4. Comparison of model predictions with hourly measurements for total PM$_1$ organic mass concentration taken during the MILAGRO campaign in March 2006 at (a) T0 (urban site), (b) T1 (suburban site), and (c) T2 (rural site).

Biomass burning emissions. These emissions are provided to the model through the boundary conditions which are time-independent. Both measurements and predictions though, suggest that organic mass has a high variation during the day. Organic mass concentrations are almost always higher than 10 µg m$^{-3}$, while during morning hours often exceed 25 µg m$^{-3}$. Furthermore, the average predicted PM$_1$ OA concentration in PTP, which is located 10 km northeast of T0, is 13.6 µg m$^{-3}$ while the corresponding measured value is 10 µg m$^{-3}$. Overall, the OA predictions at T0 are within 50% of the measurements for 57% of the data points and within 30% for 41% of the time (Fig. 5).

In T1, which is a suburban site, the variation of organic mass concentrations is smaller than and not as regular as in T0 (Fig. 4b). Most of this mass is coming from other areas and therefore depends mostly on the weather conditions. The model is able to reproduce the measured values within a few µg m$^{-3}$ most of the time with concentrations ranging from 7 to 20 µg m$^{-3}$. Nevertheless PMCAMx-2008 underpredicts the organic mass during the period from the 18th to the 20th of the month. These are the days with the most favorable wind directions for T0-T1-T2 transport (Fast et al., 2007). The analysis of the measurements suggests that the organic mass produced in Mexico City center during the morning of 18th was transported to the north and appeared in T1 during the afternoon of the same day. On the other hand, the predictions in T1 show a reduction in organic mass during that day indicating inaccuracies by the meteorological simulations during this period. Errors in these inputs to PMCAMx result in corresponding problems in its predictions. Overall, the OA predictions at T1 are within 50% of the measurements for 82% of the data points and within 30% for 51% of the time (Fig. 5).

In the rural site T2 both the measured and predicted average OA concentrations are approximately 10-20% lower than the corresponding values at T1 (Fig. 4c). Given the dilution expected during the transport of Mexico City originating OA between the two sites, this small decrease in OA concentrations is rather surprising. According to PMCAMx it reflects the ongoing generation of OOA and the importance of regional sources in this region. The dynamic range of OA in T2 is less than in T1. Overall, the model behavior is satisfactory on average but it cannot reproduce the daily variations of organic mass accurately. T2 is close to the north boundary of the model domain and given that the used boundary...
conditions are time-independent, the model cannot predict the hourly variations caused from sources north of the T2 site. Overall, the OA predictions at T2 are within 50% of the measurements for 64% of the data points and within 30% for 37% of the time (Fig. 5).

The biogenic V-SOA PMCAMx predictions tend to be a little lower than the Stone et al. (2010) measurement-based estimates of biogenic SOA at T0 and T1. The simulated biogenic V-SOA concentrations range from 0.1 to 1.1 μg m\(^{-3}\) at T0 and from 0.1 to 1.4 μg m\(^{-3}\) at T1. The measurement-based estimates range from 0.4 to 1.8 μg m\(^{-3}\) at T0 and from 0.4 to 2.2 μg m\(^{-3}\) at T1. Some of the difference could be explained by biogenic SOA transported into the domain by elsewhere and not resolved by the model. These results suggest that biogenic SOA levels in the Mexico City region are far from negligible. In particular, the relative contribution of locally-produced biogenic V-SOA to total OA is predicted to be up to 15% north of Mexico City (Fig. 6). The PMCAMx-predicted contribution of locally-produced biogenic V-SOA to locally-produced total SOA (sum of V-SOA, I-SOA, and S-SOA) is 20–30% within the city and up to 70% at the surrounding areas. Hodzic et al. (2009) estimated the relative contribution of biogenic SOA to the predicted monthly levels of SOA, to be more than 30% at the city center and up to 65% at the regional scale.

5.1 Diurnal average profiles

The predicted and measured diurnal average OA concentration profiles at T0, T1, and T2 sites during MILAGRO are depicted in Fig. 7. These averages depend less on the day to day variability of the meteorology and the emissions and more on the major processes affecting the OA concentrations. In all cases, the model predictions are in agreement within experimental error with the measurements. The diurnal profile at the urban site T0 (Fig. 7a) has two peaks; the major one during morning, related to the primary emissions, and a second less pronounced peak in the afternoon, mainly due to photochemical processes. The same peaks appear in the diurnal profile of OA at the suburban site T1 (Fig. 7b), the levels of which are smaller compared to those in the T0 site. The first peak is related to the local sources while the peak in the afternoon is caused by the OA which was transported there from the urban center and the local photochemistry. Finally, both the measured and the predicted organic mass at the rural site T2 (Fig. 7c), increase slightly late in the afternoon mainly due to transport of emissions and also photochemistry. As expected, there is no morning peak, given the absence of local sources in the area around and immediately upwind of T2.

5.2 Comparison with PMF analysis

The AMS spectra were analyzed with the PMF technique (Paatero and Tapper, 1994) as described by Ulbrich et al. (2009) and Aiken et al. (2009) separating total organic aerosol (OA) into hydrocarbon-like organic aerosol (HOA, a POA surrogate), oxidized organic aerosol (OOA, a surrogate for SOA) and biomass burning organic aerosol (BBOA) for T0, T1, and PTP. This specification allows a more in-depth evaluation of modeled OA components as they have different temporal emission and formation patterns.
HOA appears to have the AMS mass spectral fingerprint for primary combustion particles from urban sources, and also includes particles from other relatively reduced sources such as meat cooking and trash (plastic) burning (Mohr et al., 2009). Therefore, the AMS locally-emitted HOA can be compared with the PMCAMx-2008 POA which is the fraction of the emissions that is in the aerosol phase without having undergone any chemical reactions (Fig. 8). At T0, PMCAMx-2008 successfully reproduces the observed HOA variation characterized by an early morning peak associated with traffic (Fig. 8a). These results are in accordance with the findings of De Gouw et al. (2009) who investigated the diurnal variation of hydrocarbons, elemental carbon (EC) and hydrocarbon-like organic aerosol (HOA) which were also dominated by a high peak in the early morning. Both, the average predicted POA concentration and the AMS-HOA concentration during March 2006 at T0 are close to 4.5 µg m$^{-3}$. The agreement is still reasonable at T1 where the average POA and AMS-HOA concentrations are approximately 1.3 µg m$^{-3}$. However, the predicted morning POA peaks 2 h later than observed (Fig. 8b). At PTP, larger discrepancies between observed and modeled POA values are found. The average predicted POA concentration during March 2006 is 1.7 µg m$^{-3}$ while the PMF analysis resulted in 2.9 µg m$^{-3}$ HOA during the same period. The predicted morning POA peaks 2 h earlier than observed (Fig. 7c). The late arrival of the measured pollutants over the elevated PTP site is associated with the growth of the PBL above 900 m (station’s altitude). Therefore, this discrepancy suggests potential problems in describing the vertical mixing in the complex terrain around PTP in the early morning hours.

OOA often contains a more volatile and less processed oxygenated OA fraction which shows high correlation with photochemical products such as O$_3$, O$_x$, glyoxal, and ammonium nitrate (Volkamer et al., 2006, 2007; Aiken et al., 2008; Lanz et al., 2007; Ulbrich et al., 2009; Dzepina et al., 2009). However, a significant fraction of the OOA consists of more oxygenated organics (Aiken et al., 2008) which are assumed here to have initially formed far from Mexico City. Therefore, the AMS OOA is compared with the sum of the PMCAMx-2008 S-SOA, I-SOA, V-SOA, and LT-OOA. Figure 9 presents the comparison of average diurnal profiles of predicted oxygenated organic components and the PMF-estimated OOA at the 3 locations, T0, T1 and PTP. The shape of the OOA diurnal profile features a strong enhancement in concentrations during the morning, associated with an active photochemical production of oxygenated organic aerosols close to the emissions (i.e. T0, PTP), and high concentrations until afternoon. In comparison, De Gouw et al. (2009) also found that species with secondary sources such as OOA and WSOC stayed relatively high in the afternoon indicating strong photochemical formation. The diurnal variability is less pronounced at the peripheral T1 station and displays a more gradual increase of concentrations during the day. Figure 9 confirms that the predicted oxygenated organic aerosol values and their associated variability range are in reasonable agreement with the observed ones. Both predicted and observed OOA levels gradually decrease downwind of the city with monthly average concentrations ranging from 7.5 µg m$^{-3}$ at T0 to 6.3 µg m$^{-3}$ and 4.6 µg m$^{-3}$ at T1 respectively. At T0 and PTP the predicted formation of OOA during the early morning hours is not as rapid as the PMF estimates. This discrepancy can be partially attributed to the predicted OH levels as they are slightly underestimated during early morning even though they are reasonably reproduced by the model during the rest of the day (not shown). A sensitivity study to the HONO emissions used by the model (see Sect. 6) suggests that the HONO production and emissions are relatively important for the production of OH and SOA in the early morning. The comparison at T1 and PTP suggests a model tendency to overpredict OOA concentration during early afternoon. At PTP the average monthly predicted OOA concentration is 6.6 µg m$^{-3}$ while the PMF analysis resulted in 5.9 µg m$^{-3}$ OOA on average during the same period. Figures 8 and 9 do not include the BBOA and therefore their sum does not correspond to the total predicted OA.
Overall, the predicted chemical composition of OA is generally consistent with the PMF analysis results. However, PMCAMx-2008 tends to predict higher oxygenated OA than the PMF analysis. Given that the AMS PMF results are also subject to error this comparison of the predictions of these two methods is quite encouraging. Future simulations though, should use a larger domain along with an accurate biomass burning emission inventory in order to increase the precision not only of the estimated BBOA but also of the SOA produced from fire emitted VOCs, I-VOCs, and S-VOCs. Moreover, this study does not estimate explicitly the formation of SOA from very volatile precursors such as glyoxal (Volkamer et al., 2007; Ng et al., 2008). Finally, aqueous phase reactions in clouds (Warneck, 2003; Lim et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2009) and the oligomerization processes of particle-phase semivolatile material (Jang et al., 2002; Kalberer et al., 2004; Inumma et al., 2004) that can lead to continued SOA growth are also not taken into account. There are several potential explanations for this result. The first is that these formation pathways were not major OA sources during the study period. The second is that their effects are indirectly included in our parameterization of the production of SOA and its subsequent aging. The third one is that other sources are overestimated in the model (especially the boundary conditions). There is a good chance that all of them are at least partially true. Cloud processes probably had a small effect on locally produced OA because both of the low cloud cover during the study and the spatial and temporal scales involved in this process for an urban area. Clouds could have been involved of course in the formation of OA transported into the city from outside the modeling domain. The role of glyoxal and methylglyoxal in OA production in this episode has been recently investigated by Li et al. (2011). They reported a relatively small contribution (10% of the SOA inside the city and 4% outside) which would not affect our results significantly. We believe that the oligomerization effects are probably indirectly included in our aging mechanism.

6 Sensitivity analysis

Uncertain inputs for the organic aerosol module include the volatility distribution for the organic aerosol emissions and the rate constant used for the aging reactions of SOA vapors with hydroxyl radicals. A simulation based on a low emissions’ volatility case, where the emissions in the low volatility bins ($C^{*:10^{-2}}$–$10^{2}$µg m$^{-3}$) are doubled and the emissions in the high volatility bins are set to 0, was conducted in order to investigate the sensitivity of the model predictions to changes on the volatility distribution of the OA emissions. Using the low volatility distribution, the partition of the emissions favors the particulate phase. In this case, PMCAMx predicts that the locally-emitted POA (emissions that did not undergo any chemical reaction) is two times higher than using the base case distribution (Fig. 10). In particular, POA increases from 4.4 µg m$^{-3}$ to 8.5 µg m$^{-3}$ at T0, from 1.2 µg m$^{-3}$ to 2.2 µg m$^{-3}$ at T1, and from 0.25 µg m$^{-3}$ to 0.5 µg m$^{-3}$ at T2. The corresponding measurements were 4.5 µg m$^{-3}$ at T0 and 1.3 µg m$^{-3}$ at T1.
These discrepancies between the two simulations indicate that the assumed volatility distribution of the emissions has a significant impact on the predicted POA concentrations. Using the low volatility distribution, the model significantly overpredicts the HOA concentrations at T0 and T1, indicating that the base case distribution is more appropriate for the description of the primary organic aerosols in the Mexico City Basin.

The sensitivity of the predicted OOA to the aging reaction constant was investigated by using a rate constant equal to \( k(298\,\text{K}) = 10 \times 10^{-11} \, \text{cm}^3\,\text{molec}^{-1}\,\text{s}^{-1} \) for S-SOA, I-SOA and V-SOA aging reactions. The higher oxidation constant used resulted in higher OOA concentrations by 20 % in Mexico City and Tula vicinity (Fig. 11). At T0, OOA increased from 7.5 \( \mu \text{g m}^{-3} \) to 9.1 \( \mu \text{g m}^{-3} \) resulting in an overprediction of OOA, compared to PMF analysis results, during the afternoon (Fig. 12). This increase is due more to increased S-SOA and I-SOA levels (three times higher concentrations than the base case) and less at V-SOA (increased by 10 %). At T0, the fraction of biogenic to total locally-produced V-SOA is approximately 20–30 %. Therefore this small increase on V-SOA concentration is attributed more to the low sensitivity of V-SOA to the aging rate than to the levels of the biogenic V-SOA in the domain. Moreover, this result suggests that the potential of the I-VOC and S-VOC to form SOA is high and the rate constant of their photochemical aging is quite important. Nevertheless, the S-SOA and I-SOA are only a small portion of the predicted locally-produced OA (5–10 %) in MCMA. Therefore, even if their concentration tripled after using the higher oxidation constant, the locally-produced OA increased by 15 % at T0.

To examine the effect of the HONO emissions used in this application (as discussed in Sect. 3) on the predicted OOA, a simulation excluding these emissions was conducted. The results of this sensitivity analyses indicate that the inclusion of HONO to the emissions inventory is indirectly responsible for 3 % and 10 % of the predicted OOA in the Mexico City center and the Tula area respectively. Nevertheless, the HONO emissions and formation appeared to be relatively important for the early morning production of the OOA in the center of Mexico City as it photodissociates upon sunrise to inject a pulse of OH into the early morning atmosphere. At T0, the model, taking into account the HONO emissions, predicts that the formation of OOA starts to be significant at 7 a.m., while excluding these emissions, the formation of OOA is delayed for one hour and starts at 8 a.m. (Fig. 13). The increase of the predicted OOA during 7 a.m. to 12 p.m. after the inclusion of HONO to the emission inventory is 25 % on average at T0.

The sensitivity of the results to the boundary conditions of OA and the corresponding long range transport was also tested. A 50 % reduction of the OA boundary conditions resulted in an almost linear response of the background organic concentration, which is not surprising since this OA is assumed to be non-reactive and nonvolatile. The influence of the long range transport concentration remains significant for the low boundary condition case as it represents approximately 20 % of the total organic aerosol concentration at Mexico City center. Figure 14 shows the comparison between the measured and the predicted total organic mass concentrations at T0, T1, and T2 for lower boundary conditions during March 2006. In this case, the model tends to

---

**Fig. 11.** Predicted increase in ground-level concentrations (\( \mu \text{g m}^{-3} \)) of the PM\(_1\) OOA after using a rate constant equal to \( k(298\,\text{K}) = 10 \times 10^{-11} \, \text{cm}^3\,\text{molec}^{-1}\,\text{s}^{-1} \) for S-SOA, I-SOA and V-SOA aging reactions.

**Fig. 12.** Comparison of model episode average diurnal predictions for PM\(_1\) oxygenated organic aerosols (sum of LT-OOA, V-SOA, I-SOA, and S-SOA) against AMS-OOA taken during the MILAGRO campaign in 4–30 March 2006 at T0.

**Fig. 13.** Comparison of model episode average diurnal predictions for PM\(_1\) oxygenated organic aerosols at T0 using an emission inventory with HONO emissions (red line) and without HONO emissions (blue line).
Fig. 14. Comparison of model predictions in the low boundary conditions case with hourly measurements for total PM$_{1}$ organic mass concentration taken during the MILAGRO campaign in March 2006 at (a) T0 (urban site), (b) T1 (suburban site), and (c) T2 (rural site) on ground level.

underpredict the observed OA on 18–21 March in all three sites, while the agreement improves for 24–29 March. During the first period, high biomass activities were observed, while during the latter, the fire emissions were the lowest of the month. Therefore, each set of boundary conditions performs better in different time periods, and an accurate biomass burning emission inventory is necessary, or at least, the boundary conditions should be variable in time. Overall, the model using the base case boundary conditions set has a better performance in all three measurement sites than using the lower boundary conditions set. In particular, the base case set results in an overprediction of OM with mean bias 1.9 µg m$^{-3}$, 0.8 µg m$^{-3}$, and 1.5 µg m$^{-3}$ at T0, T1, and T2 sites respectively. On the other hand, the lower boundary conditions set underpredicts the OM with mean bias $-2.3$ µg m$^{-3}$, $-3.5$ µg m$^{-3}$, and $-2.7$ µg m$^{-3}$ at T0, T1, and T2 sites respectively.

7 Conclusions

In this work PMCAMx-2008, which accounts for volatility partitioning and aging of primary and secondary organic aerosols, was applied in the Mexico City Metropolitan Area during the MILAGRO-2006 campaign. The model performance was evaluated against measurements from four different types of sites, an urban site (T0), a suburban site (T1), a rural site (T2), and an elevated site (PTP). The model predictions are compared with PMF analysis of AMS observations taken at T0, T1, and PTP sites and with hourly measurements taken at T0, T1, and T2 sites during the MILAGRO 2006 campaign.

The highest concentration of OM is predicted to be in Mexico City urban center and in the Tula area (approximately 20 µg m$^{-3}$). The OA concentration decreases with distance from its source areas due to dilution and evaporation. At T0, total OA consists of 4.4 µg m$^{-3}$ POA and 7.5 µg m$^{-3}$ OOA while at T1 the contribution of POA and OOA to total OA is 1.2 µg m$^{-3}$ and 6.3 µg m$^{-3}$ respectively. The rest of the OA mass (6.1 µg m$^{-3}$ and 4.2 µg m$^{-3}$ in T0 and T1 respectively) is assumed to originate from biomass burning activities. High oxygenated OA concentrations are predicted for a significant part of the domain. In particular at T0 locally-produced OA consists of 60 % locally-emitted POA and 40 % locally-produced OOA. At T1 and T2, OOA corresponds to 60 % and 85 % of locally-produced OA respectively. The domain-average contribution of OOA to locally-produced OA is 68 %. The highest OOA values are predicted in and around Mexico City center (anthropogenic sources) and in the northeast of the domain (biogenic sources). V-SOA is predicted to be the dominant component of OOA (accounting for 84 % of the locally-produced OOA) following by S-SOA (13 % of OOA) and I-SOA (3 % of OOA).

The model is doing a reasonable job most of the time in all measurement sites (T0, T1, and T2). Overall, the OA predictions are within 50 % of the measurements for 68 % of the data points and within 30 % for 44 % of the time. The comparison of observed and predicted OA diurnal profiles for March 2006 shows that the model reproduces the gradual increase in OA concentrations during the day caused by both early morning primary emissions and the increase of SOA concentrations starting at sunrise. At the T0 site, both measurements and predictions suggest that organic mass has a high variation during the day. This is not the case at the T1 suburban site and especially at the T2 rural site. Most of the locally-produced organic mass at these sites is coming from the urban center and its concentration is depending more on the weather conditions than on the local emissions and photochemistry. Therefore, errors in the meteorological fields used by the model can lead to discrepancies between the predicted and the observed organic mass at these peripheral sites. The use of the same emission inventory for every weekday and time independent boundary conditions
can also introduce errors on the predicted organic mass at the urban center and at areas close to the boundaries respectively. Despite that, the predicted chemical composition of OA is generally consistent with the PMF analysis results suggesting that the depiction in the model of the corresponding processes is not inconsistent with the observations.

Overall, 65% of the emitted POA is coming from mobile diesel sources with the rest coming from mobile gasoline (15%), area (10%), and point (10%) sources, indicating that the modeled primary organic mass is dominated by mobile sources. Anthropogenic SOA is dominated by area and mobile gasoline sources. Aromatic VOC emissions consist of 48% vehicle exhaust (35% gasoline and 13% diesel), 40% area, and 12% point emission sources. Nevertheless, PMCAMx-2008 predicts that the background concentration of OA is a significant fraction of the total OA in Mexico City center. In particular, only approximately half of the average OA consists of locally-emitted POA and locally-produced SOA, while the rest is coming mainly from the forest fires in the surrounding area either in the form of SOA or in the form of biomass burning OA. These results are also in agreement with the findings of Crounse et al. (2009) who estimated that biomass burning contributes 66% of the organic aerosol to the study area in March 2006. However, in this study the influence of biomass burning was only introduced by boundary conditions rather than by an explicitly treatment of biomass burning emissions. Given that these contributions are highly time-dependent on a day-by-day basis, this issue should be further investigated with more detail in future applications.

Moreover, biogenic emissions also have a significant contribution to locally-produced total SOA (up to 70%) and total OA (up to 15%). Even in the center of Mexico City, the predicted contribution of locally-produced biogenic SOA to total SOA is approximately 20–30%.

The predictions of PMCAMx-2008 for biogenic SOA are lower than the results of Hodzic et al. (2009) who predicted that the relative contribution of biogenic SOA to total SOA (up to 70%) and to the urban center is up to 40%. This could be due to the lower predicted anthropogenic SOA concentrations in that modeling study.

The distribution of OA emissions to the low volatility bins appears to be important for the predicted POA as it has great impact to the initial partitioning between the aerosol and the gas phase. Increasing the aging reaction constant by one order of magnitude resulted in 20% higher OOA concentrations. Finally, including HONO emissions to the inventory used by the model, appear to be important for the production of OOA during the early morning hours, resulting in an increase of 25% during morning.
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