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Preface

Green chemistry is interdisciplinary by nature. This motivated me to pursue on this course since the beginning of my Ph.D. The reason that I like to stand in the interdisciplinary arena is because interfaces, to my believe, are the places where innovation burgeons and discovery thrives. What’s more, green chemistry orients all these multiple disciplines toward the sustainability direction. To vault cross fields, in my opinion, requires two fundamental conditions. One is an open-minded leadership and the other one is a multidimensional research subject. Dr. Collin’s research group and his research object TAML activators present such an opportunity. To study the electronic structure, reactivity and mechanistics of TAML activators requires an integration of knowledge from physical, theoretical, analytical, computational and many other fields in chemistry. It embodies my vision of the anchor points for green chemistry described in chapter 1.

Projecting green chemistry on to the combined basis based on its principles, challenges and technical domain maps out my Ph.D. training plan. Each chapter of this thesis can reflect the connection between technical knowledge and green chemistry focal points.

Chapter 1 — overview of green chemistry as an interdisciplinary arena
Chapter 2 — research at United Nations on the issues of persistent organic pollutants and toxic elements
Chapter 3 — theoretical study the electronic configuration of Co complexes and analysis on the influence on electronic state by the ligand effects with the vision for water splitting

Chapter 4 — theoretical study on nuclear tunneling effects in Fe(V) mediated intermolecular C-H bond activation to shed light on the reaction mechanisms that can be potentially helpful to decontaminate hydrocarbons

Chapter 5 — application of TAML activators in degrading recalcitrant active pharmaceutical ingredient sertraline which shows TAML mirroring cytochrome P450 metabolism

Chapter 6 — application of TAML activators in degrading persistent and disruptive active pharmaceutical ingredient prozac which inspires green pharmaceutical design
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Green chemistry concerns the scientific disciplines that support sustainability as their zenith. Sustainability has both temporal and spacial dimensions. The addition of the spacial dimension to it has significantly enhanced its visibility and horizon. Green chemistry, as an important subset of sustainability, radiates broadly and this entails a pursuer to choose their spectrum of interest. Therefore, I defined the technical domain of green chemistry from my perspective. Through projecting green chemistry onto the primary basis composed by the principle domain defined by Prof. Anastas and challenges domain interpreted by Prof. Collins and the technical domain by my definition, I mapped out my green chemistry trajectory. In a word, my Ph.D. training can be summarized as a research journey of combating persistent organic pollutants, characterizing the electronic signature of catalysts for renewable energy generation and catalytically oxidizing active pharmaceutical ingredients and hydrocarbons via a combinatorial avenues of computation, analysis and scientific inference. In view of the problem space of green chemistry, seeking renewable energies and eliminating persistent, disrupting or toxic compounds appear on the higher levels according to Prof. Collins. I attempted to tackle all the four problems to certain degrees during my Ph.D.

Energy has propelled the engine of human civilization for hundreds of years. Today, the fossil fuels, the natural reserves we rely upon in the past, have approached their
limit. More significantly, their continuing use shadows the sustainable future of human beings as well as the lives of all forms on this planet. An urgent need horizons for better ways to capture and convert solar energy to carbon neutral forms of chemical energy. The lesson from photosynthesis provides a promising answer — water splitting. To mimic this process, developing catalysts for water cleavage becomes the central theme. Among all the earth abundant and inexpensive elements, Co stands out for its high efficiency and dual capability of water reduction and oxidation. Between the two, water oxidation presents the major challenge. Co(IV) was shown to be the active intermediate in this chemical conversion. This highlights the importance of precise characterization of the electronic structure Co containing catalysts. To this end, I combined the spectroscopic information and DFT calculation to clarify the literature ambiguity in the diagnosis of Co containing complexes, and theoretically projects the avenue to acquire a Co(IV) electronic state in coordination complexes.

The study of eliminating persistent organic pollutants was performed in the United Nations in the summer 2011. Apart from a technical summary of my research, I also identified two important causes that impasse on many environmental issues between nations. This signifies a global leadership that can unify and usher the international strength toward the sustainable summit.

The research experience in the United Nations showed hydrocarbons and their halogenated derivatives are very resistant to natural attenuation. Then I started my fervent pursuit of hydrocarbon hydroxylation study via theoretical modeling. Comparing theoretical with experimental studies, the reaction rates of $[\text{Fe}^V(\text{O})(\text{B}^\ast)]\cdot^{-1}$ with ethylbenzene (EtBZ) and its isotope labeled species EtBZ-d10 differ in three respects: (i) the initial $[\text{Fe}^V(\text{O})(\text{B}^\ast)]\cdot^{-1}$ decay rate for the substrate EtBZ-d10 is slower than that for EtBZ, (ii) the slope of the $\ln (k/T)$ vs. $1/T$ plot of EtBZ-d10 is smaller than that for EtBZ over the experimental temperature range, and (iii) the extrapolated tan-
gents of the kinetic curves give a large, negative intercept difference, \( \text{Int}(\text{EtBZ}) - \text{Int}(\text{EtBZ-d10}) < 0 \) at the limit \( 1/T \to 0 \). Theoretical analysis, based on density functional theory calculations of thermodynamic parameters of the reaction species and Bell’s model for tunneling through quadratic barriers, shows that (i) and (ii) result from isotope-induced changes in both the zero-point energies and nuclear tunneling, whereas (iii) is exclusively an isotope mass effect on tunneling. The research result points out nuclear tunneling has a significant contribution to the hydrocarbon hydroxylation process. A theoretical model was proposed that can be used to predict absolute rate constants outside the experimental fathomable range.

In addition to persistent molecules, endocrine disrupting chemicals also deserve special attention. Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients (APIs) have been recognized as a hot-spot environmental pollutants largely due to their high disrupting potency. These anthropocentric synthetics compounds are mostly designed to aim at evolutionarily conserved targets to trigger biological responses at minute levels and optimized for extra degradation resistance for stable shelf lives. All these therapeutic benefits translate into ecotoxicity concerns when the parent compounds or their metabolites are released to the environment. A large body of literature has linked the exposure to APIs to Biological disasters. Under such a context, I applied TAML activators to treat to highly prescribed antidepressant drugs, Zoloft and Prozac. The API for each is sertraline and fluoxetine.

In the sertraline degradation study, I demonstrated that TAML activators at nanomolar concentrations in water activate hydrogen peroxide to rapidly degrade this persistent API. While all the API is readily consumed, degradation slows significantly at one intermediate, sertraline ketone. The process occurs from neutral to basic pH. The pathway has been characterized through four early intermediates which reflect the metabolism of sertraline, providing further evidence that TAML activator/perox-
ide reactive intermediates mimic those of cytochrome P450 enzymes. TAML catalysts have been designed to exhibit considerable variability in reactivity and this provides an excellent tool for observing degradation intermediates of widely differing stabilities. Two elusive, hydrolytically sensitive intermediates and likely human metabolites, sertraline imine and N-desmethylsertraline imine, could be identified only by using a fast-acting catalyst. The more stable intermediates and known human metabolites, desmethylsertraline and sertraline ketone, were most easily detected and studied using a slow-acting catalyst. The resistance of sertraline ketone to aggressive TAML activator/peroxide treatment marks it as likely to be environmentally persistent and signals that its environmental effects are important components of the full implications of sertraline use.

Fluoxetine, represents the first member of the serotonin receptor reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) family and is one of the most successful among all members. Its top prescription record among SSRIs and extra stability leads to prevalent occurrence in the environment. Environmental studies showed that FLX can be toxic to aquatic species at trace level of exposure and disruptive to their neurosystems. Therefore, it is urgent to seek an environmentally friendly solution to diminish the harm FLX can potentially bring to the environment. Treatment with TAML activators and hydrogen peroxide, fluoxetine was shown to be rapidly degraded to harmless endpoints. An elusive intermediate along the degradation pathway was proposed and its fleet fate was studied using DFT calculations. The cascade breakdown feature of FLX under TAML®/H₂O₂ treatment inspires green pharmaceutical design.
Chapter 1

Green Chemistry toward Sustainability — Challenges and Opportunities

“I wish it need not have happened in my time,” said Frodo.

“So do I,” said Gandalf, “and so do all who love to see such times. But that is not for them to decide. All we have to decide is what to do with the time that is given to us.”

— J.R.R. Tolkien
1.1 Green Chemistry — an Interdisciplinary Arena

According to the definition by US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), green chemistry is the design of chemical products and processes that reduce or eliminate the use or generation of hazardous substances. Green chemistry applies across the life cycle of a chemical product, including its design, manufacture, and use.\(^1\) Twelve principles were formulated by Dr. Anastas and Dr. Warner as the framework of the field.\(^2\)

1. Prevention
2. Atom Economy
3. Less Hazardous Chemical Synthesis
4. Designing Safer Chemicals
5. Safer Solvents and Auxiliaries
6. Design for Energy Efficiency
7. Use of Renewable Feedstocks
8. Reduce Derivatives
9. Catalysis
10. Design for Degradation
11. Real-time Analysis for Pollution Prevention
12. Inherently Safer Chemistry for Accident Prevention

Another interpretation of the focal points of green chemistry is provided by Dr. Collins in his class at Carnegie Mellon University.\(^3\) As seen in Figure 1.1, Dr. Collins predicts six grant challenges in the green chemistry field — developing green synthesis methodologies, developing chemistry processes to utilize renewable feedstocks, developing safe energy strategies, design against the use toxic element in chemical
processes, eliminating persistent molecular compounds, design against endocrine disruptors. The relative challenging levels among these six important questions in green chemistry are ranked in an increasing order from bottom to top as indicated by the upward arrow on the very right of Figure 1.1.

Figure 1.1: Paramount questions and great challenges in Green Chemistry presented by Dr. Collins. Top four levels with fonts highlighted in pink denotes my devotion during my Ph.D. research. Adapted from Prof. T. J. Collin’s lecture notes (Introduction to Green Chemistry (09510), 2012 Spring, Carnegie Mellon University) with permission.

The interpretation of green chemistry by Dr. Collins and Dr. Anastas defines two domains for the field, i.e. the principle domain and the challenge domain. What bridges these two domains is the interdisciplinary pathway. Green chemistry covers a ever imaginable broadness in the scope of chemistry and interfaces with numerous disciplines outside chemistry. With no attempt to be complete, here a few examples
are listed below. The fields have interfaced with green chemistry include but not limited to organic chemistry,\textsuperscript{4–6} analytical chemistry,\textsuperscript{7,8} catalysis,\textsuperscript{9} nano chemistry,\textsuperscript{10} pharmaceutical chemistry,\textsuperscript{11} industrial processes,\textsuperscript{12} photophysical chemistry,\textsuperscript{13} computational chemistry,\textsuperscript{14} toxicology,\textsuperscript{15,16} environmental policy\textsuperscript{17} and etc.

Given the broadness of green chemistry as an interdisciplinary arena mentioned above, it necessitates an unconventional cross-boundary approach for study. It appeals to be an ideal situation if one can be versatile and in-depth in each subjects in green chemistry. However, for the given limited time for each man and unremitting progress of science, it is probably unrealistic to master all the knowledge in such a broad front as defined by green chemistry. Thus, a more rational and important approach for a scholar in this field to define their own focus and range of technical interests. With such a spirit, I defined my technical framework for green chemistry (Figure 1.2) and presented it to my Ph.D. program graduate committee.

\textbf{Figure 1.2} outlines a few scientific corner stones that I would like to anchor on and in my vision that support the spin of the green planet on top. I’d like to call this framework as the technical domain of green chemistry to my definition. Together with the principle and challenges domains, I view three domains for green chemistry and green chemistry can be interconverted between each other according to certain rules. It is conceivable to project green chemistry onto the primary basis of the three domains and one can chart the course of voyage in the green Pacific.

To pursue this ambitious and highly integrated green blueprint, an encouraging academic medium and a diversified research subject form the foundation. The open-minded leadership of Prof. Collins and the TAML\textsuperscript{®} activators developed under his leadership provide such an opportunity. TAML\textsuperscript{®} activators are a group of synthetic efficient enzyme mimickers.\textsuperscript{18} Its invention opens the interests on theoretical study of its electronic structure,\textsuperscript{19,20} characterization of active reaction intermediate,\textsuperscript{21–23}...
its reaction stability and reactivity,\textsuperscript{24–29} its application in renewable energy generation\textsuperscript{30} and its application in water purification.\textsuperscript{29,31–36} Clearly, research on TAML\textsuperscript{®} activators spans a wide spectrum of scientific disciplines. Many of the publications listed above are actually the fruitful outcome of collaborations among researchers with diverse expertise. Needless to say, the central aim of TAML\textsuperscript{®} activators at delivering environmental benefits and its physical nature as an interesting subject among multiple discipline create a good topic for my Ph.D. study.

Up to this point, this ship to discover is almost ready to set for sail. But before entering the endless ocean, a compass is a must-to-have instrument for directions
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when no landmark can be consulted. That compass is the sustainable ethics.

1.2 Green Chemistry and Sustainable Ethics

According to the Brundtland Commission of the United Nations on March 20, 1987, sustainable development is “development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs.” In this definition, the emphasis is placed on the strategical management of existing resources with the mind for future needs. Undeniably, it constructed the ultimate destiny for green chemistry as well as many other social and scientific sectors progressing toward the future, although the long-run foresight might not be accessible by the immediate vicinity philosophy. A more generalized definition of sustainability, given by International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN), is the capacity to maintain a certain process or state, as “improving the quality of human life while living within the carrying capacity of supporting ecosystems”. This definition covers the spacial character of sustainability and stresses the conservation of the serving capacity of the ecological system from now and on.

The capacity of ecosystems to be self-renewing, dynamically adaptive, and supportive of humanity depends on interaction of networks and biogeochemical pathways. However, this interwoven ecological system and its service function is being severely damaged by imprudent human activities. Many chemicals and their products, despite their intention for benefits, are detrimental to biodiversity, human health, and the service functions of the entire ecosystem. It poses an alarming threat. If no counteracting measures are taken to curb the trend in time and at the right scale, the continuity of human civilization is endangered. The accumulative harmful effect can certainly be foreseen to lead catastrophic effects for the future generations. But
the damage indeed has already started and progresses with faster paces. So, in my opinion, it is crucially important to position the concept of sustainability in the immediate temporal and spacial perspectives to make aware the urgency of the issue and desperate need for green innovations.

Sustainability, in my view, is the overarching guidance for green chemistry but not limited to green chemistry. The walks of all lives and science of all disciplines need to appreciate the significance of sustainable practice from all perspectives. With the ultimate aim of sustainability in watch, green chemistry can be pursued.

1.3 Advanced Analytical Techniques in Environmental Monitoring

To eliminate the treat, we should first identify and quantify the treat. Advancement of analytical technologies provide an indispensable scope through which the environmental contaminants can be exposed. Speaking of trace level detection, mass spectrometry has to be mentioned for its known quality of searching a needle in a haystack.

The foundation of mass spectrometry probably started with J. J. Thomson’s discovery of electrons and determination of its mass-to-charge ratio in 1886. Later, he constructed the first mass spectrometer in 1913 and observed isotopes for neon. In 1923, F. W. Aston measured mass defect using mass spectrometry. This sensational discovery together with Albert Einstein’s deduction and later developed quantum mechanics laid the foundation of nuclear fusion theory, which can be the shortcut toward to energy sustainable path if can be realized. This is another instance that convergence of multiple disciplinary endeavors can pave the way for sustainability.

The most significant contribution to mass spectrometry or even the entire analytical
society for the 20 century can probably be ascribed to the development of electron spray ionization (ESI) on mass spectrometry by J. Fenn.45,46 The ionization mechanism of ESI will be discussed below.

The overall ion formation process in ESI includes three major stages: production of charged droplets from the electrospray capillary tip, repetitive shrinkage of the charged droplets and the ultimate gas-phase ions formation, as schematized in Figure 1.3

Figure 1.3: Schematic drawing to illustrate the ionization mechanism in ESI. Reprinted from N.B.Cech and C.G.Enke, Mass. Spectrom. Rev. 2002, 20, 364 with permission. ©2002 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

- Charged Droplets Production
A voltage of 2 - 5 kV is applied between the metal capillary (ESI needle) and the counter electrode. This potential can be positive or negative, depending on the analytical purpose. For simplification, only the positive mode will be discussed here. The metal capillary typically has the dimension of 0.2 mm O.D. and 0.1 mm I.D.,
located 1 - 3 cm away from the counter electrode. The high electric potential and
the narrow capillary leads to a strong electric field \( E_c \approx 10^6 \text{ V/m} \), which can be
evaluated by eqn (1.1).\(^{47}\)

\[
E_c = \frac{2 V_c}{r_c \ln \left( \frac{4d}{r_c} \right)} \tag{1.1}
\]

where \( V_c \) is the applied potential, \( r_c \) is the capillary O.D. and \( d \) is the distance between
the capillary tip and the counter electrode. The imposed strong electric field pulls
the cations moving downfield toward the capillary tip and drives the anions drifting
away from the surface, known as electrophoretic movement.\(^{48}\) As the electrostatic
force counterbalances the surface tension, a “Taylor” cone\(^{49}\) takes shape at the tip.
If the applied field is sufficiently high, the “Taylor” cone is destabilized and a fine
jet will emerge from the cone tip which degrades into charged droplets. The onset
potential for the electrospray to occur is given by Taylor.\(^{49}\)

\[
V_{on} = 2 \times 10^5 \sqrt{\gamma r_c \ln \left( \frac{4d}{r_c} \right)} \tag{1.2}
\]

where \( \gamma \) is the surface tension of the spray solvent and \( r_c \) is the ESI needle O.D.

It can be seen from the equation that there exits an inverse relationship between the
surface tension and the onset voltage. For instance, the onset voltage for methanol
is 2.2 kV, whose surface tension is 0.023 Nm\(^{-2}\) and that for water is 4.0 kV, whose
surface tension is 0.073 Nm\(^{-2}\).

- Charged Droplets Evaporation and Fission

The charged droplets shrink their volumes as a result of solvent evaporation. The
charge on the droplets is expected to be maintained during the evaporation process
because ion transfer from liquid to gas phase is highly endoergic.\(^{47}\) The net result of
droplet surfaces diminish with charge conservation leads to accumulation of electrostatic repulsion on the surface. When a droplet’s diameter $D$ and charge $q$ satisfy the Rayleigh equation, uneven coulombic fission occurs to produce offspring droplets.

$$q^2 = 8 \pi^2 \epsilon_0 \gamma D^3$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.3)

where $\epsilon_0$ is the permittivity of vacuum.

The offspring droplets usually carry off 2% of the parent mass but 15% of the parent charge. The diameter of the offspring droplets can be approximated by eqn (1.3).

$$d \approx \left[ \frac{\gamma}{\rho} \left( \frac{\epsilon}{K} \right)^2 \right]^{1/3}$$ \hspace{1cm} (1.4)

where $d$ is the diameter of the offspring droplet, $\gamma$, $\rho$, $\epsilon$ and $K$ are the surface tension, density, permittivity and conductivity of the solvent. It is shown in the equation that size of the offspring is approximately independent of the parents. Relieving from the coulombic stress, the offspring droplets continue to evaporate until approaching the Rayleigh limit again and another fission will occur. This process repeats itself to produce smaller and smaller droplets, as shown in figure 2. The time scale for evaporation is usually on the order of hundreds of milliseconds. Also, as the droplet sizes become smaller, the time span for the next generation droplets production is shortened.

**Gas-phase Ion Formation**

The offspring droplets undergo a series of successive fission steps and eventually lead to gaseous ions. The final creation of gas-phase ions have been addressed by two theoretical models, the charge residue model (CRM), proposed by Dole and coworker, and the ion evaporation model (IEM), proposed by Iribarne and Thomson. CRM states that the successive fission process is continuously shedding offspring.
Figure 1.4: Scheme of droplets fission process in ESI. Reprint of P. Kebarle and L. Tang. Anal. Chem. 1993, 65, 977A with permission. ©1993 American Chemical Society.

droplets until only a signal ion is left. IEM maintains that solvated ions are possibly emitted from droplets directly when the electric field is strong enough and their radii become small enough. Both models have found experimental support. The IEM is usually involved in the production of small ions such as the conventional inorganic and organic ions, while CRM is involved in the production of macroions such as the globular proteins.$^{56,57}$

The electrophoretic process makes ESI a special continuous electric current device. The electric circuit starts from the positive terminal of the power supply, enters the solution via a metallic contact, follows the charged droplets arriving at the counter electric plate and goes back into the negative end of the power supply, as depicted in figure 1. In order to maintain the flow of current and keep the charge balanced in the
solution, electrochemical oxidation reactions must occur at the liquid-metal interface of the capillary. Thus, ESI can be viewed as a special electrolytic cell.\textsuperscript{58,59}

\[
\begin{align*}
M(s) & \rightarrow M^{2+}(aq) + 2e^- \\
4 \text{OH}^-(aq) & \rightarrow O_2(g) + 2 \text{H}_2 \text{O} + 4e^- 
\end{align*}
\]

The amount of the electrons produced during the electrochemical reactions equal the amount of the charge separated at the tip of the electrospray capillary. It is expected that the oxidation reaction will happen predominantly on the the substance with the lowest reduction potential, which can be the metallic electrode, the ions present in the solution or the spray solvent itself.

When interfacing with chromatography, the hyphenated technology demonstrated exceedingly power in scrutinizing the environmental media for chemical information.\textsuperscript{60,61} In my research, mass spectrometry and coupled chromatography-mass spectrometry were heavily employed in molecular structure elucidation and quantitation (chapter 5 and 6).

1.4 Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients (API) and their Concerns in the Environment

Pharmaceuticals made of synthetic chemicals are designed to alleviate human pathological syndromes or protect people from potential health threat. After completing their clinical mission, pharmaceuticals or their active metabolites enter the second phase of their life cycle after released into the environment. As result of advancement of modern analytical techniques, active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) are detected in trace amount level the environment,\textsuperscript{62–64} especially in water bodies, such as waste water,\textsuperscript{65,66} surface water\textsuperscript{67} and even drinking water.\textsuperscript{68,69} They soon were
recognized as a hot-spot environmental pollutants.\textsuperscript{70} Although the current environmental concentrations of APIs are unlikely to pose acute toxicity to human being,\textsuperscript{71,72} the limited knowledge about chronic exposure and synergic effects places a question mark in long-term risk assessment of APIs. Considering that these anthropocentric synthetic compounds are mostly designed to aim at evolutionarily conserved targets to trigger biological responses at minute levels and optimized for extra degradation resistance for stable shelf lives,\textsuperscript{73,74} their potential link to ecotoxicity is worth special attention.\textsuperscript{75} A large body of report that link the exposure to APIs to Biological disasters can found in literature. For example, a seven years of study shows that estrogenic ingredients in birth control pills induced the feminization of fish in an experimental lake in Canada and collapsed the whole fish population.\textsuperscript{76} Also, diclofenac an anti-inflammatory drug has been found to cause 95\% decline of Oriental white-backed vulture population in a national park in Pakistan.\textsuperscript{77} Therefore, precautionary principles should be born in mind toward APIs risk evaluation and management.

Currently, water treatment methods are inadequate to remove pharmaceuticals from sewage treatment plants (STP).\textsuperscript{78–82} Although, advanced sewage treatment methods (e.g. ozonation,\textsuperscript{83} osmosis\textsuperscript{84} etc) exist, their utilization is limited owing to the economic and sustainable consideration.\textsuperscript{85} Therefore, there is a great impetus to seek for environmentally friendly and economically viable technologies to treat the current pressing issue of pharmaceuticals in the environment.

1.5 Mimicking Enzymes in Decontaminating Environmental Pollutants

Chemically speaking, there are two major ways to destroy a molecule, one is oxidation and the other is hydrolysis. Oxidation is well availed by nature as a mechanism for
living beings to fight against invasion of foreign substance, including pharmaceuticals. Oxidation reactions is mainly catalyzed enzymes of the cytochrome P450 (CYP) superfam-
ily. This process is termed as phase I metabolism in pharmacokinetics. The reaction mechanisms by P450 have been extensively reviewed. To give a brief overview, it can be categorized into hydroxylat-
ion, dehydrogenation and epoxidation etc. The analysis and argument for the P450 reaction mechanism is primarily based on the reactive species compound I (Cpd I). Spectroscopic characterization pointed Cpd I is best described as a Fe(IV) unit exchange coupled to a ligand-based radical. To mimic superior effectiveness and efficiency of CYP450 for the purpose of environmental decontamination, it is natural to build a catalytic unit with the similar electronic identity as Cpd I.

TAML® activators are provide a ligand framework to host many metals. Fe has been so far shown the outstanding performance in catalytic reactions. Spectroscopic evidence plus theoretical analysis has established the identity of FeV as the product of exposure to peroxide. This reactive species is equivalent to Cpd I in CYP 450. Kinetic mechanistic studies supported that TAML® activators are good peroxidase mimickers. Given the fact that Fe TAML® activators functionally simulate CYP450 in theory and have an environmentally compatible elemental composition, it is expected this family of catalysts can find good use in sustainable water purification, especially answering the challenging issues with pharmaceuticals in the environment. This part of research is detailed in chapter 5 and 6.

1.6 Dissertation Roadmap

These three representations can be viewed as three domains for green chemistry, viz principles domain (list 1.1), problems domain (Figure 1.1) and technical domain...
Green chemistry can be transformed among these domains and was made integrable by choosing TAML activators as the integrand. Motivated by this scientific and logical rationale, I set out my Ph.D. safari and built this album along the way. To provide a roadmap for my trip, Table 1.1 is prepared. It illustrates the connection among the three green chemistry domains for each chapter of this thesis.

Table 1.1: Green chemistry projection and mapping in three domains. Numbers correspond to the items for the problems or principles domain. “C” represents “computation”, “A” represents “analysis” and “I” represents “inference” as given in figure Figure 1.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>problems domain</th>
<th>principles domain</th>
<th>technical domain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2, 3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>C, I, A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9, 10</td>
<td>C, I, A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
<td>9, 11</td>
<td>C, A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
<td>4, 9, 10, 11</td>
<td>C, A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Chapter 2

Research on the Elimination of Persistent Organic Pollutants at the United Nations—Defining the Horizon

Human beings are at the centre of concern for sustainable development. They are entitled to a healthy and productive life in harmony with nature.

— UN Rio Declaration (1992)
2.1 Introductory Remark

As stated in the chapter 1, the ultimate goal of green chemistry is to enable sustainable development. To reach this defining goal, the development of environmentally benign technologies upon which to base a sustainable civilization will be essential. But it will not be enough. In my opinion, strong intellectual ties between green chemistry and sustainability ethics will be required. Developing a global technical leadership that is competent in managing resources for the welfare of the near and distant future will also be a key element of success. To practice at integrating sustainability ethics and green chemistry on a meaningful stage, I was fortunate in the summer of 2011 to be able to join the United Nations to conduct research on how the world should embark on the next phase of eliminating persistent organic pollutants (POPs).

2.2 Sustainable Development and Sound Chemical Management

The unconstrained activities of man in transforming his surroundings has gradually reached the scale that incalculable harm to health and the environment had already been inflicted via the chemical contamination of water, air, soil, and living things. The issues has become so conspicuous and pressing that the UN General Assembly decided to convene the Stockholm Conference in 1972 with the focus on human interactions with the environment. The meeting agreed upon a Declaration composed of 26 principles concerning the environment and development:¹

1. Human rights must be asserted, apartheid and colonialism condemned.
2. Natural resources must be safeguarded.
3. The Earth’s capacity to produce renewable resources must be maintained.
4. Wildlife must be safeguarded.
5. Non-renewable resources must be shared and not exhausted.
6. Pollution must not exceed the environment’s capacity to clean itself.
7. Damaging oceanic pollution must be prevented.
8. Development is needed to improve the environment.
9. Developing countries therefore need assistance.
10. Developing countries need reasonable prices for exports to carry out environmental management.
11. Environment policy must not hamper development.
12. Developing countries need money to develop environmental safeguards.
13. Integrated development planning is needed.
14. Rational planning should resolve conflicts between environment and development.
15. Human settlements must be planned to eliminate environmental problems.
16. Governments should plan their own appropriate population policies.
17. National institutions must plan development of states’ natural resources.
18. Science and technology must be used to improve the environment.
19. Environmental education is essential.
20. Environmental research must be promoted, particularly in developing countries.
21. States may exploit their resources as they wish but must not endanger others.
22. Compensation is due to states thus endangered.
23. Each nation must establish its own standards.
24. There must be cooperation on international issues.
25. International organizations should help to improve the environment.
26. Weapons of mass destruction must be eliminated.

In 1987 in the World Commission on the Environment and Development, the UN defined sustainable development as development that meets the needs of the present
without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs. In 1992, the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED) was held in Rio and reached a framework convention on climate. In 2000 the UN listed environmental sustainability as one of the Millennium Development Goals. Judging from this historical record and from on-going efforts that I observed at the UN, it is reasonable to assert that sustainability has reached the top of the UN’s development agenda. However, numerous practices are blocking effective sustainable development, among which the release of hazardous chemicals is the most formidable. Chemical pollution continues to devastate biodiversity, harden soils and degrade the land. Some chemicals are known to disrupt the hormonal control of cellular development and signaling at environmentally relevant concentrations. The collective phenomena, called endocrine disruption, are tectonic of significance to sustainability as some everyday chemicals are clearly able to produce impaired organisms at tiny concentrations. Moreover, evidence continues to mount that the adverse effects are multi-generational. Numerous chemicals damage immune and reproductive systems and cause cancer, often via endocrine disruption mechanisms. Thus, the complexity of the effects of chemicals on living organisms and the often attendant irreversibility and trans-generational effects of the resulting damage represent massive challenges to sustainability. To address the health and environmental challenges of chemicals, the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) was adopted in May 2001 and entered into force in May 2004. Initially, twelve chemicals were listed for restriction and elimination under three separate categories; 1. pesticides, 2. industrial chemicals and, 3. unintentional by-products. Later at the fourth and fifth Conference of the Parties (COP) in May 2009 and April 2011, another ten chemicals were added, giving the present POPs list of twenty-two chemicals.

Examples of POPs are; organochlorine pesticides such as DDT, chlordane, and lin-
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dane, industrial chemicals such as polychlorinated biphenyl (PCBs), perfluorooctane sulfonic acid (PFOS) and its salts and perfluorooctane sulfonyle fluoride (POSF), and unintentional byproducts such as polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans (PDCD/Fs).

2.3 PCBs Elimination

Given the broad range, it is not feasible to cover every designated POP in the following discussion. I have chosen PCBs as a representative set and I will discuss the current state of technological approaches for eliminating PCBs.

PCBs are a set of 209 molecules comprising every possible case of chlorine for hydrogen substitution on biphenyl. The physico-chemical properties of PCBs vary depending on the chlorine content and substitution pattern. As the chlorine content increases, PCBs range from light mobile colorless oils through thick syrups to light yellow resinous solids. Other properties such as viscosity, melting point, boiling point, and density etc. also vary according to the chlorine content. PCBs may have distinct odors but many are odorless. They are highly soluble in non-polar organic solvents such as mineral oils. They are chemically inert, thermally stable, flame-proofing, and electrically insulating. When treated at extreme high temperature (1000°C) in the presence of oxygen, PCBs decompose predominantly into carbon dioxide, water and hydrochloric acid, and significantly also produce small quantities of polychlorinated-p-dioxins/furans (FCDD/Fs).

The broadly useful technical properties of PCBs have inspired a wide range of applications. Large scale industrial production started in 1929 and was concentrated in a relatively small number of countries: Austria, China, Czechoslovakia, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Russia, Spain, the United Kingdom and the United States.
PCBs were marketed under a multitude of trade names. The primary manufacturer in North America, Monsanto Chemical Company, marketed PCBs primarily under the trade name, Aroclor. Aroclor refers to a mixture of phenyl compounds with different degree of chlorination, indicated by a four digit number system. For example, Aroclor 1242 represents chlorinated biphenyl that is 42% chlorine by weight. The primary uses of PCBs included dielectric fluids in transformers and capacitors, hydraulic fluids, plasticizers, flame-retardants, and adhesives etc., with dielectric fluids accounting for 60% of the total use. According to Basel Convention, any substance or material with a PCB concentration greater than 50 ppm are considered as PCBs.

Once they have entered the environment, PCBs can easily cycle between air, water and soil and exhibit extreme resistance to degradation. They are picked up into the bodies of small organisms and fish in water, and efficiently migrate and magnify up the food web. Fat loving PCBs preferentially deposit in adipose tissues and the liver. Evidence has shown that PCBs have hepatotoxic potential, can disrupt thyroid hormones, may alter immune status and neurological behavior, and cause menstrual disturbance and sperm morphology. Some PCBs have dioxin-like properties and are carcinogenic. To facilitate the risk assessment and regulatory control of PCB mixtures, PCDD and PCDF, the concept of toxic equivalent factors (TEFs) were initially developed in 1998 and reevaluated in 2005. Please see 2.6.1 for the TEF values. By summing up contributions of individual TEF, one can calculate the toxic equivalent (TEQ).

\[
\text{TEQ} = \sum_i \text{PCDD}_i \times \text{TEF}_i + \sum_i \text{PCDF}_i \times \text{TEF}_i + \sum_i \text{PCB}_i \times \text{TEF}_i \quad (2.1)
\]
minate equipment and oils containing PCBs from use by 2025 and bring these under environmentally sound waste management by 2028. The Annex A-C of Stockholm Convention 2009 and an amendment to Annex A in 2011 were attached in the section 2.6.2. At the fourth conference of parties, the PCBs Elimination Network (PEN) was formed with the aim of improving coordination and cooperation among stakeholders from different sectors with the goal of achieving the environmentally sound management of PCBs.

In terms of technologies, there are several effective options for PCBs destruction. High temperature incineration is the most widely used. When performed properly, this technology can destroy PCBs up to 99.9999%. However, when inadequately executed, the risks of emissions of harmful substances are high. Another high temperature method is called “plasma arc”. The plasma is created by arcing high current density. Inert gas under pressure is passed through the arc into a sealed container of waste material, reaching temperatures up 15,000 °C. At these temperatures, most types of waste are broken into atomic components in a gaseous form. A separate technology is to abstract halogens from hydrocarbons using hydrogen atoms. One approach focuses on reacting hydrogens with PCBs at high temperature (850°C) and low pressure, yielding primarily methane and hydrogen chloride and “minor” amounts of other low molecular weight hydrocarbons, including benzene. The destruction efficiencies are 99.9999% for PCBs. A separate approach relies on generating hydrogen atoms via a patented technology that is based on catalytic decomposition in a basic environment. It involves treatment of liquid and solid wastes in the presence of a reagent mixture consisting of a high boiling point hydrocarbon, sodium hydroxide and a proprietary catalyst. When heated to about 300°C, the system produces highly reactive hydrogen atoms that proceed to cleave carbon and chlorine bonds. Metallic sodium can be used to destroy PCBs in mineral oil. The approach has been used
widely for in-situ removal of PCBs from active transformers. Another approach utilizes super-critical water oxidation. At temperatures and pressures above the critical point of water (374°C and 22.1 MPa), organic materials become highly soluble in water and react rapidly with oxygen or hydrogen peroxide to produce carbon dioxide, water and inorganic acids or salts.

Based on my studies, it is clear that significant progress has been made in combating POPs. However, the journey has been long and hard, and PCBs are only one set among the 22 POP challenges. Today, the extremely toxic pesticide aldicarb, a single drop of which is capable of killing an adult human, is still being used extensively in the US and 25 additional countries.\textsuperscript{17} Annually, between 1% and 3% of farm workers worldwide suffer from pesticides poisoning and 1 million require hospitalization.\textsuperscript{17} A combination of coal burning and the use of illegal pesticides is being blamed for causing abnormally high rate of birth defects in countries such as China.\textsuperscript{18} Countless tragic stories caused by poor management of chemicals reoccur year after year. Why has it been so difficult to end this chemical mayhem?

2.4 Outlook

After much back and forth pondering, I have identified in my own mind two dominant causes for the current stalemate. The first cause is the divergence of value systems. What might mean a great deal to some might mean little to others. For example, what is the value of nature? To the American Indian, it provides the life-dependent sustenance and should be lived in complete harmony with. On the other hand, to the western explorers of America, the unspoiled wilderness was a huge blank canvas, which had long been waiting for the human touch to paint a picture. The value of nature was its potential for exploitation, an exploitation that is not bounded by nature’s
resilience. Over time, many people in America gradually developed an increasing appreciation of the beauty and value of nature of its own sake, and started realizing its vulnerability and determined to preserve the vestige of the once abundant and self-sustaining ecological system. Two groups of opinions on the value of nature, entrepreneurial utilitarianism vs. environmental conservationism, formed and the chasm between them kept widening. As industrialization further expanded and nature was ever more forcefully adapted to suit the near term human needs, the tension between environmental conservation and exploitation deepened and became seemingly irreconcilable. Today, the current dominating anthropocentric and monopolar value systems still ascribe little value to protecting nature out into the distant future, which is the very essence of sustainability. The second cause will go by a term that I will now coin and define. The term is “first-personism”, which means the concerns of one person do not necessarily go beyond their first-hand personal experience. Now let me elaborate it by a conversation between a business professional and myself in New York in the summer of 2011. It began with my worrisome description of the current global climate change scenario and the urgency for curbing its encroaching sustainability. Somehow this appearingly self-evident issue did not resonate with Lily. She told me that she could not sense the urgency of the climate change simply because she has not been affected personally. Even although she had learned from the news that communities in south Asia were more frequently flooded nowadays, she claimed it was very hard for her to imagine the impact of such disasters due to the lack of personal experience in America. Apparently, even the impact of Katrina on New Orleans was not close enough. Apparently, only a first-hand experience would suffice to deliver the appropriate understanding. What’s more, she assured that she was representing the average American with this point of view. Accepting this face value, it would be no wonder about the resistance to the Kyoto protocol.
Obviously, the difference in opinions exists. Obviously, the task is huge to get people to think broadly. But one should not forget that status quo is nothing unbreakable. The foundational ideas of human civilization have been immensely varied historically and are constantly evolving. The seven continents were once thought never to have been one. Electrons were thought not to spin. Enzymes were thought to be nothing more than proteins. But all these ideas have been proved wrong with the progress of science. It is science that redirected our thinking and expanded our vision. What has fueled the flame of science is the desire of human beings to understand reality and use that understanding to improve the human condition. Looking back into history, from the clean air act to the clean water act, from snowmobiles in Yellowstone to oil pipelines in Alaska, many environmental struggles have ended up as arguments over whether or not science and technology could provide solutions to the attendant technology-induced pollution problems. The question is often whether or not technology can dig us out technology-derived problems. As the body of environmentally compatible technologies and polices has grown, we have achieved cleaner air and water and improved health. Many environmental problems have been solved at local levels employing both technological and policy tools where the people who have forced and/or enacted the solutions are the same people who were experiencing the adverse effects of pollution. Because of the way the cause-and-effect dynamic is experienced, local problems are far easier to solve than global problems. At the global level, numerous technologies are slowly wearing down the ecosphere in ways that are not immediate apparent to the polluters. Industrial chemicals and pharmaceuticals are good examples. This set of human-made products were created with the intention of bettering the human condition or improving human health. But often, the near-term advantages are gained at the expense of long-term sustainability and this dynamic is extremely difficult to counteract given the way our civilization has evolved.
Ultimately, sustainability demands that all technologies are essential to the function of civilization are compatible with the long-term common good. This means there is a great need for promoting green science and technologies and for developing a global leadership that can competently align civilization building and sustainability. Such a leadership would care about all nations. It would not allow less advantaged jurisdictions to become the repositories of hazardous waste or other forms of wealthier countries exploiting poorer countries. Solutions to environmental problems would be framed on the global scale whenever appropriate. A competent global sustainability leadership would belong not to individual nations but would instead unite all nations in pursuing sustainability.

2.5 References


2.6 Appendices

2.6.1 TEF Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>WHO 1998 TEF</th>
<th>WHO 2005 TEF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>chlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,3,7,8-TCDD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCDD</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chlorinated dibenzofurans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,3,7,8-TCDF</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCDF</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
non-ortho substituted PCBs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PCB</th>
<th>Concentration 1</th>
<th>Concentration 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

mono-ortho substituted PCBs

| 105    | 0.0001          | 0.00003         |
| 114    | 0.0005          | 0.00003         |
| 118    | 0.0001          | 0.00003         |
| 123    | 0.0001          | 0.00003         |
| 156    | 0.0005          | 0.00003         |
| 157    | 0.0005          | 0.00003         |
| 167    | 0.00001         | 0.00003         |
| 189    | 0.0001          | 0.00003         |

References\textsuperscript{14,15}

2.6.2 Stockholm Convention Annex A-C 2009 and Amendment 2011
## Annex A  ELIMINATION

### Part I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Specific exemption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aldrin*</td>
<td>Production</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Use</td>
<td>Local ectoparasiticide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Insecticide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpha hexachlorocyclohexane*</td>
<td>Production</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Use</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta hexachlorocyclohexane*</td>
<td>Production</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Use</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlordane*</td>
<td>Production</td>
<td>As allowed for the Parties listed in the Register</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Use</td>
<td>Local ectoparasiticide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Insecticide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Termiticide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Termiticide in buildings and dams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Termiticide in roads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Additive in plywood adhesives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlordecone*</td>
<td>Production</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Use</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dieldrin*</td>
<td>Production</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Use</td>
<td>In agricultural operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endrin*</td>
<td>Production</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Use</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heptachlor*</td>
<td>Production</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Use</td>
<td>Termiticide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Termiticide in structures of houses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Termiticide (subterranean)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wood treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>In use in underground cable boxes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexabromobiphenyl*</td>
<td>Production</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Use</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexabromodiphenyl ether* and heptabromodiphenyl ether*</td>
<td>Production</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Use</td>
<td>Articles in accordance with the provisions of Part IV of this Annex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical</td>
<td>Activity</td>
<td>Specific exemption¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexachlorobenzene</td>
<td>Production</td>
<td>As allowed for the Parties listed in the Register</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAS No: 118-74-1</td>
<td>Use</td>
<td>Intermediate Solvent in pesticide Closed system site limited intermediate²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindane*</td>
<td>Production</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAS No: 58-89-9</td>
<td>Use</td>
<td>Human health pharmaceutical for control of head lice and scabies as second line treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mirex*</td>
<td>Production</td>
<td>As allowed for the Parties listed in the Register</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAS No: 2385-85-5</td>
<td>Use</td>
<td>Termiticide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentachlorobenzene*</td>
<td>Production</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAS No: 608-93-5</td>
<td>Use</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCB)*</td>
<td>Production</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use</td>
<td>Articles in use in accordance with the provisions of Part II of this Annex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrabromodiphenyl ether* and</td>
<td>Production</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pentabromodiphenyl ether*</td>
<td>Use</td>
<td>Articles in accordance with the provisions of Part V of this Annex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxaphene*</td>
<td>Production</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAS No: 8001-35-2</td>
<td>Use</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

(i) Except as otherwise specified in this Convention, quantities of a chemical occurring as unintentional trace contaminants in products and articles shall not be considered to be listed in this Annex;

¹ Please note that, as at 17 May 2009, there were no Parties registered for the specific exemptions listed in Annex A pertaining to aldrin, chlordane, dieldrin, heptachlor, hexachlorobenzene, and mirex. Therefore, in accordance with paragraph 9 of Article 4 of the Convention, no new registrations may be made with respect to such exemptions, which appear in grey text in the table.

² Please note that, although the specific exemption for the use of hexachlorobenzene as closed-system site-limited intermediate is no longer available, this use is still possible in accordance with note (iii) of Part I of this Annex.
(ii) This note shall not be considered as a production and use specific exemption for purposes of paragraph 2 of Article 3. Quantities of a chemical occurring as constituents of articles manufactured or already in use before or on the date of entry into force of the relevant obligation with respect to that chemical, shall not be considered as listed in this Annex, provided that a Party has notified the Secretariat that a particular type of article remains in use within that Party. The Secretariat shall make such notifications publicly available;

(iii) This note, which does not apply to a chemical that has an asterisk following its name in the Chemical column in Part I of this Annex, shall not be considered as a production and use specific exemption for purposes of paragraph 2 of Article 3. Given that no significant quantities of the chemical are expected to reach humans and the environment during the production and use of a closed-system site-limited intermediate, a Party, upon notification to the Secretariat, may allow the production and use of quantities of a chemical listed in this Annex as a closed-system site-limited intermediate that is chemically transformed in the manufacture of other chemicals that, taking into consideration the criteria in paragraph 1 of Annex D, do not exhibit the characteristics of persistent organic pollutants. This notification shall include information on total production and use of such chemical or a reasonable estimate of such information and information regarding the nature of the closed-system site-limited process including the amount of any non-transformed and unintentional trace contamination of the persistent organic pollutant-starting material in the final product. This procedure applies except as otherwise specified in this Annex. The Secretariat shall make such notifications available to the Conference of the Parties and to the public. Such production or use shall not be considered a production or use specific exemption. Such production and use shall cease after a ten-year period, unless the Party concerned submits a new notification to the Secretariat, in which case the period will be extended for an additional ten years unless the Conference of the Parties, after a review of the production and use decides otherwise. The notification procedure can be repeated;

(iv) All the specific exemptions in this Annex may be exercised by Parties that have registered exemptions in respect of them in accordance with Article 4 with the exception of the use of polychlorinated biphenyls in articles in use in accordance with the provisions of Part II, which may
be exercised by all Parties, the use of hexabromodiphenyl ether and heptabromodiphenyl ether in accordance with the provisions of Part IV, and the use of tetrabromodiphenyl ether and pentabromodiphenyl ether in accordance with the provisions of Part V of this Annex.

Part II  Polychlorinated biphenyls

Each Party shall:

(a) With regard to the elimination of the use of polychlorinated biphenyls in equipment (e.g. transformers, capacitors or other receptacles containing liquid stocks) by 2025, subject to review by the Conference of the Parties, take action in accordance with the following priorities:

(i) Make determined efforts to identify, label and remove from use equipment containing greater than 10 per cent polychlorinated biphenyls and volumes greater than 5 litres;

(ii) Make determined efforts to identify, label and remove from use equipment containing greater than 0.05 per cent polychlorinated biphenyls and volumes greater than 5 litres;

(iii) Endeavour to identify and remove from use equipment containing greater than 0.005 percent polychlorinated biphenyls and volumes greater than 0.05 litres;

(b) Consistent with the priorities in subparagraph (a), promote the following measures to reduce exposures and risk to control the use of polychlorinated biphenyls:

(i) Use only in intact and non-leaking equipment and only in areas where the risk from environmental release can be minimised and quickly remedied;

(ii) Not use in equipment in areas associated with the production or processing of food or feed;

(iii) When used in populated areas, including schools and hospitals, all reasonable measures to protect from electrical failure which could result in a fire, and regular inspection of equipment for leaks;

(c) Notwithstanding paragraph 2 of Article 3, ensure that equipment containing polychlorinated biphenyls, as described in subparagraph (a), shall not be exported or imported except for the purpose of environmentally sound waste management;
(d) Except for maintenance and servicing operations, not allow recovery for the purpose of reuse in other equipment of liquids with polychlorinated biphenyls content above 0.005 per cent;

(e) Make determined efforts designed to lead to environmentally sound waste management of liquids containing polychlorinated biphenyls and equipment contaminated with polychlorinated biphenyls having a polychlorinated biphenyls content above 0.005 per cent, in accordance with paragraph 1 of Article 6, as soon as possible but no later than 2028, subject to review by the Conference of the Parties;

(f) In lieu of note (ii) in Part I of this Annex, endeavour to identify other articles containing more than 0.005 per cent polychlorinated biphenyls (e.g. cable-sheaths, cured caulk and painted objects) and manage them in accordance with paragraph 1 of Article 6;

(g) Provide a report every five years on progress in eliminating polychlorinated biphenyls and submit it to the Conference of the Parties pursuant to Article 15;

(h) The reports described in subparagraph (g) shall, as appropriate, be considered by the Conference of the Parties in its reviews relating to polychlorinated biphenyls. The Conference of the Parties shall review progress towards elimination of polychlorinated biphenyls at five year intervals or other period, as appropriate, taking into account such reports.

Part III  Definitions

For the purpose of this Annex:


(b) “Tetrabromodiphenyl ether and pentabromodiphenyl ether” means 2,2’,4,4’-tetrabromodiphenyl ether (BDE-47, CAS No: 5436-43-1) and 2,2’,4,4’,5-pentabromodiphenyl ether (BDE-99, CAS No: 60348-60-9) and other tetra- and pentabromodiphenyl ethers present in commercial pentabromodiphenyl ether.
Part IV  Hexabromodiphenyl ether and heptabromodiphenyl ether

1. A Party may allow recycling of articles that contain or may contain hexabromodiphenyl ether and heptabromodiphenyl ether, and the use and final disposal of articles manufactured from recycled materials that contain or may contain hexabromodiphenyl ether and heptabromodiphenyl ether, provided that:

   (a) The recycling and final disposal is carried out in an environmentally sound manner and does not lead to recovery of hexabromodiphenyl ether and heptabromodiphenyl ether for the purpose of their reuse;

   (b) The Party takes steps to prevent exports of such articles that contain levels/concentrations of hexabromodiphenyl ether and heptabromodiphenyl ether exceeding those permitted for the sale, use, import or manufacture of those articles within the territory of the Party; and

   (c) The Party has notified the Secretariat of its intention to make use of this exemption.

2. At its sixth ordinary meeting and at every second ordinary meeting thereafter the Conference of the Parties shall evaluate the progress that Parties have made towards achieving their ultimate objective of elimination of hexabromodiphenyl ether and heptabromodiphenyl ether contained in articles and review the continued need for this specific exemption. This specific exemption shall in any case expire at the latest in 2030.

Part V  Tetrabromodiphenyl ether and pentabromodiphenyl ether

1. A Party may allow recycling of articles that contain or may contain tetrabromodiphenyl ether and pentabromodiphenyl ether, and the use and final disposal of articles manufactured from recycled materials that contain or may contain tetrabromodiphenyl ether and pentabromodiphenyl ether, provided that:

   (a) The recycling and final disposal is carried out in an environmentally sound manner and does not lead to recovery of tetrabromodiphenyl ether and pentabromodiphenyl ether for the purpose of their reuse;

   (b) The Party does not allow this exemption to lead to the export of articles containing levels/concentrations of tetrabromodiphenyl ether and pentabromodiphenyl ether that exceed those permitted to be sold within the territory of the Party; and
(c) The Party has notified the Secretariat of its intention to make use of this exemption.

2. At its sixth ordinary meeting and at every second ordinary meeting thereafter the Conference of the Parties shall evaluate the progress that Parties have made towards achieving their ultimate objective of elimination of tetrabromodiphenyl ether and pentabromodiphenyl ether contained in articles and review the continued need for this specific exemption. This specific exemption shall in any case expire at the latest in 2030.
## Annex B  RESTRICTION

### Part I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Acceptable purpose or specific exemption&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>DDT</strong>&lt;br&gt;(1,1,1-trichloro-2,2-bis&lt;br&gt;(4-chlorophenyl)ethane)&lt;br&gt;CAS No: 50-29-3</td>
<td>Production</td>
<td><strong>Acceptable purpose:</strong> Disease vector control use in accordance with Part II of this Annex&lt;br&gt;&lt;br&gt;<strong>Specific exemption:</strong> Intermediate in production of dicofol Intermediate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Use</td>
<td><strong>Acceptable purpose:</strong> Disease vector control in accordance with Part II of this Annex&lt;br&gt;&lt;br&gt;<strong>Specific exemption:</strong> Production of dicofol Intermediate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Perfluorooctane sulfonic acid</strong>&lt;br&gt;(CAS No: 1763-23-1), its salts&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; and perfluorooctane sulfonyl fluoride (CAS No: 307-35-7)</td>
<td>Production</td>
<td><strong>Acceptable purpose:</strong> In accordance with Part III of this Annex, production of other chemicals to be used solely for the uses below. Production for uses listed below.&lt;br&gt;&lt;br&gt;<strong>Specific exemption:</strong> As allowed for Parties listed in the Register.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Use</td>
<td><strong>Acceptable purpose:</strong> In accordance with Part III of this Annex for the following acceptable purposes, or as an intermediate in the production of chemicals with the following acceptable purposes:&lt;br&gt;- Photo-imaging&lt;br&gt;- Photo-resist and anti-reflective coatings for semi-conductors&lt;br&gt;- Etching agent for compound semi-conductors and ceramic filters&lt;br&gt;- Aviation hydraulic fluids&lt;br&gt;- Metal plating (hard metal plating) only in closed-loop systems&lt;br&gt;- Certain medical devices (such as ethylene tetrafluoroethylene copolymer (ETFE) layers and radio-opaque ETFE production, in-vitro diagnostic medical devices, and CCD colour filters)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> For example: potassium perfluorooctane sulfonate (CAS No: 2795-39-3); lithium perfluorooctane sulfonate (CAS No: 29457-72-5); ammonium perfluorooctane sulfonate (CAS No: 29081-56-9); diethanolammonium perfluorooctane sulfonate (CAS No: 70225-14-8); tetraethylammonium perfluorooctane sulfonate (CAS No: 56773-42-3); didecyldimethylammonium perfluorooctane sulfonate (CAS No: 251099-16-8)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Acceptable purpose or specific exemption$^3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Fire-fighting foam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Insect baits for control of leaf-cutting ants from <em>Atta</em> spp. and <em>Acromyrmex</em> spp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Specific exemption:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>For the following specific uses, or as an intermediate in the production of chemicals with the following specific uses:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Photo masks in the semiconductor and liquid crystal display (LCD) industries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Metal plating (hard metal plating)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Metal plating (decorative plating)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Electric and electronic parts for some colour printers and colour copy machines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Insecticides for control of red imported fire ants and termites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Chemically driven oil production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Carpets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Leather and apparel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Textiles and upholstery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Paper and packaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Coatings and coating additives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Rubber and plastics</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

(i) Except as otherwise specified in this Convention, quantities of a chemical occurring as unintentional trace contaminants in products and articles shall not be considered to be listed in this Annex;

---

$^3$ Please note that, as at 17 May 2009, there were no Parties registered for the specific exemptions listed in Annex B pertaining to DDT. Therefore, in accordance with paragraph 9 of Article 4 of the Convention, no new registrations may be made with respect to such exemptions, which appear in grey text in the table.
(ii) This note shall not be considered as a production and use acceptable purpose or specific exemption for purposes of paragraph 2 of Article 3. Quantities of a chemical occurring as constituents of articles manufactured or already in use before or on the date of entry into force of the relevant obligation with respect to that chemical, shall not be considered as listed in this Annex, provided that a Party has notified the Secretariat that a particular type of article remains in use within that Party. The Secretariat shall make such notifications publicly available;

(iii) This note shall not be considered as a production and use specific exemption for purposes of paragraph 2 of Article 3. Given that no significant quantities of the chemical are expected to reach humans and the environment during the production and use of a closed-system site-limited intermediate, a Party, upon notification to the Secretariat, may allow the production and use of quantities of a chemical listed in this Annex as a closed-system site-limited intermediate that is chemically transformed in the manufacture of other chemicals that, taking into consideration the criteria in paragraph 1 of Annex D, do not exhibit the characteristics of persistent organic pollutants. This notification shall include information on total production and use of such chemical or a reasonable estimate of such information and information regarding the nature of the closed-system site-limited process including the amount of any non-transformed and unintentional trace contamination of the persistent organic pollutant-starting material in the final product. This procedure applies except as otherwise specified in this Annex. The Secretariat shall make such notifications available to the Conference of the Parties and to the public. Such production or use shall not be considered a production or use specific exemption. Such production and use shall cease after a ten-year period, unless the Party concerned submits a new notification to the Secretariat, in which case the period will be extended for an additional ten years unless the Conference of the Parties, after a review of the production and use decides otherwise. The notification procedure can be repeated;

(iv) All the specific exemptions in this Annex may be exercised by Parties that have registered in respect of them in accordance with Article 4.
Part II  DDT (1,1,1-trichloro-2,2-bis(4-chlorophenyl)ethane)

1. The production and use of DDT shall be eliminated except for Parties that have notified the Secretariat of their intention to produce and/or use it. A DDT Register is hereby established and shall be available to the public. The Secretariat shall maintain the DDT Register.

2. Each Party that produces and/or uses DDT shall restrict such production and/or use for disease vector control in accordance with the World Health Organization recommendations and guidelines on the use of DDT and when locally safe, effective and affordable alternatives are not available to the Party in question.

3. In the event that a Party not listed in the DDT Register determines that it requires DDT for disease vector control, it shall notify the Secretariat as soon as possible in order to have its name added forthwith to the DDT Register. It shall at the same time notify the World Health Organization.

4. Every three years, each Party that uses DDT shall provide to the Secretariat and the World Health Organization information on the amount used, the conditions of such use and its relevance to that Party’s disease management strategy, in a format to be decided by the Conference of the Parties in consultation with the World Health Organization.

5. With the goal of reducing and ultimately eliminating the use of DDT, the Conference of the Parties shall encourage:

   (a) Each Party using DDT to develop and implement an action plan as part of the implementation plan specified in Article 7. That action plan shall include:

      (i) Development of regulatory and other mechanisms to ensure that DDT use is restricted to disease vector control;

      (ii) Implementation of suitable alternative products, methods and strategies, including resistance management strategies to ensure the continuing effectiveness of these alternatives;

      (iii) Measures to strengthen health care and to reduce the incidence of the disease.

   (b) The Parties, within their capabilities, to promote research and development of safe alternative chemical and non-chemical products, methods and strategies for Parties using DDT, relevant to the conditions of those countries and with the goal of decreasing the human and economic burden of disease. Factors to be promoted when considering alternatives or combinations of alternatives shall include the human health risks and
environmental implications of such alternatives. Viable alternatives to DDT shall pose less risk to human health and the environment, be suitable for disease control based on conditions in the Parties in question and be supported with monitoring data.

6. Commencing at its first meeting, and at least every three years thereafter, the Conference of the Parties shall, in consultation with the World Health Organization, evaluate the continued need for DDT for disease vector control on the basis of available scientific, technical, environmental and economic information, including:

   (a) The production and use of DDT and the conditions set out in paragraph 2;

   (b) The availability, suitability and implementation of the alternatives to DDT; and

   (c) Progress in strengthening the capacity of countries to transfer safely to reliance on such alternatives.

7. A Party may, at any time, withdraw its name from the DDT Registry upon written notification to the Secretariat. The withdrawal shall take effect on the date specified in the notification.

Part III  Perfluorooctane sulfonic acid, its salts, and perfluorooctane sulfonyl fluoride

1. The production and use of perfluorooctane sulfonic acid (PFOS), its salts and perfluorooctane sulfonyle fluoride (PFOSF) shall be eliminated by all Parties except as provided in Part I of this Annex for Parties that have notified the Secretariat of their intention to produce and/or use them for acceptable purposes. A Register of Acceptable Purposes is hereby established and shall be available to the public. The Secretariat shall maintain the Register of Acceptable Purposes. In the event that a Party not listed in the Register determines that it requires the use of PFOS, its salts or PFOSF for the acceptable purposes listed in Part I of this Annex it shall notify the Secretariat as soon as possible in order to have its name added forthwith to the Register.

2. Parties that produce and/or use these chemicals shall take into account, as appropriate, guidance such as that given in the relevant parts of the general guidance on best available techniques and best environmental practices given in Part V of Annex C of the Convention.

3. Every four years, each Party that uses and/or produces these chemicals shall report on progress made to eliminate PFOS, its salts and PFOSF and submit information on such progress to the Conference of the Parties pursuant to and in the process of reporting under Article 15 of the Convention.
4. With the goal of reducing and ultimately eliminating the production and/or use of these chemicals, the Conference of the Parties shall encourage:

(a) Each Party using these chemicals to take action to phase out uses when suitable alternatives substances or methods are available;

(b) Each Party using and/or producing these chemicals to develop and implement an action plan as part of the implementation plan specified in Article 7 of the Convention;

(c) The Parties, within their capabilities, to promote research on and development of safe alternative chemical and non-chemical products and processes, methods and strategies for Parties using these chemicals, relevant to the conditions of those Parties. Factors to be promoted when considering alternatives or combinations of alternatives shall include the human health risks and environmental implications of such alternatives.

5. The Conference of the Parties shall evaluate the continued need for these chemicals for the various acceptable purposes and specific exemptions on the basis of available scientific, technical, environmental and economic information, including:

(a) Information provided in the reports described in paragraph 3;

(b) Information on the production and use of these chemicals;

(c) Information on the availability, suitability and implementation of alternatives to these chemicals;

(d) Information on progress in building the capacity of countries to transfer safely to reliance on such alternatives.

6. The evaluation referred to in the preceding paragraph shall take place no later than in 2015 and every four years thereafter, in conjunction with a regular meeting of the Conference of the Parties.

7. Due to the complexity of the use and the many sectors of society involved in the use of these chemicals, there might be other uses of these chemicals of which countries are not presently aware. Parties which become aware of other uses are encouraged to inform the Secretariat as soon as possible.

8. A Party may, at any time, withdraw its name from the Register of acceptable purposes upon written notification to the Secretariat. The withdrawal shall take effect on the date specified in the notification.

9. The provisions of note (iii) of Part I of Annex B shall not apply to these chemicals.
Annex C  UNINTENTIONAL PRODUCTION

Part I  Persistent organic pollutants subject to the requirements of Article 5

This Annex applies to the following persistent organic pollutants when formed and released unintentionally from anthropogenic sources:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>CAS No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hexachlorobenzene (HCB)</td>
<td>118-74-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentachlorobenzene (PeCB)</td>
<td>608-93-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCB)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans (PCDD/PCDF)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part II  Source categories

Hexachlorobenzene, pentachlorobenzene, polychlorinated biphenyls, and polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans are unintentionally formed and released from thermal processes involving organic matter and chlorine as a result of incomplete combustion or chemical reactions. The following industrial source categories have the potential for comparatively high formation and release of these chemicals to the environment:

(a) Waste incinerators, including co-incinerators of municipal, hazardous or medical waste or of sewage sludge;

(b) Cement kilns firing hazardous waste;

(c) Production of pulp using elemental chlorine or chemicals generating elemental chlorine for bleaching;

(d) The following thermal processes in the metallurgical industry:
  (i) Secondary copper production;
  (ii) Sinter plants in the iron and steel industry;
  (iii) Secondary aluminium production;
  (iv) Secondary zinc production.
Part III  Source categories

Hexachlorobenzene, pentachlorobenzene, polychlorinated biphenyls, and polychlorinated dibenzo-\(p\)-dioxins and dibenzofurans may also be unintentionally formed and released from the following source categories, including:

(a) Open burning of waste, including burning of landfill sites;
(b) Thermal processes in the metallurgical industry not mentioned in Part II;
(c) Residential combustion sources;
(d) Fossil fuel-fired utility and industrial boilers;
(e) Firing installations for wood and other biomass fuels;
(f) Specific chemical production processes releasing unintentionally formed persistent organic pollutants, especially production of chlorophenols and chloranil;
(g) Crematoria;
(h) Motor vehicles, particularly those burning leaded gasoline;
(i) Destruction of animal carcasses;
(j) Textile and leather dyeing (with chloranil) and finishing (with alkaline extraction);
(k) Shredder plants for the treatment of end of life vehicles;
(l) Smouldering of copper cables;
(m) Waste oil refineries.

Part IV  Definitions

1. For the purposes of this Annex:

(a) “Polychlorinated biphenyls” means aromatic compounds formed in such a manner that the hydrogen atoms on the biphenyl molecule (two benzene rings bonded together by a single carbon-carbon bond) may be replaced by up to ten chlorine atoms; and

(b) “Polychlorinated dibenzo-\(p\)-dioxins” and “polychlorinated dibenzofurans” are tricyclic, aromatic compounds formed by two benzene rings connected by two oxygen atoms in polychlorinated dibenzo-\(p\)-dioxins and by one oxygen atom and one carbon-carbon bond in polychlorinated...
dibenzofurans and the hydrogen atoms of which may be replaced by up to eight chlorine atoms.

2. In this Annex, the toxicity of polychlorinated dibenzo-\(p\)-dioxins and dibenzofurans is expressed using the concept of toxic equivalency which measures the relative dioxin-like toxic activity of different congeners of polychlorinated dibenzo-\(p\)-dioxins and dibenzofurans and coplanar polychlorinated biphenyls in comparison to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenz-\(p\)-dioxin. The toxic equivalent factor values to be used for the purposes of this Convention shall be consistent with accepted international standards, commencing with the World Health Organization 1998 mammalian toxic equivalent factor values for polychlorinated dibenzo-\(p\)-dioxins and dibenzofurans and coplanar polychlorinated biphenyls. Concentrations are expressed in toxic equivalents.

Part V  General guidance on best available techniques and best environmental practices

This Part provides general guidance to Parties on preventing or reducing releases of the chemicals listed in Part I.

A. General prevention measures relating to both best available techniques and best environmental practices

Priority should be given to the consideration of approaches to prevent the formation and release of the chemicals listed in Part I. Useful measures could include:

(a) The use of low-waste technology;
(b) The use of less hazardous substances;
(c) The promotion of the recovery and recycling of waste and of substances generated and used in a process;
(d) Replacement of feed materials which are persistent organic pollutants or where there is a direct link between the materials and releases of persistent organic pollutants from the source;
(e) Good housekeeping and preventive maintenance programmes;
(f) Improvements in waste management with the aim of the cessation of open and other uncontrolled burning of wastes, including the burning of landfill sites. When considering proposals to construct new waste disposal facilities, consideration should be given to alternatives such as activities...
to minimize the generation of municipal and medical waste, including resource recovery, reuse, recycling, waste separation and promoting products that generate less waste. Under this approach, public health concerns should be carefully considered;

**(g)** Minimization of these chemicals as contaminants in products;

**(h)** Avoiding elemental chlorine or chemicals generating elemental chlorine for bleaching.

### B. Best available techniques

The concept of best available techniques is not aimed at the prescription of any specific technique or technology, but at taking into account the technical characteristics of the installation concerned, its geographical location and the local environmental conditions. Appropriate control techniques to reduce releases of the chemicals listed in Part I are in general the same. In determining best available techniques, special consideration should be given, generally or in specific cases, to the following factors, bearing in mind the likely costs and benefits of a measure and consideration of precaution and prevention:

**(a)** General considerations:

(i) The nature, effects and mass of the releases concerned: techniques may vary depending on source size;

(ii) The commissioning dates for new or existing installations;

(iii) The time needed to introduce the best available technique;

(iv) The consumption and nature of raw materials used in the process and its energy efficiency;

(v) The need to prevent or reduce to a minimum the overall impact of the releases to the environment and the risks to it;

(vi) The need to prevent accidents and to minimize their consequences for the environment;

(vii) The need to ensure occupational health and safety at workplaces;

(viii) Comparable processes, facilities or methods of operation which have been tried with success on an industrial scale;

(ix) Technological advances and changes in scientific knowledge and understanding.
(b) General release reduction measures: When considering proposals to construct new facilities or significantly modify existing facilities using processes that release chemicals listed in this Annex, priority consideration should be given to alternative processes, techniques or practices that have similar usefulness but which avoid the formation and release of such chemicals. In cases where such facilities will be constructed or significantly modified, in addition to the prevention measures outlined in section A of Part V the following reduction measures could also be considered in determining best available techniques:

(i) Use of improved methods for flue-gas cleaning such as thermal or catalytic oxidation, dust precipitation, or adsorption;

(ii) Treatment of residuals, wastewater, wastes and sewage sludge by, for example, thermal treatment or rendering them inert or chemical processes that detoxify them;

(iii) Process changes that lead to the reduction or elimination of releases, such as moving to closed systems;

(iv) Modification of process designs to improve combustion and prevent formation of the chemicals listed in this Annex, through the control of parameters such as incineration temperature or residence time.

C. Best environmental practices

The Conference of the Parties may develop guidance with regard to best environmental practices.
An amendment to Annex A adopted by the Conference of the Parties to the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants at its fifth meeting (Decision SC-5/3)

The Conference of the Parties,

1. Decides to amend part I of Annex A to the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants to list therein technical endosulfan and its related isomers, with specific exemptions for production as allowed for the parties listed in the Register of Specific Exemptions and/or for use on crop-pest complexes as listed in accordance with the provisions of a new part VI of the annex by inserting the following row:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Specific exemption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Technical endosulfan* (CAS No: 115-29-7) and its related isomers* (CAS No: 959-98-8 and CAS No: 33213-65-9)</td>
<td>Production</td>
<td>As allowed for the parties listed in the Register</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Use</td>
<td>Crop-pest complexes as listed in accordance with the provisions of part VI of this Annex</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Decides to insert a new note (v) in part I of Annex A as follows:

Technical endosulfan (CAS No: 115-29-7), its related isomers (CAS No: 959-98-8 and CAS No: 33213-65-9) and endosulfan sulfate (CAS No: 1031-07-8) were assessed and identified as persistent organic pollutants.

3. Decides to insert a new Part VI in Annex A as follows:

Part VI

Technical endosulfan and its related isomers (endosulfan)

The production and use of endosulfan shall be eliminated except for parties that have notified the Secretariat of their intention to produce and/or use it in accordance with Article 4 of the Convention. Specific exemptions may be available for the use of endosulfan for the following crop-pest complexes:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crop</th>
<th>Pest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apple</td>
<td>Aphids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arhar, gram</td>
<td>Aphids, caterpillars, pea semilooper, pod borer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bean, cowpea</td>
<td>Aphids, leaf miner, whiteflies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chilli, onion, potato</td>
<td>Aphids, jassids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coffee</td>
<td>Berry borer, stem borers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotton</td>
<td>Aphids, cotton bollworm, jassids, leaf rollers, pink bollworm, thrips, whiteflies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eggplant, okra</td>
<td>Aphids, diamondback moth, jassids, shoot and fruit borer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundnut</td>
<td>Aphids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jute</td>
<td>Bihar hairy caterpillar, yellow mite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maize</td>
<td>Aphids, pink borer, stem borers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mango</td>
<td>Fruit flies, hoppers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mustard</td>
<td>Aphids, gall midges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>Gall midges, rice hispa, stem borers, white jassid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tea</td>
<td>Aphids, caterpillars, flushworm, mealybugs, scale insects, smaller green leafhopper, tea geometrid, tea mosquito bug, thrips</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco</td>
<td>Aphids, oriental tobacco budworm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td>Aphids, diamondback moth, jassids, leaf miner, shoot and fruit borer, whiteflies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>Aphids, pink borer, termites</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reference: C.N.703.2011.TREATIES-8 (Depositary Notification)
Chapter 3

Theoretical Investigation of the Electronic Configuration of Co in its Coordination Environment

Youth is not a time of life; it is a state of mind; it is a matter of the will, a quality of the imagination, a vigor of the emotions. Youth means a temperamental predominance of courage over timidity of the appetite, for adventure over the love of ease.

— S. Ullman
3.1 Abstract

Fossils fuels, the ancient deposit of solar energy, have propelled the engine of human civilization since her birth till today. After hundreds of years of excavation and combustion, not only this reserve approaches its exhaustion, but also its use shadows the sustainable future of human beings as well as the lives of all forms on this planet. An urgent need emerges for environmentally benign ways of utilizing solar energy. Photosynthesis provides a promising answer — water splitting. To mimic this process, developing catalysts for water cleavage is the central theme. Among all the earth abundant and inexpensive elements, Co stands out for its high efficiency and dual capability of water reduction and oxidation. Between the two, water oxidation presents the major challenge. Co(IV) was shown to be the active intermediate in this chemical conversion. This highlights the importance of unambiguous characterization of the electronic structure for Co containing catalysts. To this end, the research of this chapter combines the spectroscopic information and DFT calculations to clarify the literature ambiguity in the diagnosis of Co containing complexes, and theoretically projects the ligand environment in favor of hosting a Co(IV) electronic state in an octahedral-like coordination complex.

3.2 Introduction

Energy has fueled human cultural development since its beginning.\textsuperscript{1,2} From taming of fire to riding on steam, from electricity to nuclear power, every celebrating step of human civilization in history also witnessed a revolution in use of energy. Given the significance of energy to our existence and advancement, it is natural to quest the source of energies. The majority of the energies used in industry and our lives is probably can be traced back to be solar radiations.\textsuperscript{3} Solar energy utilization can be
done via two general means, conversion for immediate use and storage for future use. Photovoltaics represent the former case. Despite of their deployment, the intermittent and diffuse nature of solar energy put a question mark on the efficiency and economical viability on this use for electricity generation. On the other hand, solar energy storage in the form of chemical energy is the way nature has chosen to power our planet for billions of years. Fossil fuels are a long-term accumulative result of such an effort and have been generously passed down to us by nature. After hundreds of years of industrious exploitation, we are reaching the point of depleting this luxury reserve. Moreover, the adverse environmental consequences of burning fossil fuels has become more and more conspicuous with the continuing expansion on its scale to meet the need of the growing human population.

Earth ground temperature increase was first noticed in 1896. Afterward, many studies were devoted to tracing the possible causes for this change. The result pointed that the green house effect is likely to be responsible for the global temperature increase and modern climate change is anthropogenic. As seen in Figure 3.1, atmospheric CO₂ concentration entered an unprecedented level during human history and it continues climbing up to today. This undoubtedly emphasizes the inherent connection between the anthropogenic climate change and CO₂ emission from fossil fuel consumption.

To answer the global energy crisis and pave the way for sustainable development, alternative use of solar energy with the aim of decarbonization, high efficiency and cost-effectiveness is under ardent pursuit. Hydrogen as the product of water splitting in photosynthesis holds a great promise. Hydrogen as a energy resource bears the merits of high energy density, great transferability, storability, ecological acceptability and renewability. Therefore, simulating photosynthesis to produce solar fuels would represent the most important breakthrough of modern science.
Chapter 3. Theoretical Investigation of the Electronic Configuration of Co in its Coordination Environment

Figure 3.1: Artwork — “What’s CO$_2$ Got to Do with It?”. It was on exhibition in the Carnegie Mellon University Artpark Lab from April 1st, 2012 to May 31st, 2012. The blue curve on the graph depicts the atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) concentration variation over the past 800,000 years. To construct the curve, CO$_2$ concentration data from ice coring studies in Antarctica$^{19-22}$ were consulted and mathematically scaled to fit the dimension of the displaying fence (51 ft x 4 ft). Based on the calculation, six 39 ft long LED ropes were connected and curved accordingly to render the CO$_2$ concentration profile. The ropes were attached to the fence by more than 500 tie wraps. The LED lights are charged by solar panels during day times and give off distinctive blue light at night. CO$_2$ forms an indispensable link in the global carbon cycle. It oscillates with ice ages for the major portion of the entire portrayed time period in the artwork and is largely bounded between 272 and 299 ppm. However, over the last two millennia, an unprecedented growth in the atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration has been occurring with expanded human activities as highlighted by the upward spiraling rope. The project was sponsored by the ACS local section sustainability programming grant. The photo was taken by Steve Ellington.
Water splitting is a thermodynamic uphill process. Nature accomplishes this task by designing two separate catalytic centers — water oxidation and hydrogen production. To design an artificial synthesis system, a set of principles have been proposed. From the economic perspective, earth abundant and inexpensive metal elements are the appealing for making catalysts. Co, Fe, and Ni fall in this category and their development for catalytic water splitting have been reviewed recently. Co catalyzed water reduction and oxidation can be dated back to 1970’s. Further studies by Nocera and Frei revealed the outstanding performance of Co-Pi and Co$_3$O$_4$ in water splitting. Co was the first made to show visible light-driven H$_2$ production without the aid of noble metals. Reports of using Co-Pi in conjunction with silicon semiconductors to simulate artificial leaf has appeared in recent literature. Among the three elements, Co is only one that has demonstrated the dual ability for water reduction and oxidation, and it has displayed the inequivalently high turn-over frequency (TOF). A review on Co containing catalysts in water lysis was published lately.

Water oxidation is recognized as the bottleneck for developing a solar fuel cell. To attain this goal, chemical, electrochemical and photochemical schemes were outlined and the last means was rationalized with the potential to achieve water splitting under the right conditions. Tremendous efforts have been devoted to molecular catalysts design for the purpose of water oxidation. The oxidation catalyst in photosynthesis system II (PSII) is a CaMn$_4$ core cluster with a cubane structural motif. Analysis across a broad range of biological species discloses extremely low structural diversity for the cubane motif. This fact implies the importance of cubane geometry in its role of water oxidation from the evolutionary perspective. Superimposition of Co-Pi and Co$_3$O$_4$ with the catalytical core of PSII demonstrate high similarity.
was proposed to play a key catalytic role in water oxidation.\textsuperscript{51,52} Therefore, precise characterization of the electronic structure and state of Co is crucial to understanding the chemistry of Co mediated water oxidation.

To probe the electronic structure of Co containing molecules, electron paramagnetic resonance spectroscopy (EPR) presents a powerful tool. Co salt or organometallic complexes have been characterized by EPR are very few\textsuperscript{53–60} and their EPR patterns show little consistency. This sheds doubts on the accuracy in description of the electronic structures of the Co complexes. Paradoxical phenomenon was encountered in our effort of characterizing Co–D* complexes. A Co nuclear originated hyperfine splitting pattern and the overall isotropic EPR spectrum blurs the dividing line between Co\textsuperscript{IV} and [Co\textsuperscript{III}–Ligand•]. To decipher the puzzle, DFT calculation on Co–D* and several Co containing complexes were performed. As a result, the real electronic character of Co–D* was unveiled. In addition, a theoretical projection on the structural foundation to achieve the Co(IV) electronic state was also provided.

### 3.3 Paradoxical Spectroscopic Observation of Co–D* Complexes and Electronic Structure Elucidation by DFT Calculations

Several Co complexes,\textsuperscript{57,61} namely [Co(D*)(tBuPy)\textsubscript{2}], [Co(D*)(tBuCN)\textsubscript{2}] and [Co(PAC)(tBuPy)\textsubscript{2}] (see 3.7.1 for the nomenclature definition) were synthesized in Collins’ group and characterized by EPR. The EPR spectra of these three compounds share two common features: isotropic g values and a 8-line hyperfine pattern indicative of interaction between the paramagnetic electron with the nuclear \textsuperscript{57}Co (I=7/2), as shown in Figure 3.2 and Figure 3.3.
The remarkable resemblance of the EPR properties of the three complexes suggests that they have similar electronic states. To address the question of whether the radical in these cobalt complexes is metal- or ligand-based, we have performed density functional theory (DFT) calculations.

As expected, DFT gives similar solutions for all the complexes. The spin density plots and d orbital splitting diagram are shown in Figure 3.4. It is clear from the spin density plots that the paramagnetic electron is highly delocalized with only marginal fraction of residence on the metal. The electronic structures of all three complexes, as obtained by DFT, are thus best described as low-spin Co(III) ligand-radical states, like the one depicted by the qualitative orbital level scheme in Figure 3.4 (a). The orbital scheme reflects the distorted octahedral crystal field in [Co(D*)](tBuPy)₂, with the lower t2 set accommodating the six d-electron of the diamagnetic Co(III).

It is important for the understanding of the EPR data to realize that the radical-electron-containing orbital, \( \phi \), has a small but non-negligible component at the metal, \( \phi \sim \phi_R + \epsilon d_{yz} \), where \( \phi_R \) is confined to the ligand and \( \epsilon \) is a coefficient \( \ll 1 \).
Figure 3.3: EPR spectra for [Co(D\textsuperscript{\textast}})(tBuPy\textsubscript{2}] (A) and [Co(D\textsuperscript{\textast}})(tBuCN\textsubscript{2}] (C). Simulation of the EPR data (B and D) are shown underneath their respective spectra. For [Co(D\textsuperscript{\textast}})(tBuPy\textsubscript{2}], gx = gy = 2.000, gz = 2.003 and Ax = Ay = 16 MHz, Az = 143 MHz. For [Co(D\textsuperscript{\textast}})(tBuCN\textsubscript{2}], gx = gy = 2.000, gz = 2.005 and Ax = Ay = 16 MHz, Az = 115 MHz. Instrument conditions: (A-D) microwaves, 9.65 GHz, 0.002mW; modulation, 0.5 mT; temperature 8.2K. Inset: microwave, 9.78 GHz, 20 mW; modulation, 1.0 mT; temperature 298K. Reprint with permission from W. C. Ellis, “Green design, synthesis, characterization and application of a novel family of Fe(III)-TAML peroxide activating homogeneous catalysts”, Ph.D. thesis, Carnegie Mellon University, 2010.
3.3. Paradoxical Spectroscopic Observation of Co-D Complexes and Electronic Structure Elucidation by DFT Calculations

(a) d-orbitals splitting diagram for $[\text{Co}(D^*)(\text{tBuPy})_2]$ 

(b) spin density plot for $[\text{Co}(D^*)(\text{tBuPy})_2]$

Figure 3.4: Spin density plots and d-orbitals splitting diagram
The resulting spin populations, $P$, of the cobalt ions in the three complexes are listed in Table 3.1 and show values $P < 0.1$, which are compared to $P = 1$ for the free $S = 1/2$ Co(IV) ion, and unambiguously qualify the DFT solutions of the three complexes as ligand-based radical states. The table lists also the values calculated for the Fermi contact coupling constant ($A_{FC}^F$) and the largest component of the spin-dipolar coupling ($A_{SD}^S$) as well as the ratios ($A_{FC}^F / A_{0}^{FC}$) and ($A_{SD}^S / A_{0}^{SD}$).
Table 3.1: DFT results for Fermi contact couplings, spin-dipolar couplings, their relative values, and spin populations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>$A^{FC}$ (MHz) $^a$</th>
<th>$A^{SD}$ (MHz) $^b$</th>
<th>$(A^{FC}/A_0^{FC})^c$</th>
<th>$(A^{SD}/A_0^{SD})^d$</th>
<th>$P$ $^e$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Co$^{IV}$ ion</td>
<td>-241.0</td>
<td>-510.8</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Co(D$^*$)(tBuPy)$_2$]</td>
<td>-35.1</td>
<td>-43.8</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Co(D$^*$)(tBuCN)$_2$]</td>
<td>-32.2</td>
<td>-32.2</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Co(PAC)(tBuPy)$_2$]</td>
<td>-27.0</td>
<td>-14.6</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$: Fermi contact coupling in $\hat{I}_{Co}A^{FC}\hat{S}_{Co}$ with $S_{Co} = 1/2$

$^b$: Component with largest magnitude of spin-dipolar coupling tensor in $\hat{I}_{Co}A^{SD}\hat{S}_{Co}$ with $S_{Co} = 1/2$

$^c$: $A_0^{FC}$ is Fermi contact coupling of free ion.

$^d$: $A_0^{SD}$ is component with largest magnitude of spin-dipolar contact coupling of free low-spin Co$^{IV}$ ion with unpaired electron in $d_{yz}$

$^e$: $P$ is the Mulliken spin population of Co

The last three columns of Table 3.1 reveal that the A-ratios and the spin populations for the coordinated cobalt ions show a similar reduction compared to the values for the free Co(IV) ion. Since the Co(IV) ion has strong hyperfine interactions, even a small admixture of Co(IV) character into the wavefunction already gives rise to sizable hyperfine coupling constants. This result proves the inadequacy of the naïve criterion that the observation of $^{59}$Co hyperfine splitting is the signature of a metal-based radical.

The correlation between A values and spin populations indicates that the former quantities provide a reliable measure for classifying the nature of the radical states. The A values calculated for the complexes in Table 3.1 are in excellent agreement with the hyperfine couplings deduced from EPR. The agreement validates the quality of the DFT solutions and classifies the four cobalt complexes as radical species with a preponderant ligand character. This conclusion is supported by the nearly isotropic $\tilde{g}$ values, $g_i \approx 2$ ($i = x, y, z$) observed for these species, pointing toward a lack of orbital angular momentum, which is typical for radicals and untypical for transition metal ions, and by the observation of an EPR spectrum at ambient temperatures.
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The present analysis reopens the quest for a genuine Co(IV) complex.

3.4 Theoretical Analysis of Co Electronic Structure under the Ligand Field Influence

The square bipyramidal complexes discussed in 3.4 demonstrate properties with preponderant ligand character of the orbital carrying the unpaired electrons in these systems. \([\text{Co(Et)(Salen)}]^{+1}\) distinguishes itself from the above-mentioned series of structurally related square-pyramidal complexes by anisotropic \(\tilde{g}\) values (\(g_1 = 2.213, g_2 = 2.090, g_3 = 1.993\)) and hyperfine coupling \(\tilde{A}\) tensors (\(|A_1| = 72\) MHz, \(|A_2| = 40\) MHz, \(|A_3| = 27\) MHz). These two properties indicate that the radical in the ethyl-cobalt complexes is largely centered at the metal. This conclusion is further supported by the absence of EPR spectra in liquid solution. To investigate the nature of the ethyl-cobalt species we have performed DFT calculations. In particular, two questions are to be addressed: (1) if the Co in these complexes can be described as a Co(IV) ion and (2) why the radical is, respectively, centered at the metal in the ethyl-cobalt species and at the ligand in the complexes of Table 3.1.

3.4.1 EPR Parameters Analysis for \([\text{Co(Et)(Salen)}]^{+1}\)

The salient features of the electronic structure of \([\text{Co(Et)(Salen)}]^{+1}\) as obtained by DFT are shown in Figure 3.5. These include the presence of a strong, organometallic \(\sigma\) bond between the ethyl and the cobalt and an unpaired electron in the \(d_{yz}\) orbital (like the case of \(D^*\), the \(x\)-axis is chosen along the \(C_2\) axis of the Salen ligand and \(z\) is perpendicular to the ligand plane).

Figure 3.5 (a) reveals two low-lying d-d transitions, indicated by dashed arrows.
Spin orbit coupling of the ground state with the excitations $d_{x^2-y^2}^\beta \rightarrow d_{yz}^\beta$ and $d_{xz}^\beta \rightarrow d_{yz}^\beta$ yield orbital angular momentum along $x$ and $z$, resulting in increments in the g-values, $\Delta g_x = g_x - 2 > 0$ and $\Delta g_z = g_z - 2 > 0$, respectively. Thus, the calculated orbital scheme correctly predicts that two of the g-values ($g_1$ and $g_2$) are larger than 2 while the third one ($g_3 = 1.998$) is essentially 2. The optimized geometry of $[\text{Co(Et)(Salen)}]^+$ is shown in Figure 3.5(b).

![Orbital diagram](image1)

(a) Orbital diagram

![Spin density plot](image2)

(b) Spin density plot

Figure 3.5: Schematic orbital diagram and spin density plot of $[\text{Co(Et)(Salen)}]^+$. Dashed arrows indicate d-d transition excitations.

As an additional test of the DFT solutions for $[\text{Co(Et)(Salen)}]^+$, the magnetic hy-
perfine coupling constants of Co (\(A\)-values) were analyzed. These values depend on three contributions, an isotropic Fermi contact term (FC), a spin-dipolar term (SD) and an orbital term (L): \(A = A^{FC} + A^{SD} + A^{L}\). Including all three terms and ignoring the small crossing terms resulting from the second order perturbation, the following expressions\(^{62}\) are used for the three principal values of the \(A\) tensor for the scheme in Figure 3.5(a).

\[
\begin{align*}
A_x &= \left( -\kappa - \frac{4}{7} + \Delta g_x \right) P \\
A_y &= \left( -\kappa + \frac{2}{7} \right) P \\
A_z &= \left( -\kappa - \frac{2}{7} + \Delta g_z \right) P
\end{align*}
\]  

(3.1)

where \(P = g_e g_n \mu_e \mu_n \langle r^{-3} \rangle_{3d}\). To obtain estimates of \(P\), DFT values (see 3.7.3.1) for the free low-spin Co\(^{4+}\) ion with the unpaired electron in the \(d_{yz}\) orbital were used \((A^{SD}_{0,y} = \frac{2}{7}P_0 \text{ cf. eqn 3.1, subscript 0 for Co}^{IV} \text{ free ion})\) and solved \(P_0 = 870\) MHz. Comparing the DFT predicted FC term \(A^{SD}\) between Co\(^{IV}\) free ion and [Co(Et)(Salen)]\(^{+1}\) yields \(A^{SD}/A^{SD}_0 = 0.46\) (subscript 0 for Co\(^{IV}\) free ion). So it is plausible to adopt \(P \approx \frac{1}{2}P_0\). The orbital terms \(A^{L}\) are not provided by the DFT solution. Experimental values are used as surrogates. \(\Delta g_x = g_1 - 2 = 0.218\) and \(\Delta g_z = g_2 - 2 = 0.095\). Then \(A^{L}_{x,y,z} = (\Delta g_x P, \sim 0, \Delta g_z P) = (95, 0, 41)\) MHz. Adding these values with the DFT estimates (see 3.7.3.1) of \(A^{FC}\) and \(A^{SD}\) for [Co(Et)(Salen)]\(^{+1}\), the diagonal elements for the total hyperfine coupling tensor \(\tilde{A}\) is obtained as \(A_{x,y,z} = (-274, -40, 114)\) MHz. With the mapping of \(g_1 \rightarrow g_x, g_2 \rightarrow g_z, g_3 \rightarrow g_y\), the predicted values must be compared with the experimental values \(|A^{exp}_{1,3,2}| = (224, 76, 117)\) MHz. The comparison shows a satisfactory agreement between experiment and theory.\(^1\) The analysis presented here identifies the principal

\(^1\)The alternative mapping \(g_1 \rightarrow g_z, g_2 \rightarrow g_x, g_3 \rightarrow g_y\) yields a less satisfactory agreement.
axes of the $g$- and $A$-tensors with the Cartesian axes of the molecular frame. For example, the principal axis with the largest $g$- and $A$- values is directed along the $C_2$ axis of the Salen ligand. Furthermore, the analysis provides the signs of the $A_{1,2,3}$ values.

### 3.4.2 Electronic Configuration Manifold

The electronic configuration shown in Figure 3.5 (a) can only be considered an idealization of the DFT state. Due to covalency, the unoccupied d orbitals in Figure 3.5 (a) are partially populated. Obviously, these populations affect the properties, such as the $A$ values.

**Table 3.2**: Mulliken spin populations for $[\text{Co(Et)(Salen)}]^{+1}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Orbital</th>
<th>Total Electron Population</th>
<th>Spin Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$d_{xy}$</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_{x^2}$</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_{yz}$</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_{xz}$</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_{x^2-y^2}$</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d orbitals sum</td>
<td>7.22</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$p_{ax,z}$ : axial ligand p orbital. see 3.7.3.2 for data sources.

Table 3.2 lists the DFT Mulliken total populations and the spin populations of 3d orbitals of Co and of p orbital of the ethyl axial ligand in $[\text{Co(Et)(Salen)}]^{+1}$. The $d_{yz}$ population, which is 1 in the idealized configuration of Figure 3.5 (a), has actually a value of about 1.4 due to the donation of electron density with $\beta$ spin from the Salen ligand. Consequently, the spin population of the $d_{yz}$ orbital is lowered by about 0.4 to yield 0.6. This reduction lowers the values of the magnetic hyperfine parameters. The lowering was introduced in the analysis of the $A$ values with eqn 3.1 by adopting
about half of its value for the Co\textsuperscript{IV} free ion. The \(d_{xy}\) orbital (unoccupied in Figure 3.5 (a)) receives a population of about 0.7 electrons by \(\sigma\) donation from the Salen ligand that is made up of nearly equal amount of \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) spin density. The spin population of the Co can be decomposed into three contributions: 1.00 (the electron in \(d_{\text{yz}}^\alpha\)), 0.34 (net donation of \(\beta\) spin density by Salen ligand, see 3.7.3.2), and 0.18 (net donation of \(\alpha\) spin density by ethyl), which add up to the total of 0.84, the spin population listed for Co in Table 3.2. The latter ethyl-to-cobalt spin transfer is due to the spin polarization of the ethyl-cobalt bond by the unpaired electron in \(d_{\text{yz}}^\alpha\).\n
Restricting the set of orbitals shown, the DFT solution for [Co(Et)(Salen)]\textsuperscript{+1} can be expressed by the configuration

\[
\lvert \sigma^\alpha \sigma'^\beta (d_{x^2-y^2})^2 (d_{xz})^2 d_{yz}^\alpha \pi^\alpha \phi^\beta \rvert
\]

(3.2)

The orbitals \(\sigma \approx \sigma' \approx 2^{-1/2}(p_{ax,z} + d_{z^2})\). represent the ethyl-cobalt bond, orbital \(\pi\) is located at the Salen ligand, and \(\phi_\pi \approx \cos(\theta) \pi_z + \sin(\theta) d_{yz}\) in [Co(Et)(Salen)]\textsuperscript{+1} mainly, but not entirely, located at the Salen ligand (the admixture with \(\pi\) causes the population of \(d_{yz}\) to rise above 1, Table 3.2). \(\sigma'\) expresses that the orbitals for the \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) electrons in the \(\sigma\) bond are not identical. The \(\sigma\) orbital for the \(\alpha\) electron is slightly more polarized toward the cobalt than the \(\sigma'\) orbital for the \(\beta\) electron the exchange interaction with the unpaired \(\alpha\) electron in \(d_{yz}\). As a result the spin populations of the \(d_{z^2}\) and \(p_{ax,z}\) are positive and negative, respectively (Table 3.2).

To address the electronic configuration of Co in [Co(Et)(Salen)]\textsuperscript{+1}, multiple scenarios need to be taken under consideration. Table 3.3 lists a number of potential formulations of the oxidation state by making different choices for the orbitals \(\sigma, \sigma'\) and \(\phi_\pi\). The oxidation states for Co listed range from IV to I and include axial and equatorial ligand based radicals. Co\textsuperscript{IV} is found when the \(\sigma\) electrons belong to ethyl,
Table 3.3: Formulation of [Co(Et)(Salen)]^{+1} available within single determinant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>σ</th>
<th>σ'</th>
<th>φ_π</th>
<th>Formulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p_{ax,z}</td>
<td>p_{ax,z}</td>
<td>π</td>
<td>Co^{IV} (m_s = 1/2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d_{z^2}</td>
<td>p_{ax,z}</td>
<td>π</td>
<td>Co^{III} (m_s = 1) Et• (m_s = -1/2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p_{ax,z}</td>
<td>p_{ax,z}</td>
<td>d_{yz}</td>
<td>Co^{III} (m_s = 0) Salen• (m_s = 1/2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d_{z^2}</td>
<td>d_{z^2}</td>
<td>π</td>
<td>Co^{II} (m_s = 1/2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d_{z^2}</td>
<td>d_{z^2}</td>
<td>d_{yz}</td>
<td>Co^{II} (m_s = 1/2) Et• (m_s = -1/2) Salen• (m_s = 1/2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aBroken symmetry configurations representing antiferromagnetic bi- and tri-radical states.

σ ≈ σ' ≈ p_{ax,z} (1st row of Table 3.3) while Co^{II} when σ electrons belong to Co, σ ≈ σ' ≈ d_{z^2} (4th row of Table 3.3). Obviously, neither formulation describes the state of cobalt in [Co(Et)(Salen)]^{+1}. Although the Mulliken populations, 1.3 for d_{z^2} and 0.9 for p_{ax,z} (Table 3.2), suggest that the state of cobalt is biased toward Co^{II}, it seems more meaningful to consider complex [Co(Et)(Salen)]^{+1} and generally any complex in which (one of) the d orbitals participate in strong organometallic bonding, as members of a distinct class of oxidation states.

3.4.3 Ligand Field Influence on the Electronic Configuration of Co

The previous section (3.4.2) demonstrates the multiple possibilities in assigning the electronic configuration of [Co(Et)(Salen)]^{+1}. It is obvious from the discussion that ligands have a great influence on the metal electronic configuration. This section focuses on a quantitative analysis on the ligand effects on the metal electronic state. Table 3.4 lists Mulliken spin populations obtained from DFT calculations for series Salen and D^* complexes with different axial ligands, including the ethyl and tBuPy ligands in [Co(Et)(Salen)]^{+1} and [Co(tBuPy)_2(D^*)]. The axial ligands have been arranged from left to right in the order of decreasing σ donor strength. In crossing
the table from left to right one observes a decrease in spin population of cobalt and a complementary increase in the spin population of the equatorial ligand. Thus, there is a shift of the radical from the cobalt to the equatorial ligand upon weakening the axial donor strength.

Table 3.4: Mulliken spin populations of $[\text{Co}(L_{ax})(L_{eq})]^n$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$L_{eq}$</th>
<th>$L_{ax}$</th>
<th>Et</th>
<th>Me</th>
<th>(tBuPy)$_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D*</td>
<td>P(Co)</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.09$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P($L_{ax}$)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P($L_{eq}$)</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salen</td>
<td>P(Co)</td>
<td>0.84$^a$</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P($L_{ax}$)</td>
<td>0.34$^a$</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P($L_{eq}$)</td>
<td>-0.18$^a$</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$[$\text{Co(Et)(Salen)}]^+$. $^b$[$\text{Co(tBuPy)}_2(D^*)$]}

The mechanism responsible for this behavior is illustrated in Figure 3.6. Strong axial electron donation inhibits transfer from the equatorial ligand to the cobalt and, thus, of the formation of an equatorial ligand radical. This situation is found in $[\text{Co(Et)(Salen)}]^+$. Alternately, weak axial electron donation allows transfer from the equatorial ligand to the cobalt, resulting in the formation of an equatorial ligand radical. An essential condition for the formation of the ligand radical is the presence of the $\alpha$ spin electron in the receptor $d_{yz}$ orbital, allowing only the transfer of $\beta$ spin density (Figure 3.6, bottom). This situation is found in $[\text{Co(tBuPy)}_2(D^*)]$. In contrast, the acceptor orbital $d_{z^2}$ of the axial donation is formally empty, enabling the transfer of both $\alpha$ and $\beta$ electron density and precluding the formation of an axial radical (Figure 3.6, bottom).

To quantify the impact of the axial ligand on the electronic state of Co, Table 3.5 list

$^2$Table 3.4 reveals some negative spin density at the density which is due to the spin polarization mechanism described above.
the total spin populations in the $d_{z^2}$ orbital of $[\text{Co(Et)(Salen)}]^{+1}$ and $[\text{Co(tBuPy)}_2(D^*)]$. It is noticed from the table that the variation of the total spin population on the $d_{z^2}$ orbital as a function of axial ligand strength is astonishingly similar between two Co complexes. As the electron donating capacity of the axial ligand gradually weakens, the $d_{z^2}$ orbital electron population decreases correspondingly. Along the same trend, the electronic character of Co complexes migrate from Co$^{\text{IV}}$ to Co$^{\text{III}}$ – Ligand$^\bullet$(Figure 3.6) due to diminishing power of the axial ligand in competition with the equatorial ligand. The quantitative information in Table 3.5 fortifies the qualitative illustration (Figure 3.6) on the mechanism on ligand effects on the electronic structure of the Co complexes.

Figure 3.6: Relative importance and spin polarization of complementary ligand-to-metal electron donation pathways (top) and orbitals involved in the electron density transfer (bottom) in complex $[\text{Co(Et)(Salen)}]^{+1}$ (a) and $[\text{Co(tBuPy)}_2(D^*)]$ (b)
3.5 Conclusions

This chapter visited the topic of Co as a key element in designing water splitting catalysts. To appreciate the mechanism of Co oxidizing water, precise characterization of the reactive intermediate Co$^{IV}$ becomes overriding importance. However, due to the lack of good knowledge about the bona fide Co$^{IV}$ complexes, EPR spectroscopic diagnosis can lead to ambiguous assignment. In this study, DFT computation of the electronic structures for several Co complexes synthesized in Collins’ group pointed out that the hyperfine feature is a naïve or even misleading signal in judging the electronic structure for Co complexes because of the unusual large coupling constants between the electrons and nucleus for this particular element. Under such circumstances, the combination between DFT calculation and spectroscopic evidence presents a significant advancement in electronic structure determination. As a result of this study, literature precedence on the electronic configuration of Co complexes deserve reexamination.

In addition, this research also investigated the ligand effects on the electronic state of Co in its coordination environment. An important conclusion drawn from the study is that the essential condition to formation of the ligand radical is the presence $\alpha$ spin electron in the receptor metal d orbital. To counteract this effect, strong electron donating axial ligands should be used in designing Co complexes to achieve the Co$^{IV}$ state.

Table 3.5: Total spin population in $d_{z^2}$ for [Co(Et)(Salen)]$^{+1}$ and [Co(tBuPy)$_2$(D$^*$)]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>Et</th>
<th>Me</th>
<th>(tBuPy)$_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D$^*$</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salen</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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3.7 Appendices

3.7.1 2D Molecular Drawings for the Ligands in Study

This chapter follows the convention below to name a Co-containing complex.

$$[M(L_{eq})(L_{ax})_n]^m$$

where M refers to the metal, $L_{eq}$ — equatorial ligand, $L_{ax}$ — axial ligand, n — number of the ligands, m — charge state.

The ligands nomenclature are shown in Figure 3.7.

![Figure 3.7: Ligands nomenclature](image-url)
3.7.2 Conformational Analysis to Demystify the Synthetic Yield Puzzle

3.7.2.1 Introduction

In designing the synthetic routes for D* ligand, two strategies were conceived as depicted in Figure 3.8. What appears puzzling is the drastic difference in the yield between route \textit{iia} (54%) and \textit{iib} (0%). This section is about investigating the possible causes for this mysterious synthetic yield discrepancy.
Steric effect is known to be influential on macrocyclization. To close the ring to form $D^*$ in our case, the distance between the open-ended nitrogens in the “HEAD” and “TAIL” moiety (Figure 3.8) is critical. The ideal condition can be foreseen as the energetic advantageous conformers overlaps with the geometrical favorable conformation for the ring closure. In investigation of conformational variability entails sampling in the conformational space. This task seems to enormous with the molecular structures of the “HEAD” and “TAIL” moieties (Figure 3.8).

Figure 3.9: Snap shots of the molecular structure for the “HEAD” and the “TAIL” moieties. Color coding: red – oxygen, blue – nitrogen, grey – carbon. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.
A closer inspection tells that both the “HEAD” and the “TAIL” moieties have relatively rigid frameworks. The major structural difference between them lie in the hydrocarbon linker between the two bezenediamine rings, i.e. the dihedral angles, 13-11-8-7 for the “HEAD”, and 15-13-11-9 and 13-11-9-8 for the “TAIL” (Figure 3.9). Numerical variation from two to three carbons in the linker has direct impact on the distance between primary amines (N-N distance) in stereochemically preferred conformations. Sampling the dihedral angle space provides an economic strategy to explore the conformational space.

3.7.2.2 Simplified Model

As discussed in the previous section, sampling the total freedoms of the two molecules can now be simplified by sampling the dihedral angle space. To illustrate this concept, I built a simplified model and show that the N-N distance can have a simple mathematical relationship with respect to the dihedral angle for the “HEAD” moiety.

Figure 3.10: Simplified “HEAD” rotation model. N₁ in the model is equal to N₂₀ in the “HEAD” moiety in Fig. 1 (a), C₁ equal to C₁₁, C₂ equal to C₈ and N₂ equal to N₁₀. Black solid lines represent the molecular framework. Black and red dashed lines are auxiliary lines. They are created using the following procedure. Draw N₁S || C₁C₂ and C₂S || C₁N₁. Draw N₂P ⊥ C₁C₂ and meet C₁C₂ in the extended line at P. Draw N₂O ⊥ plane N₁C₁C₂ and meet the plane at O. Connect PO and extend PO to meet extended N₁S at Q. Draw PT || C₂S and meet N₁Q at T. Connect N₂Q and N₁N₂.

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha &= \angle N₁C₁C₂ = \angle N₂C₂C₁ \\
\theta &= \angle N₂PO \\
a &= |N₁C₁| = |N₂C₂| \\
b &= |C₁C₂| \\
d &= |N₁N₂|
\end{align*}
\]
Proof of some geometrical relations.

Proof.

\[
\begin{align*}
N_2P & \perp C_1P \\
N_2O & \perp \text{plane } N_1C_1P \\
\end{align*}
\Rightarrow
\begin{align*}
PO & \perp C_1P \\
N_2P & \perp C_1P \\
\text{plane } N_2PQ & \Rightarrow C_1P \perp \text{plane } N_2PQ \\
\Rightarrow & N_1Q \perp N_2Q
\end{align*}
\]

Derivation of \(|N_1N_2|\) in terms of dihedral angle \(\theta\)

\[
d^2 = |N_1N_2|^2 = |N_1O|^2 + |N_2Q|^2
\]

\[
|N_2Q|^2 = |N_2P|^2 + |PQ|^2 - 2|N_2P||PQ|\cos\theta
\]

\[
= a^2 \sin^2(\pi - \alpha) + a^2 \sin^2(\pi - \alpha) - 2a^2 \sin(\pi - \alpha) \sin(\pi - \alpha) \cos\theta
\]

\[
= 2a^2 \sin^2 \alpha (1 - \cos\theta)
\]

\[
|N_1Q| = |N_1S| + |ST| + |TQ|
\]

\[
= b + a \cos(\pi - \alpha) + a \cos(\pi - \alpha)
\]

\[
= b - 2a \cos \alpha
\]

\[
|N_1Q|^2 = (b - 2a \cos \alpha)^2
\]

Therefore

\[
d = \sqrt{2a^2 \sin^2 \alpha (1 - \cos \theta) + (b - 2a \cos \alpha)^2} \quad (3.3)
\]

As seen in eqn 3.3, the free \(N\) distance can be represented by a function of the dihedral angel \((N_1-C_1-C_2-N_2)\).
3.7.2.3 Dihedral Angle Space Sampling

To sample the dihedral angle space of the “HEAD” and “TAIL” moieties, relaxed potential surface scan strategy was employed. Theoretical level was chosen to be B3lyp density functional\textsuperscript{64–66} and 6-31G(d) was set as the basis set. Gaussian 03\textsuperscript{67} computation suite was employed for the study. Dihedral angle rotation step is set to be 15°. The dihedral angle ranges were set within $[0^\circ, 180^\circ]$ for 13-11-8-7 and 13-11-9-8, and $[0^\circ, 345^\circ]$ for 15-13-11-9 (Figure 3.9). The computational results are analyzed below.

**Boltzmann Distribution of Conformers**

![Boltzmann Distribution of Conformers](image)

Figure 3.11: Probability distribution of “HEAD” moiety conformers

For the “HEAD” moiety, Figure 3.12 (a) displays the positive correlation between N-N distance and dihedral angle $\theta$. The great resemblance between Figure 3.12 (a) and (b) shows the good approximation by the simple mathematical model (eqn 3.3).
Dihedral Angle vs N-N Distance

(a) DFT sampling result

(b) theoretical prediction (eqn 3.3)

Figure 3.12: Dihedral angle vs. N-N distance plot
Conversion of energy profile into probability distribution using Boltzmann relation at room temperature results Figure 3.11. The conformers with the dihedral angle zero degree correspond to the most favorable geometries for macrocyclization. However, their population is approximately zero at room temperature.

Figure 3.13: Probability and N-N distance contour plot for the “TAIL” moiety.
For the “TAIL” moiety, the energy contour plot was converted to probability distribution plot also, as in Figure 3.13 (a). Clearly, two clusters of conformers have predominant probabilities over all the rest. The cluster in the vicinity of the \((\theta \phi)\) coordinate (100,320) corresponds to the cyclolization favorable conformations. Please refer Figure 3.13 (b) for the N-N distance for this cluster of conformers.

If the energy barrier 15 kJ/mol with respect to the lowest conformer and N-N distance 5 are used as the cyclolization criteria, the cyclolization possible conformers account for 37.88% for the “TAIL” and 0.00% for the “HEAD”. This result successfully explained the yield difference for the two reaction routes.

### 3.7.3 Parameters Extracted from DFT Calculations for the Electronic Structure Analysis

#### 3.7.3.1 DFT Predicted EPR Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>Isotropic Fermi Contact Couplings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a.u.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 N(14)</td>
<td>0.00873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 N(14)</td>
<td>0.01776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Co(59)</td>
<td>−0.03046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 N(14)</td>
<td>0.00880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 N(14)</td>
<td>0.01784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 N(14)</td>
<td>−0.00045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59 N(14)</td>
<td>−0.00045</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>Anisotropic Spin Dipole Couplings in Principal Axis System</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a.u.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 N(14) Baa</td>
<td>−0.1146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bbb</td>
<td>−0.1107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bcc</td>
<td>0.2254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 N(14) Baa</td>
<td>−0.1837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bbb</td>
<td>−0.1752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bcc</td>
<td>0.3589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Co(59) Baa</td>
<td>−0.3704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bbb</td>
<td>0.1556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bcc</td>
<td>0.2147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 N(14) Baa</td>
<td>−0.1154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bbb</td>
<td>−0.1115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bcc</td>
<td>0.2270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 N(14) Baa</td>
<td>−0.1846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bbb</td>
<td>−0.1761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bcc</td>
<td>0.3606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atom</td>
<td>a.u.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Co(59)</td>
<td>−0.4009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Co(59)</td>
<td>0.1251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Co(59)</td>
<td>0.1842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 N(14)</td>
<td>−0.1059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 N(14)</td>
<td>−0.1020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 N(14)</td>
<td>0.2341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 N(14)</td>
<td>−0.1659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 N(14)</td>
<td>−0.1574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 N(14)</td>
<td>0.3767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 N(14)</td>
<td>−0.1066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 N(14)</td>
<td>−0.1027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 N(14)</td>
<td>0.2358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 N(14)</td>
<td>−0.1668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 N(14)</td>
<td>−0.1583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 N(14)</td>
<td>0.3784</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CoIV-D*art -(tBuPy)2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>a.u.</th>
<th>MegaHertz</th>
<th>Gauss</th>
<th>10(−4) cm⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 N(14)</td>
<td>0.00941</td>
<td>3.03882</td>
<td>1.08433</td>
<td>1.01364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 N(14)</td>
<td>0.01762</td>
<td>5.69305</td>
<td>2.03142</td>
<td>1.89900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Co(59)</td>
<td>−0.03314</td>
<td>−35.05952</td>
<td>−12.51011</td>
<td>−11.69460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 N(14)</td>
<td>0.00934</td>
<td>3.01823</td>
<td>1.07698</td>
<td>1.00677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 N(14)</td>
<td>0.01757</td>
<td>5.67839</td>
<td>2.02619</td>
<td>1.89411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 N(14)</td>
<td>−0.00188</td>
<td>−0.60669</td>
<td>−0.21648</td>
<td>−0.20237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 N(14)</td>
<td>−0.00105</td>
<td>−0.33844</td>
<td>−0.12076</td>
<td>−0.11289</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Anisotropic Spin Dipole Couplings in Principal Axis System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>a.u.</th>
<th>MegaHertz</th>
<th>Gauss</th>
<th>10(−4) cm⁻¹</th>
<th>Axes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 N(14)</td>
<td>−0.1196</td>
<td>−4.612</td>
<td>−1.646</td>
<td>−1.538</td>
<td>0.4508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bbb</td>
<td>−0.1158</td>
<td>−4.465</td>
<td>−1.593</td>
<td>−1.489</td>
<td>0.8921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bcc</td>
<td>0.2353</td>
<td>9.077</td>
<td>3.239</td>
<td>3.028</td>
<td>−0.0287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baa</td>
<td>−0.1847</td>
<td>−7.124</td>
<td>−2.542</td>
<td>−2.376</td>
<td>0.7425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 N(14)</td>
<td>−0.1767</td>
<td>−6.815</td>
<td>−2.432</td>
<td>−2.273</td>
<td>0.6698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bcc</td>
<td>0.3614</td>
<td>13.939</td>
<td>4.974</td>
<td>4.650</td>
<td>0.0089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baa</td>
<td>−0.3466</td>
<td>−43.764</td>
<td>−15.616</td>
<td>−14.598</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Co(59)</td>
<td>0.1349</td>
<td>17.034</td>
<td>6.078</td>
<td>5.682</td>
<td>−0.0020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bcc</td>
<td>0.2117</td>
<td>26.730</td>
<td>9.538</td>
<td>8.916</td>
<td>−0.0153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baa</td>
<td>−0.1179</td>
<td>−4.547</td>
<td>−1.623</td>
<td>−1.517</td>
<td>0.4405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 N(14)</td>
<td>−0.1141</td>
<td>−4.402</td>
<td>−1.571</td>
<td>−1.468</td>
<td>0.8969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bbb</td>
<td>−0.1151</td>
<td>−4.420</td>
<td>−1.557</td>
<td>−1.488</td>
<td>0.8969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bcc</td>
<td>0.2320</td>
<td>8.949</td>
<td>3.193</td>
<td>2.985</td>
<td>−0.0382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baa</td>
<td>−0.1847</td>
<td>−7.122</td>
<td>−2.541</td>
<td>−2.376</td>
<td>0.7440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 N(14)</td>
<td>−0.1766</td>
<td>−6.813</td>
<td>−2.431</td>
<td>−2.272</td>
<td>0.6681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bcc</td>
<td>0.3613</td>
<td>13.934</td>
<td>4.972</td>
<td>4.648</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baa</td>
<td>−0.0033</td>
<td>−0.129</td>
<td>−0.046</td>
<td>−0.043</td>
<td>0.9508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 N(14)</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.0082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bcc</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.3094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baa</td>
<td>−0.0060</td>
<td>−0.230</td>
<td>−0.082</td>
<td>−0.077</td>
<td>0.9813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 N(14)</td>
<td>−0.0003</td>
<td>−0.013</td>
<td>−0.005</td>
<td>−0.004</td>
<td>−0.1913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bcc</td>
<td>0.0063</td>
<td>0.244</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>−0.0221</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Appendices

#### CoV-PAC-(tBuPy)2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>i.e.</th>
<th>a.u.</th>
<th>Fermi Contact Couplings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Isotropic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Trace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Spin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dipole Couplings in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Principal Axis System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>a.u.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co(59)</td>
<td>-0.02551</td>
<td>-26.99157</td>
<td>-9.63126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(14)</td>
<td>0.02769</td>
<td>8.94620</td>
<td>3.19232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(14)</td>
<td>0.02813</td>
<td>9.08796</td>
<td>3.24281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(14)</td>
<td>0.02918</td>
<td>9.42879</td>
<td>3.36443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(14)</td>
<td>0.02686</td>
<td>8.67856</td>
<td>3.09672</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### EPR A Values Prediction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>a.u.</th>
<th>MegaHertz</th>
<th>Gauss</th>
<th>10^(-4) cm^-1</th>
<th>Axes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Co(59)</td>
<td>-0.1408</td>
<td>-41.5576</td>
<td>-31.8283</td>
<td>-13.8624</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co(59)</td>
<td>0.0180</td>
<td>-21.4926</td>
<td>-7.6939</td>
<td>-7.1694</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co(59)</td>
<td>0.0463</td>
<td>-19.9256</td>
<td>-6.3963</td>
<td>-5.9794</td>
<td>-0.0037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(14)</td>
<td>-0.2490</td>
<td>-1.7248</td>
<td>-0.6158</td>
<td>-0.5749</td>
<td>-0.5907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(14)</td>
<td>-0.2371</td>
<td>-1.2678</td>
<td>-0.4528</td>
<td>-0.4229</td>
<td>0.8004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(14)</td>
<td>0.5692</td>
<td>29.8302</td>
<td>10.6442</td>
<td>9.9501</td>
<td>-0.1019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(14)</td>
<td>-0.2512</td>
<td>-1.6850</td>
<td>-0.6012</td>
<td>-0.5616</td>
<td>0.5937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(14)</td>
<td>-0.2392</td>
<td>-1.2230</td>
<td>-0.4362</td>
<td>-0.4076</td>
<td>0.7974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(14)</td>
<td>0.5748</td>
<td>30.1720</td>
<td>10.7658</td>
<td>10.0644</td>
<td>1.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(14)</td>
<td>0.0099</td>
<td>8.6838</td>
<td>3.0984</td>
<td>2.8971</td>
<td>0.0070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(14)</td>
<td>0.0154</td>
<td>8.8958</td>
<td>3.1744</td>
<td>2.9671</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(14)</td>
<td>0.0623</td>
<td>10.7068</td>
<td>3.8204</td>
<td>3.5711</td>
<td>-0.0020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(14)</td>
<td>0.0087</td>
<td>7.9766</td>
<td>2.8457</td>
<td>2.6608</td>
<td>0.0059</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### CoIV–Et–Salen

**Isotropic Fermi Contact Couplings**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>a.u.</th>
<th>MegaHertz</th>
<th>Gauss</th>
<th>10(−4) cm⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8 Co(59)</td>
<td>-0.10631</td>
<td>-112.47021</td>
<td>-40.13217</td>
<td>-37.51603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 N(14)</td>
<td>-0.00858</td>
<td>-2.77202</td>
<td>-0.98912</td>
<td>-0.92465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 N(14)</td>
<td>-0.00754</td>
<td>-2.43481</td>
<td>-0.86880</td>
<td>-0.81217</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Isotropic Spin Dipole Couplings in Principal Axis System**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>a.u.</th>
<th>MegaHertz</th>
<th>Gauss</th>
<th>10(−4) cm⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8 Co(59)</td>
<td>-2.0360</td>
<td>-257.102</td>
<td>-91.741</td>
<td>-85.760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 N(14)</td>
<td>-0.0571</td>
<td>-2.202</td>
<td>-0.786</td>
<td>-0.735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 N(14)</td>
<td>-0.0510</td>
<td>-1.881</td>
<td>-0.671</td>
<td>-0.627</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EPR A Values Prediction**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>a.u.</th>
<th>MegaHertz</th>
<th>Gauss</th>
<th>10(−4) cm⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8 Co(59)</td>
<td>-2.1423</td>
<td>-369.5722</td>
<td>-131.8732</td>
<td>-123.2760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 N(14)</td>
<td>-0.0657</td>
<td>-4.9740</td>
<td>-1.7751</td>
<td>-1.6597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 N(14)</td>
<td>-0.0585</td>
<td>-4.7668</td>
<td>-1.7008</td>
<td>-1.5902</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CoIV ion

**Isotropic Fermi Contact Couplings**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>a.u.</th>
<th>MegaHertz</th>
<th>Gauss</th>
<th>10(−4) cm⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Co(59)</td>
<td>-0.22781</td>
<td>-241.00088</td>
<td>-85.7609</td>
<td>-80.38924</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Isotropic Spin Dipole Couplings in Principal Axis System**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>a.u.</th>
<th>MegaHertz</th>
<th>Gauss</th>
<th>10(−4) cm⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Co(59)</td>
<td>-4.0449</td>
<td>-510.7829</td>
<td>-182.260</td>
<td>-170.378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Co(59)</td>
<td>2.0224</td>
<td>255.391</td>
<td>91.130</td>
<td>85.189</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EPR A Values Prediction**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>a.u.</th>
<th>MegaHertz</th>
<th>Gauss</th>
<th>10(−4) cm⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Co(59)</td>
<td>-4.2727</td>
<td>-751.7829</td>
<td>-268.2551</td>
<td>-250.7672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Co(59)</td>
<td>1.7946</td>
<td>14.3901</td>
<td>5.1349</td>
<td>4.7998</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FeIII ion
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### Isotropic Fermi Contact Couplings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>Isotropic Fermi Contact Couplings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe(57)</td>
<td>a.u.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>−0.21833</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Anisotropic Spin Dipole Couplings in Principal Axis System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>Anisotropic Spin Dipole Couplings in Principal Axis System</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe(57)</td>
<td>a.u.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baa</td>
<td>−1.2682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bbb</td>
<td>−1.0138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bcc</td>
<td>2.2820</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### EPR A Values Prediction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>a.u.</th>
<th>MegaHertz</th>
<th>Gauss</th>
<th>10(−4) cm−1</th>
<th>Axes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe(57)</td>
<td>−1.4866</td>
<td>−53.6302</td>
<td>−19.1368</td>
<td>−17.8890</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(57)</td>
<td>−1.2322</td>
<td>−49.2251</td>
<td>−17.5648</td>
<td>−16.4200</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(57)</td>
<td>2.0636</td>
<td>7.8349</td>
<td>2.7962</td>
<td>2.6140</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.7.3.2 Spin Populations of Co Complexes

#### CoIV–Ethyl–Dstar

**Gross orbital populations:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
<th>ALPHA</th>
<th>BETA</th>
<th>SPIN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.14277</td>
<td>0.63774</td>
<td>0.50503</td>
<td>0.13273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+1</td>
<td>1.94653</td>
<td>0.97558</td>
<td>0.97094</td>
<td>0.00465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D−1</td>
<td>1.42151</td>
<td>0.98108</td>
<td>0.44044</td>
<td>0.54065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+2</td>
<td>1.91782</td>
<td>0.96463</td>
<td>0.95318</td>
<td>0.01146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D−2</td>
<td>0.78239</td>
<td>0.41155</td>
<td>0.37085</td>
<td>0.04071</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mulliken atomic spin densities:**

1. C 0.020125
2. C −0.006929
3. C 0.015717
4. C −0.004160
5. C 0.023782
6. C −0.001597
7. N 0.064331
8. Co 0.740111
9. C −0.140114
10. N 0.035629
11. C 0.000179
12. O 0.044408
13. N 0.035439
14. C 0.000680
15. O 0.043094
16. C 0.013065
17. C −0.003040
18. C 0.021104
19. C −0.001042
20. C 0.018238
21. C −0.007327
22. N 0.061494
23. C −0.005140
24. O 0.017164
25. C −0.001380
26. C −0.000500
27. C −0.000939
28. C −0.005547
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29  O  0.020104
30  H  -0.000193
31  H  -0.001055
32  H  -0.000178
33  H  -0.001288
34  H  -0.001156
35  H  -0.000939
36  H  -0.000292
37  H  -0.000066
38  H  -0.000248
39  H  -0.000201
40  H  -0.000187
41  H  -0.000198
42  H  -0.000259
43  H  -0.000061
44  H  0.002833
45  H  0.005307
46  C  0.011146
47  H  -0.008167
48  H  -0.000779
49  H  -0.001068

Sum of Mulliken spin densities = 1.00000

======================================================================

COIV \- Methyl \- Dstar

Gross orbital populations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
<th>ALPHA</th>
<th>BETA</th>
<th>SPIN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.10005</td>
<td>0.59530</td>
<td>0.50476</td>
<td>0.09052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+1</td>
<td>1.95268</td>
<td>0.97807</td>
<td>0.97460</td>
<td>0.00347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-1</td>
<td>1.47353</td>
<td>0.98445</td>
<td>0.48906</td>
<td>0.49540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+2</td>
<td>1.92072</td>
<td>0.96358</td>
<td>0.95715</td>
<td>0.00643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-2</td>
<td>0.77239</td>
<td>0.40205</td>
<td>0.37034</td>
<td>0.31711</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mulliken atomic spin densities:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>C 0.021583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>C -0.006611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>C 0.019426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>C -0.005553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>C 0.027275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>C -0.001103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>N 0.075327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Co 0.632858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>C -0.100491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>N 0.040844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>C -0.000206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>O 0.046887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>N 0.041603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>C -0.000239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>O 0.047022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>C 0.020511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>C -0.005830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>C 0.028315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>C -0.001062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>C 0.022309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>C -0.006725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>N 0.077700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>C -0.007456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>O 0.020819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>C -0.001516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>C -0.000474</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Gross orbital populations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
<th>ALPHA</th>
<th>BETA</th>
<th>SPIN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D 0</td>
<td>0.65199</td>
<td>0.32683</td>
<td>0.32516</td>
<td>0.00168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+1</td>
<td>1.90476</td>
<td>0.97336</td>
<td>0.93140</td>
<td>0.04197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D−1</td>
<td>1.89807</td>
<td>0.97382</td>
<td>0.92424</td>
<td>0.04958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+2</td>
<td>0.78505</td>
<td>0.39087</td>
<td>0.39418</td>
<td>−0.00331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D−2</td>
<td>1.91995</td>
<td>0.96027</td>
<td>0.95968</td>
<td>0.00059</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Mulliken atomic spin densities:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.015666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>−0.030765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.068895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.029015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.019967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.089864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>−0.015141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.000257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>−0.001259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.143301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>−0.022543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>−0.022672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.032012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.086405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.088477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>−0.014963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.065548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.143291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.028465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.068687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>−0.031088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.074406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.014922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.020664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.031897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.066014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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28 C  -0.000881
29 H   0.000459
30 H  -0.001422
31 H  -0.001186
32 H  -0.003790
33 H  -0.003765
34 H  -0.001396
35 H  -0.000822
36 H  -0.000323
37 H   0.000437
38 H  -0.001218
39 H  -0.000278
40 H  -0.000272
41 H  -0.000018
42 N  -0.002872
43 N   0.001432
44 C   -0.000310
45 C   -0.000604
46 C   -0.000579
47 C   -0.000340
48 H  -0.000245
49 H   0.000018
50 C   -0.000001
51 H   0.000041
52 H   -0.000230
53 C   -0.001876
54 C   -0.000691
55 C   -0.001718
56 C   -0.000804
57 C   -0.003484
58 H  -0.002500
59 C   -0.000411
60 H   -0.000109
61 H  -0.000063
62 C   -0.000586
63 C   -0.000008
64 C   -0.000043
65 C   -0.000042
66 H  -0.000016
67 H   0.000000
68 H   0.000002
69 H  -0.000015
70 H   0.000002
71 H  -0.000001
72 H  -0.000001
73 H   0.000000
74 H  -0.000001
75 H  -0.000001
76 H   0.000000
77 C   0.000004
78 C   -0.000004
79 C   -0.000006
80 H   -0.000002
81 H   0.000000
82 H   0.000000
83 H  -0.000002
84 H  -0.000001
85 H   0.000000
86 H   0.000000
87 H   0.000000
88 H   0.000000

Sum of Mulliken spin densities = 1.00000

======================================================================
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#..................................................................
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### CoIV−ethyl−salen

#### Gross orbital populations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
<th>ALPHA</th>
<th>BETA</th>
<th>SPIN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.24985</td>
<td>0.70720</td>
<td>0.54265</td>
<td>0.16454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+1</td>
<td>1.39750</td>
<td>0.98828</td>
<td>0.40922</td>
<td>0.57906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D−1</td>
<td>1.90438</td>
<td>0.96130</td>
<td>0.94308</td>
<td>0.01824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+2</td>
<td>1.93556</td>
<td>0.97776</td>
<td>0.95780</td>
<td>0.01996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D−2</td>
<td>0.72948</td>
<td>0.38901</td>
<td>0.34047</td>
<td>0.04856</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Mulliken atomic spin densities:

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.074522</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>-0.031346</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.049936</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>-0.037711</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.070358</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>-0.046356</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>0.109218</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Co</td>
<td>0.836917</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>-0.186120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>-0.042617</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.023303</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>-0.004172</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>-0.004213</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.025073</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>-0.044144</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.079076</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>-0.027709</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.048663</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>-0.036625</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.072634</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>-0.049339</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>0.107129</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>-0.002434</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.001250</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>-0.003294</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.001768</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.001047</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>-0.002486</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.001286</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>-0.003189</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.001637</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.000589</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.005108</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>-0.000031</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.005380</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>-0.000471</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.007370</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.011592</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.005335</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>-0.013568</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>-0.002750</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>-0.000616</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sum of Mulliken spin densities= 1.00000

---

### CoIV−methyl−salen

#### Gross orbital populations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
<th>ALPHA</th>
<th>BETA</th>
<th>SPIN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Appendices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>D 0</th>
<th>D+1</th>
<th>D−1</th>
<th>D+2</th>
<th>D−2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.18219</td>
<td>1.91296</td>
<td>1.47564</td>
<td>1.94447</td>
<td>0.71674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.63733</td>
<td>0.96310</td>
<td>0.98632</td>
<td>0.97701</td>
<td>0.37543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.54485</td>
<td>0.94986</td>
<td>0.48932</td>
<td>0.96746</td>
<td>0.34132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.09249</td>
<td>0.01324</td>
<td>0.49700</td>
<td>0.00955</td>
<td>0.03412</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mulliken atomic spin densities:

1  
   C  -0.059182
2  C  0.095833
3  C  -0.032309
4  C  0.064093
5  C  -0.047254
6  C  0.093989
7  C  -0.052664
8  N  0.038261
9  C  -0.004831
10 C  -0.004580
11 N  0.036075
12 C  -0.050409
13 C  0.091261
14 C  -0.032619
15 C  0.062863
16 C  -0.046568
17 C  0.091147
18 C  -0.056249
19 O  0.131922
20 Co  0.646735
21 O  0.136988
22 C  -0.119787
23 H  0.002252
24 H  -0.003210
25 H  0.001638
26 H  -0.004265
27 H  0.002113
28 H  0.001658
29 H  -0.003136
30 H  0.001593
31 H  -0.004132
32 H  0.001973
33 H  0.001236
34 H  0.006172
35 H  0.000052
36 H  0.006291
37 H  -0.000155
38 H  0.003520
39 H  0.003687

Sum of Mulliken spin densities = 1.00000

Gross orbital populations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
<th>ALPHA</th>
<th>BETA</th>
<th>SPIN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D 0</td>
<td>0.68800</td>
<td>0.34471</td>
<td>0.34328</td>
<td>0.00143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+1</td>
<td>1.91175</td>
<td>0.95748</td>
<td>0.95427</td>
<td>0.00322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D−1</td>
<td>1.89268</td>
<td>0.97572</td>
<td>0.91695</td>
<td>0.05877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+2</td>
<td>1.95024</td>
<td>0.97564</td>
<td>0.97459</td>
<td>0.00104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D−2</td>
<td>0.70481</td>
<td>0.35118</td>
<td>0.35362</td>
<td>-0.00243</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CoIV–Salen−(tBuPy)2

Mulliken atomic spin densities:
<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>-0.047232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.098438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>-0.004270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.124004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>-0.082534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.180807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>-0.041634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.046281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>-0.003845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>-0.003542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.055339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>-0.047507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.110245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>-0.006709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.137629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>-0.091643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.199777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>-0.053673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>0.200795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Co</td>
<td>0.056239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>0.185232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.000288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>-0.006193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.002655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>-0.008172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.001395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.001505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>-0.006829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.002953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>-0.009022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.001580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.001758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.005224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.000127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.004898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.001694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>-0.001408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.001297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>-0.001122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.001158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>-0.001702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.000062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>-0.000069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.000026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>-0.000049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>-0.001221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>-0.002494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>-0.000681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.000843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>-0.000358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.000451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>-0.000326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>-0.000200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>-0.000039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.000008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>-0.000046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>-0.000190</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sum of Mulliken spin densities = 1.00000
Chapter 4

Nuclear Tunneling in $[\text{Fe}^V\text{(O)}(\text{B}^*)]^{-1}$
Mediated Intermolecular C–H Bond Activation

The book of nature is written in the language of mathematics.

— Galileo

The essence of mathematics is not to make simple things complicated, but to make complicated things simple.

— S. Gudder
4.1 Abbreviations

KIE : kinetic isotope effect
ZPE : zero point energy
imν : imaginary frequency
EtBZ : ethylbenzene
EtBZ-d10 : fully deuterated ethylbenzene
H or 1H : hydrogen atom
D or 2H : deuterium
int : intercept
eqn : equation
[FeV(O)(B*)]−1 : [FeV(O)(B*)]−1 refers to a member in the TAML catalysts family.

Please refer Figure 4.6 for the structure view.

kinetic curve : ln(k/T) vs. 1/T plot

4.2 Abstract

Nuclear tunneling in a phenomenon rooted in quantum mechanics. It plays a significant and sometimes predominant role in many chemical and biochemical processes.

The detection of nuclear tunneling phenomenon of [FeV(O)(B*)]−1 mediated hydrogen atom extraction from EtBZ was based on three discrepancies between the reaction rates of [FeV(O)(B*)]−1 with EtBZ and its isotope labeled species EtBZ-d10: (i) the initial [FeV(O)(B*)]−1 decay rate for the substrate EtBZ-d10 is slower than that for EtBZ, (ii) the slope of the ln (k/T) vs. 1/T plot of EtBZ-d10 is smaller than that for EtBZ over the experimental temperature range, and (iii) the extrapolated tangents of the kinetic curves give a large, negative intercept difference, Int(EtBZ) - Int(EtBZ-d10) < 0 at the limit 1/T → 0. Theoretical analysis, based on density
functional theory calculations of thermodynamic parameters of the reaction species and Bell’s model for tunneling through quadratic barriers, shows that (i) and (ii) result from isotope-induced changes in both the zero-point energies and nuclear tunneling, whereas (iii) is exclusively an isotope mass effect on tunneling. Based on a thermodynamic analysis, a temperature independent indicator to signal nuclear tunneling was proposed. A semiclassical theoretical model was constructed with the capability of predicting absolute rate constants outside the scope of experimentally fathomable range.

4.3 Nuclear Tunneling its Significance in Chemistry

Quantum mechanics gives rise to three important consequences related to chemical reactions, reaction barrier, zero point energy and tunneling effect. Among all, tunneling is particularly fascinating for its exemplification of the wave aspect of matter. Electron tunneling pioneered the tunneling studies, and soon their importance in chemical and biochemical processed were realized. It was proposed that the efficiency of electron transfer chain in biology can be an outcome of tunneling engineering. Certainly, electrons tunneling is only one snap shot of the entire tunneling panorama. Nuclear tunneling also appear extremely intriguing. Transferring proton, hydrogen atom, hydride, and carbon have been explored in a broad range of chemical systems. The role of nuclear tunneling in the chemical reactions remains an energetic topic in literature. For instance, nuclear tunneling was invoked in the explanation of proton mobility in hydrogen-bonded systems. Hydrogen and hydride transfer are known to be important to many enzyme catalytic reactions and the tunneling effect is often found in company. It has been reported that 60% and 85% of the
reactive flux went through tunneling in two hydride transfer reactions. Although the relative importance of tunneling is expected to diminish when the temperature is raised, significant hydrogen tunneling effects persist at room temperature\textsuperscript{20} and even up to 65°C in alcohol dehydrogenases.\textsuperscript{21} It was even argued that protein motions may facilitate the tunneling process,\textsuperscript{22–26} although this view remains contentious.\textsuperscript{14} Given the mounting evidence of the quantum effects on chemical reactions, it is of great interest to measure the deviation of a chemical system from its classical expectation of the transition state theory.\textsuperscript{27,28}

Kinetic isotope effect (KIE) is a phenomenon rooted in quantum mechanics. It is manifested by the fact that the rate constant ratio between the lighter isotopic species and heavier counterpart is greater than one. Other than that, parameters from empirical relation Arrhenius’ equation(eqns 4.1) are also often used to indicate KIE.

\[ k = A \exp\left(\frac{-E_a}{RT}\right) \]  

(eqn 4.1)

Based on derivation and modeling, the isotopic preexponential factor ratio (\(A_Q = \frac{A_H}{A_D}\)) has a theoretical range of \((0.5, \sqrt{2})\)\textsuperscript{29} and the activation energy \(E_a\) difference \((E_a^H - E_a^D)\) is less than 1.2 kca/mol.\textsuperscript{30} In essence, KIEs exceeding certain nucleus and temperature dependent thresholds\textsuperscript{31,32} are the hallmark of tunneling. Also, the extremely appealing as well as puzzling observation of the high efficiency in enzymatic catalysis was shown out of the realm of ZPE argument.\textsuperscript{33} It should be realized that atoms can not be treated as particle model alone. Tunneling is not whether it has occurred but whether it has been detected.\textsuperscript{6} Therefore, quantum correction needs to be introduced into the classical transition state theory to better describe the kinetics of chemical reactions.\textsuperscript{34} A number of studies have amended classical transition state theory with quantum corrections. Variational transition state theory\textsuperscript{35–37}
variationally optimized the dividing surface between reactants and products. By combining the variational optimization of the dynamical bottleneck and multidimensional quantum mechanical tunneling dynamics, the ensemble-averaged variational transition state theory with multidimensional tunneling was developed to predict the rate constants for enzyme-catalyzed reactions.\textsuperscript{38,39} Another approach to include nuclear quantum effects is the Feynman path integral.\textsuperscript{40,41} The path integral quantum transition state theory formulation allows for computing the quantum rate constants. Centroid molecular dynamic simulations\textsuperscript{42,43} can be applied to acquire quantum activation free energies. Based on the Feynman path integral formulation, a quantized classical path\textsuperscript{44,45} approach was proposed to gain higher computational efficiency. It is essentially a quantum path integral correction applied to the classical trajectories. Alternate hybrid quantum/classical approaches have also been proposed.\textsuperscript{46,47}

Most theoretical methodologies that include tunneling effects in the kinetic models were designed for enzymatic reactions, where the geometry and motion of proteins have great influence on chemistry. Therefore, sophisticated sampling schemes were developed and improved to attain better depiction of the physical picture of the reaction dynamics and higher accuracy in calculation output. For synthetic organometallic chemical reactions, the situation can be much simplified due to the lack of protein pockets. In this research, we employed a semiclassical approach to incorporate quantum tunneling factor from Bell’s model\textsuperscript{48} as a pre-exponential factor in the classical rate equation to study the kinetics of H atom abstraction from EtBZ and EtBZ-10 by $[\text{Fe}^V(O)(\text{B}^*)]^{-1}$. Based on this model, we found that more than 90% of the reaction flux undergoes tunneling in the abstraction reaction. We were also able to distinguish ZPE and nuclear tunneling contributions to the KIE effect and make projection for the rate constants outside the scope of experimental fathom. In addition, we propose a temperature-independent criterion to test the appreciableness of nuclear tunneling.
4.4 Activation Entropy or Nuclear Tunneling

According to the classical transition state theory,\textsuperscript{27,28} the rate constant is related to the activation free energy by eqn 4.2.

\[
k = \kappa \frac{k_B T}{h} \exp \left( \frac{-\Delta G^\dagger}{RT} \right)
\]

where \(k\) is rate constant, \(\kappa\) is a transmission factor, \(k_B\) is Boltzmann constant, \(T\) is absolute temperature, \(R\) is gas constant, \(h\) is Planck constant and \(\Delta G^\dagger\) is activation free energy.

Free energy is expressed in terms of entropy\((S)\) and enthalpy\((H)\) in eqn 4.2, and \(\kappa\) is treated as unity and linearized to yield eqn 4.3.

\[
\ln \left( \frac{k}{T} \right) = -\frac{\Delta H^\dagger}{RT} + \ln \left( \frac{k_B}{h} \right) + \frac{\Delta S^\dagger}{R}
\]

Eqn 4.3 provides a convenient way to acquire important thermodynamic parameters \(\Delta H^\dagger\) and \(\Delta S^\dagger\) by sampling multiple kinetic data within a narrow temperature window. What needs to be kept in mind is that eqn 4.3 is an approximation rather than an exact relation. To use it, one has to ensure that \(\kappa\) can be approximated as unity and the sampled data points are within a temperature range that is narrow enough to hold the linearity of kinetic curve. However, in the presence of quantum effect, such as nuclear tunneling, the condition of unity transmission can not be justified. Therefore, the thermodynamic quantity activation entropy obtained via the classical method is actually the quantum nuclear tunneling effect. This is the central topic of this section.
4.4. Activation Entropy or Nuclear Tunneling

4.4.1 Discrepancy between DFT Prediction and Experimental Analysis

The rate-determining, hydrogen atom abstraction step eqn 4.4 in the [Fe$^V$(O)(B$^*$)]$^{-1}$-mediated hydroxylation of ethylbenzene (EtBZ) and its isotopologue EtBZ-d10 has been analyzed with density function theory calculations.

$$C_6H_5CH_2CH_3 + [Fe^V(O)(B^*)]^{-1} \rightarrow C_6H_5CHCH_3 + [Fe^{IV}(OH)(B^*)]^{-1}$$
$$C_6D_5CD_2CD_3 + [Fe^V(O)(B^*)]^{-1} \rightarrow C_6D_5CDCHCD_3 + [Fe^{IV}(OD)(B^*)]^{-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.4)

The calculations were performed for the substrate, the Fe$^V$ intermediate, the reaction complex, the transition state, and the product state in which the nucleus and electron of the hydrogen atom are transferred from EtBZ to Fe$^V$(O), resulting in hydroxylation of the terminal oxo and one-electron reduction of iron. The Gibbs free energy of activation, including electronic, vibrational, rotational, and translational contributions, was evaluated using the thermodynamic properties facility of the Gaussian 09$^{49}$ software package and compared with the value deduced from the slopes of the Eyring ($\ln (k/T)$ vs. $T^{-1}$) plots (referred as kinetic curves hereafter) for the two isotopologues. The comparison reveals two major differences. First, the calculated KIE effect, which has a value that is consistent with the difference between the zero point energies (ZPEs) for EtBZ and EtBZ-d10, is about three times smaller than observed (Table 4.1). Second, linear extrapolation of the kinetic curves calculated in the experimental temperature range to $T^{-1} \rightarrow 0$ yields only a small positive difference between the intercepts for the two isotopologues, denoted as Int(EtBZ) - Int(EtBZ-d10), which is at odds with the conventionally treated experimental kinetic data (eqn 4.3) that imply a large negative value for this difference (Table 4.2). This interesting observation triggered further theoretical investigation.
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Table 4.1: ZPE contribution to experimentally determined KIE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>KIE</th>
<th>T/K</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rate constant ratio</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>233.16</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZPE difference</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>233.16</td>
<td>DFT Computation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.2: Activation entropy difference between EtBZ and EtBZ-d10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T/K</th>
<th>(\frac{\Delta S_H^d - \Delta S_D^d}{\text{J mol}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}})</th>
<th>(\frac{\Delta S_H^d - \Delta S_D^d}{\Delta S_H^d})</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>/</td>
<td>-35</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>233.16</td>
<td>+0.9</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>DFT Calculation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.4.2 Phenomenological Activation Entropy Difference as a Temperature Independent Testimony for Nuclear Tunneling

Activation entropy isotope effect is defined as the activation entropy difference between the substrate with the composition of naturally most abundantly occurring elements and its monodeuterated isotopologue in which the atomic bonding connectivity is transformed at the transition state. The phenomenological activation entropy isotope effect refers to the cases that the active entropy is obtained by implementing eqn 4.3 after linearizing the kinetic curves. In this section, a proof will be given to show that the sign of the phenomenological activation entropy isotope effect is an indicator for nuclear tunneling.

Define \(\theta \equiv T^{-1}\), eqn 4.2 becomes eqn 4.5.

\[
k = \frac{k_B}{h\theta} \exp \left( -\theta \frac{\Delta G^\ddagger}{R} \right) \tag{4.5}
\]

Rearrange eqn 4.5, take the logarithm and derivative with respective to \(\theta\), and substitute the outcome expression with free energy relationship, one arrives at eqn 4.6.
\[ \ln(k\theta) = \ln \left( \frac{k_B}{h} \right) - \frac{\theta \Delta G^\dagger}{R} \implies \frac{d \ln(k\theta)}{d \theta} = -\frac{1}{R} \left( \Delta G^\dagger + \theta \frac{d \Delta G^\dagger}{d \theta} \right) \]

\[ \Delta G^\dagger = \Delta H^\dagger - \theta^{-1} \Delta S^\dagger \implies \theta \frac{d \Delta G^\dagger}{d \theta} = \frac{d \Delta H^\dagger}{d \theta} + \frac{\Delta S^\dagger}{\theta} - \frac{d \Delta S^\dagger}{d \theta} \]

\[ \implies \frac{d \ln(k\theta)}{d \theta} = -\frac{1}{R} \left( \Delta H^\dagger + \frac{d \Delta H^\dagger}{d \theta} \theta - \frac{d \Delta S^\dagger}{d \theta} \right) \quad (4.6) \]

Notice that eqn 4.6 is commonly used to approximate \( \Delta H^\dagger \). This introduces an error \( \left( \theta \frac{d \Delta H^\dagger}{d \theta} - \frac{d \Delta S^\dagger}{d \theta} \right) \) in the numerical result. It necessitates a proof that the above quantity is negligible to one’s interest before such a maneuver. The geometrical meaning of eqn 4.6 is illustrated in Figure 4.1.

Figure 4.1: Demonstration of the first order approximation for intercepts

Let \( \theta \) be any point \( \in (0, \infty) \). The zero point can be expressed using the Taylor expansion.

\[ f(0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(\theta)}{n!} (-\theta)^n \quad (4.7) \]
Truncate to the linear terms of eqn 4.7 and substitute in eqn 4.6, the intercept at \( \theta = 0 \) of tangent of function \( \ln(k\theta) \) at \( \theta \):

\[
\text{Intercept} = \ln(k\theta) - \frac{d}{d\theta} \ln(k\theta) \theta = \ln\left(\frac{k_B}{h}\right) + \frac{\theta^2}{R} \left(\frac{d \Delta G^\ddagger}{d\theta}\right) \quad (4.8)
\]

Eqn 4.8 clearly shows that the derivative \( \left(\frac{d \Delta G^\ddagger}{d\theta}\right) \) determines the sign for the intercept difference between the two isotopologues. To evaluate it, statistical thermodynamics approach is employed. It can be argued that the major contribution to the free energy that can reflect the difference between H and D is the vibrational term. Based on the quantum mechanic harmonic oscillator model, the vibrational partition function can be expressed in eqn 4.9

\[
q = \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\epsilon \theta}{k_B}\right)\right)^{-1} \quad (4.9)
\]

The activation free energy \( \Delta G^\ddagger \) is the free energy difference between the transition state and the ground state. The difference between \( \Delta G^\ddagger_H \) and \( \Delta G^\ddagger_D \) is thus can be decomposed into four terms (eqn 4.10). It should be noted that the four terms have asymmetrical influence on activation free energy difference between isotopologues \(^1\text{H}\) and \(^2\text{H}\), i.e. \( \Delta G^\ddagger_H - \Delta G^\ddagger_D \). The transition state is the combination of the two molecules on the ground states. As a result, the transition state has greater total number of the vibrational modes than the sum the reactants on ground state. What’s more, one of the vibrational mode according to the atom transfer is lost on the transition state. The net effect is then the free energy difference between the two isotopologues on the transition state is miniscule compared to its counterpart on the ground state. Therefore, the second term of eqn 4.10 dominates. Based on the quantum mechanic harmonic oscillator model, the energy gap (\( \epsilon \)) between modes is larger for H than D.
The derivative \( \frac{d \Delta G}{d \theta} \) can be shown to be a monotonic decreasing function with respect to \( \theta \). Collecting all the relations stated above, one reached the inequality 4.11.

\[
\frac{d \Delta G^\ddagger}{d \theta} = \frac{d \Delta G^{\text{TS}}}{d \theta} - \frac{d \Delta G^{\text{GS}}}{d \theta} \\
\frac{d \Delta G^\ddagger_{H}}{d \theta} - \frac{d \Delta G^\ddagger_{D}}{d \theta} = \left( \frac{d \Delta G^{\text{TS}}_{H}}{d \theta} - \frac{d \Delta G^{\text{TS}}_{D}}{d \theta} \right) + \left( \frac{d \Delta G^{\text{GS}}_{D}}{d \theta} - \frac{d \Delta G^{\text{GS}}_{H}}{d \theta} \right) \\
\epsilon_H > \epsilon_D \]

\[
\left| \epsilon_D^{\text{TS}} - \epsilon_H^{\text{TS}} \right| < \left| \epsilon_D^{\text{GS}} - \epsilon_H^{\text{GS}} \right| \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left| \frac{d \Delta G^{\text{GS}}_{D}}{d \theta} - \frac{d \Delta G^{\text{GS}}_{H}}{d \theta} \right| > \left| \frac{d \Delta G^{\text{TS}}_{D}}{d \theta} - \frac{d \Delta G^{\text{TS}}_{H}}{d \theta} \right|
\]

Therefore, the phenomenological \( \Delta S^\ddagger_{H} - \Delta S^\ddagger_{D} \) obtained by extrapolation will always yield a positive sign in the domain of \( \theta \in (0, \infty) \). As shown in Table 4.3, \( \theta \left( \frac{d \Delta G^\ddagger_{H}}{d \theta} - \frac{d \Delta G^\ddagger_{D}}{d \theta} \right) \equiv \frac{\theta \Delta \Delta G}{\Delta \theta} \) is indeed a positive number. Additional support can be found on the \textit{ZPE} graph Figure 4.4, where \textit{ZPE} drops almost twice for the ground state compared to the transition state.

Thus, a negative intercept difference the extrapolated tangents of the kinetic curves for \(^1\text{H} \) and \(^2\text{H} \) transfer (\( \text{Int}(^1\text{H}) - \text{Int}(^2\text{H}) < 0 \)) can used as a temperature-independent signature to show the kinetic behavior of a reaction system is outside the regime where classical theory can be approximately applicable.
Table 4.3: Activation free energy based on DFT calculations for EtBZ and EtBZ-d1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Terms</th>
<th>$\Delta G$</th>
<th>$\theta \Delta \Delta G$</th>
<th>$\Delta \theta$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TS(H)</td>
<td>$-7.6314728 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$1.879 \times 10^2$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS(H)</td>
<td>$-7.6315357 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$2.257 \times 10^2$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS(D)</td>
<td>$-7.6314774 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$1.879 \times 10^2$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS(D)</td>
<td>$-7.6315448 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$2.257 \times 10^2$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS(H) - TS(D)</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS(H) - GS(D)</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(TS(H)-TS(D)) - (GS(H)-GS(D))</td>
<td>-4.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: $^a$ refers to the transferring atom being $^2\text{H}$. TS refers to the transition state; GS refers to the ground state. The unit for the physical quantities in table is kJ · mol$^{-1}$

### 4.4.3 Kinetic Deviation from the Classical Transition State Theory Expectations Under the Influence of Nuclear Tunneling

As seen in the previous section (4.4.2), $(\frac{d \Delta G_H}{d \theta} < \frac{d \Delta G_D}{d \theta})$ holds for any positive value $\theta$. Consequently, the tangents of the kinetic curves for EtBZ and EtBZ-d10 at a given value of $\theta$ cross at $\theta_{\text{cross}} \leq 0$, leading to intercepts appearing in the order $\text{Int}(\text{EtBZ}) > \text{Int}(\text{EtBZ-d10})$. The linear extrapolations of the simulated kinetic curves from DFT calculations well supported this conclusion, as illustrated in Figure 4.2b.

The extrapolations of the tangents of the kinetic curves for EtBZ and EtBZ-d10, shown as cyan and purple dash-dotted lines, cross slightly to the left of the origin $\theta = 0$, leaving a small positive difference of 0.86 J K$^{-1}$ mol$^{-1}$ at $\theta = 0$. This number is in good agreement with the value 0.85 J K$^{-1}$ mol$^{-1}$ obtained from direct prediction of DFT calculations at 243.16 K and 0.90 J K$^{-1}$ mol$^{-1}$ at 233.16 K. However, the extrapolation of the experimental data yields a large, negative intercept difference, $\text{Int}(\text{EtBZ}) - \text{Int}(\text{EtBZ-d10}) \approx -35 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ (Table 4.2). Given the low mass of the transferring atom ($^1\text{H}$ or $^2\text{H}$), nuclear tunneling is considered to be the most likely
source of this discrepancy.

In order to set up a quantitative description of tunneling effects in the kinetic framework, a semiclassical approach was adopted which incorporates a tunneling factor as a pre-exponential factor in the classical rate expression as seen below.

\[
k = \kappa Q_T \exp \left( -\frac{\Delta G^\ddagger}{RT} \right)
\]  

(4.12)

The expression in eqn 4.12 is the product of a temperature independent pre-exponential factor \( (\kappa) \) with the dimension of rate constant, a dimensionless tunneling factor \( (Q_T) \), and an exponential factor depending on the Gibbs free energy of activation \( (\Delta G^\ddagger) \).

The tunneling factor \( Q_T \) was calculated with Bell’s expression for tunneling through a quadratic barrier, using DFT values for the imaginary frequency of the reaction coordinate at the transition state and the width of the tunneling energy range.

In qualitative terms the effect of tunneling on the kinetic curve can be understood as follows. Instead of having a single-valued activation energy as it is assumed in the classical transition state theory, there is a continuum of energies at which the transfers occur in the case of tunneling. As upon lowering the temperature the importance of transfer events occurring at lower tunneling energies gain in importance relative to those proceeding at higher energies, the effective barrier height and associated slope in the kinetic curve tend to become lower. As a result the kinetic curves are convex functions, with the plot for the EtBZ-d10 substrate being less curved and running below the one for EtBZ (shown as the blue and red solid curves in Figure 4.2a). It should be noted that the corresponding classical curves shown in cyan and purple also demonstrate certain curvature but to a much smaller magnitude. This curvature can be accounted by the last two first order terms in eqn 4.6.

By introducing the nuclear tunneling factor (eqn 4.12), \( \frac{d \ln(k \theta)}{d \theta} \) becomes eqn 4.13...
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Figure 4.2: $\ln (k_n \cdot \theta)$ vs. $\theta$ plot. (a) simulated kinetic curves in the $\theta$ range ($0 \sim 8 \times 10^{-3} \text{K}^{-1}$). (b) kinetic curves and linear extrapolation from the experimental temperature range in the $\theta$ range ($0 \sim 5 \times 10^{-3} \text{K}^{-1}$). $k_n$ is the normalized rate constants obtained from DFT calculations. Color coding: blue, kinetic curve for EtBZ $^1\text{H}$ transfer including nuclear tunneling; red, kinetic curve for EtBZ-d10 $^2\text{H}$ transfer including nuclear tunneling; cyan, classical kinetic curve for $^1\text{H}$ transfer excluding tunneling; purple classical kinetic curve for $^2\text{H}$ transfer excluding tunneling; orange dotted vertical bars, the experimental temperature range; solid curves, theoretical results from DFT calculations; broken curves, linear extrapolations.
4.4. Activation Entropy or Nuclear Tunneling

\[
\frac{d \ln(k\theta)}{d\theta} = -\frac{1}{R} \left( \Delta H^\ddagger + \frac{d \Delta H^\ddagger}{d\theta} \theta - \frac{d \Delta S^\ddagger}{d\theta} \theta + \frac{R dQ_T}{Q_T} d\theta - \frac{R}{\theta} \right) \tag{4.13}
\]

So, approximating \(\Delta H^\ddagger\) obtained from conventional treatment (eqn 4.8) bear a even larger error as indicated in eqn 4.13.

The intercept for eqn 4.12 has changed correspondingly.

\[
\text{Int} = (-1 + \ln\kappa + \ln\theta) + \frac{\theta^2}{R} \left( \frac{d \Delta G^\ddagger}{d\theta} \right) + \ln(Q_T) - \frac{\theta}{Q_T} \frac{dQ_T}{d\theta} \tag{4.14}
\]

The first term is almost identical for EtBZ and EtBZ-d10 and contributes little to \(\text{Int}(\text{EtBZ}) - \text{Int}(\text{EtBZ-d10})\). The second, third, and fourth terms are positive, positive, and negative, respectively, and have the increasing order of the relative magnitudes in the experimental temperature range. As the fourth term dominates, the total of the second, third and fourth term give together a negative contribution to the intercepts for both EtBZ and EtBZ-d10. Since this negative contribution is larger for EtBZ, \(\left\lvert \frac{\theta}{Q_T} \frac{dQ_T}{d\theta} \right\rvert_{\text{EtBZ-d10}} > \left\lvert \frac{\theta}{Q_T} \frac{dQ_T}{d\theta} \right\rvert_{\text{EtBZ}}\) (see Table 4.4), the tangents of the kinetic curves for EtBZ and EtBZ-d10 cross at \(\theta_{\text{cross}} > 0\), leading to a negative intercept difference, \(\text{Int}(\text{EtBZ}) - \text{Int}(\text{EtBZ-d10}) < 0\).

The tangents crossing behavior in fully portrayed in Figure 4.2. While the kinetic curves excluding tunneling effects are nearly linear (Figure 4.2a) with EtBZ only marginally more curved than EtBZ-d10, the introduction of tunneling drastically enhances the curvatures for both curves with the one for EtBZ being the most articulate (Figure 4.2a). The larger curvature in the kinetic plot for EtBZ gives rise to an intercept that is below the one for EtBZ-d10, as shown by the red and blue dash-dotted lines in Figure 4.2b. Consequently, the large negative difference between the inter-
cepts, \( \text{Int}(\text{EtBZ}) - \text{Int}(\text{EtBZ-d10}) < 0 \), is due to tunneling and not the result of an isotope effect on the entropy as suggested by the conventional analysis of Eyring plots (cf. Table 1) according to which the intercept is interpreted as an activation entropy. There are two major contributions to the KIE, viz. tunneling and ZPE. Only the former contributes to \( \text{Int}(\text{EtBZ}) - \text{Int}(\text{EtBZ-d10}) \). As the intercept of a tangent of a kinetic curve depends on \( \frac{d \Delta G^\dagger}{d \theta} \) (see eqn 4.6) none of the \( \theta \) independent terms in \( \Delta G^\dagger \), including the ZPE, can contribute. In contrast, the tunneling contribution, \( \frac{(\theta/Q^\dagger)}{(dQ^\dagger/d\theta)} \), which is a function of \( \theta \), has an important effect on the intercept. As the classical description predicts that \( \text{Int}(\text{EtBZ}) - \text{Int}(\text{EtBZ-d10}) > 0 \) (see above), tunneling is the only viable explanation for the large negative intercept difference.

This intercept can be accessed using the reminder terms of the Taylor expansion, which reveals higher order derivative of the kinetic curves can affect the intercept difference between isotopologues in an anisotropic fashion.

Let \( f(x) \) and \( g(x) \) represent H and D curve respectively. H and D curve meet at \( x = 0 \) \( \Leftrightarrow f(0) = g(0) \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\int H - \int D &= \left( f(x) - f'(x)x + \frac{f''(x)}{2} x^2 - \frac{f^{(3)}(x)}{6} x^3 + O(x^4) \right)
- \left( g(x) - g'(x)x + \frac{g''(x)}{2} x^2 - \frac{g^{(3)}(x)}{6} x^3 + O(x^4) \right)
\end{align*}
\]

As seen in Figure 4.3 (a), the first derivatives of the classical kinetic curves (cyan and purple) in which nuclear tunneling effects are no considered almost invariant to \( \theta \). This can be understood from the fact that the two temperature dependent term \( \frac{\theta \Delta \Delta F^\dagger_{\text{therm}}}{\Delta \theta} \) and \( -\frac{\Delta \Delta S^\dagger}{\Delta \theta} \) nearly cancel out each other (see Table 4.4). One the other hand, with nuclear tunneling effects, the first derivatives of the kinetic curves (blue and red) show obvious changes as \( \theta \) varies. As a consequence, the second derivatives
of the kinetic curves demonstrate even stronger deviation from the classical curves as a result of nuclear tunneling as shown in Figure 4.3 (b). The kinetic curve approach plateaus as $\theta$ increase and this process is complete faster for $^1\text{H}$ than that of $^2\text{H}$ indicated by the blue curve running above the red one in Figure 4.3 (a). However, the pace of changing the first derivatives themselves are not necessarily following the same pattern. As seen in Figure 4.3 (b), the blue and red curves cross right before the experimental temperature zone. This means the curvature alteration caused by nuclear tunneling can produce parallel or antiparallel contribution to the intercept difference as the first order derivative. This result has significant impact on the intercept difference between isotopologues. Notice from eqn 4.15 that the first derivative and the second derivative always has the same sign when evaluating the difference between intercepts. The difference between the second derivatives for H and D varies as a function of $\theta$ and bears a stationary point. This means the intercept difference can bear opposite signs depending on the choice of $\theta$ of interest. Now the role of the third derivative become significant. Unlike the second, the difference between the third derivatives for the two isotopologues shows as a monotonic function of $\theta$ (see Figure 4.3 (c)) and they always have the opposite sign to the second derivatives (see eqn 4.15). Their magnitude is comparable with the second derivatives. Thus, the final determination of the sign of the intercept difference between H and D is on the sum of the second and third derivatives of their kinetic curves. Even though the second derivative can swing between two sides, the third derivative appear to be consistent based on our analysis and finally governs the sign of the difference between the two intercepts. A sample calculation shows that the intercept difference between $^1\text{H}$ and $^2\text{H}$ using the first order approximation is -0.56. Using the reminder of Taylor expansion (eqn 4.15), the result is -0.51, in good agreement with linear approximation of the intercepts.
Figure 4.3: First(a), second(b) and third(c) derivatives of $\ln (k_n \theta)$ vs. $\theta$ plots where $k_n$ is the normalized rate constants obtained from DFT calculations. Color coding: blue, EtBZ H transfer including nuclear tunneling; red, D transfer including nuclear tunneling; cyan, H transfer excluding tunneling; purple D transfer excluding tunneling; orange dotted vertical bars, the experimental temperature range.
4.4.4 Analytical Dissection of the Slopes of the Kinetic Curves

Define the apparent energy \( E_{\text{app}} \) :

\[
E_{\text{app}} \equiv -R \frac{d \ln(k \theta)}{d \theta}
\]  

(4.16)

Substitute the free energy breakdown terms into the nuclear tunneling corrected rate expression and express \( E_{\text{app}} \) in those terms, eqn 4.18 and eqn 4.19 result. To evaluate the contribution of individual terms in eqn 4.19 to \( E_{\text{app}} \), the thermodynamic parameters from DFT calculations and the nuclear tunneling factors based on Bell’s model were computed. The numerical results are summarized in Table 4.4.

Table 4.4: DFT-based decomposition of \( E_{\text{app}} \) in the experimental temperature range

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>EtBZ (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>EtBZ-d10 (kJ/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta E_{\text{ele}}^{\ddagger} )</td>
<td>40.12</td>
<td>40.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta ZPE^{\ddagger} )</td>
<td>-15.67</td>
<td>-11.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta E_{\text{therm}}^{\ddagger} )</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \frac{\Delta \theta \Delta E_{\text{therm}}^{\ddagger}}{\Delta \theta} )</td>
<td>-2.89</td>
<td>-3.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \frac{\Delta \Delta S^{\ddagger}}{\Delta \theta} )</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>3.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>27.51</td>
<td>31.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( -\frac{R \Delta (Q_T)}{Q_T} \frac{\Delta \theta}{\Delta \theta} )</td>
<td>-13.37</td>
<td>-6.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>14.14</td>
<td>25.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The definition of \( E_{\text{app}} \) converts a negative contribution to the slope of the kinetic curve into a positive contribution to the effective activation energy, and vice versa. Eqn 4.18 reveals that the slope of a kinetic curve is composed of a number of contributions. These include not only the common electronic and zero point contributions to the activation energy but also contributions from the thermal energies of vibration, rotation and translation, entropy, and tunneling.
\[ k = Q_T \frac{k_B T}{h} \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta G^\dagger}{RT}\right) \implies \ln\left(\frac{k}{T}\right) = \ln\left(\frac{k_B}{h}\right) + \ln(Q_T) - \frac{\Delta G^\dagger}{RT} \]

\[ \Delta G^\dagger = \Delta E_{\text{ele}}^\dagger + \Delta ZPE^\dagger + \Delta E_{\text{therm}}^\dagger + RT - T\Delta S^\dagger \]

\[ \Delta E_{\text{therm}}^\dagger = \Delta H^\dagger - RT - \Delta ZPE^\dagger \]

\[ \theta \equiv T^{-1} \]

\[ E_{\text{app}} \equiv -R \frac{d \ln(k \theta)}{d \theta} \]

\[ \implies E_{\text{app}} = k_B \frac{d \ln(Q_T)}{d \theta} + \theta \frac{d \left(\Delta E_{\text{ele}}^\dagger + \Delta ZPE^\dagger + \Delta E_{\text{therm}}^\dagger + R \theta^{-1} - \Delta S^\dagger \theta^{-1}\right)}{d \theta} \]

\[ + (\Delta E_{\text{ele}}^\dagger + \Delta ZPE^\dagger + \Delta E_{\text{therm}}^\dagger + R \theta^{-1} - \Delta S^\dagger \theta^{-1}) \]

\[ = -R \frac{d \ln(Q_T)}{d \theta} + \theta \frac{d \Delta E_{\text{therm}}^\dagger}{d \theta} - \frac{d \Delta S^\dagger}{d \theta} + \Delta E_{\text{ele}}^\dagger + \Delta ZPE^\dagger + \Delta E_{\text{therm}}^\dagger \]

\[ \equiv -R \frac{\Delta \ln(Q_T)}{\Delta \theta} + \theta \frac{\Delta \Delta E_{\text{therm}}^\dagger}{\Delta \theta} - \frac{\Delta \Delta S^\dagger}{\Delta \theta} + \Delta E_{\text{ele}}^\dagger + \Delta ZPE^\dagger + \Delta E_{\text{therm}}^\dagger \]

A comparison of the data in for EtBZ and EtBZ-d10 in Table 4.4 reveals both similarities and differences. The electronic energies for EtBZ and EtBZ-d10 are equal because they have been calculated using clamped nuclei. As usual \( \Delta E_{\text{ele}}^\dagger \) is the largest contribution to \( E_{\text{app}} \). The second largest contribution, \( \Delta ZPE^\dagger \), is mainly determined by the \( ZPE \) of the C-H bond stretch of the abstracted hydrogen, which raises the ground state energy relative to the energy of the transition state in which this mode
is converted to mode with an imaginary frequency, resulting in a large negative value for this quantity.

The third largest contribution is from nuclear tunneling and it has a negative sign because lowering temperature (increase in $\theta$) is associated with lowering the effective activation energy due enhancement of tunneling. The two thermal energy terms nearly cancel, consistent with the result predicted in the classical limit of equipartition where their sum is zero. The entropic term is small but becomes an increasingly important factor at higher temperatures. $(\theta \Delta \Delta E_{\text{therm}}/\Delta \theta)$ and $(\Delta \Delta S/\Delta \theta)$ have similar magnitudes but opposite signs. The difference between these two terms accounts for the curvature of the classical kinetic curves shown in Figure 4.2a. The kinetic curves become more horizontal for EtBZ than EtBZ-d10 in the experimental temperature range primarily because the contribution of $-(R/Q_T)(\Delta(Q_T)/\Delta \theta)$ to $E_{\text{app}}$ is almost doubled for the former compared to the latter.

### 4.4.5 Analysis of ZPE and Imaginary Frequency

#### 4.4.5.1 Monodeuteration Scenario

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>method</th>
<th>$(\Delta ZPE_D^\dagger - \Delta ZPE_H^\dagger)/\text{cm}^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Simplified</td>
<td>404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stretching mode</td>
<td>403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct $\Delta ZPE$</td>
<td>364</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.5 presents estimates of the effect of $^2$H isotope labeling on the $\Delta ZPE^\dagger$ contribution to the activation energy at various levels of approximation. In the single mode approximation, the isotope effect is assumed to reside entirely in the vibration of the C-H bond that is to be cleaved (1st and 2nd row of Table 4.5). The 1st row lists the
value obtained by estimating the difference \((hν_{\text{C-H}}/2 - hν_{\text{C-D}}/2)\) using the approximation \(hν_{\text{C-D}} = \sqrt{\frac{17}{13}} hν_{\text{C-H}}\), where the numerical factor is the square root of the effective mass ratio of diatomic C-H and C-D oscillators and the value for \(hν_{\text{C-H}}\) is the DFT frequency for EtBZ-1H. The second row presents the difference \((hν_{\text{C-H}}/2 - hν_{\text{C-D}}/2)\) evaluated by two independent frequency calculations for the EtBZ-1H and EtBZ-2H. The two approaches yield nearly equal values for the isotope effect on the \(\Delta ZPE\).

In the third approximation the \(\Delta ZPE\) contribution to the activation energy has been obtained as the difference of the total \(ZPE\) at both the transition state and the ground state. These totals were evaluated by summing over all normal modes, with the exception of the imaginary frequency mode in the summation for the transition state. The difference between the total \(ZPE\) for the \(^1\)H and \(^2\)H labeled substrates is 737 cm\(^{-1}\), while that for the \(^1\)H and \(^2\)H labeled transition complexes is 373 cm\(^{-1}\). As these numbers represent the effect of \(^2\)H isotope labeling on the \(ZPE\) of initial state and transition complex state, respectively, the net isotope effect on the activation energy is the difference 364 cm\(^{-1}\) (third row of Table 4.5). The resulting isotope effect is about 35 cm\(^{-1}\) lower than obtained in the single mode approximations. The multimode description appears to yield much larger values for the isotope shifts in the \(ZPE\)s of the individual states than obtained in the single mode approximation, indicating that the isotope substitution must affect several modes. However, these shifts nearly cancel in the difference of \(ZPE\) that defines the net isotope effect on the activation barrier.

### 4.4.5.2 Multideuteration Scenario

To examine the primary kinetic isotope effect originated from \(ZPE\), isotopic substitution should be placed on the atom(s) whose bonding connection is(are) reformed at the transition states. However, it might not be a straightforward, if at all possible,
process to solely label a specific atom in a molecule experimentally. Therefore, primary isotope effects are almost always contaminated with secondary isotopes effects, in which atom(s) that are not involved in bonding reorganization at the transition state contribute to the effects. This is actually the case of our study, where EtBZ-d10 is used as an experimental probe for the kinetic isotope effect. Therefore, it necessitates a theoretical investigation on the distinction between the primary and secondary contributions to the kinetic isotope effects by varying the isotopologues of EtBZ. Computation of six variations of EtBZ isotopologues (d0, d1, d2, d8, d9, and d10, see Figure 4.4 for their definitions) based on DFT is summarized in Figure 4.4.

The first notice on Figure 4.4 is the $ZPE$ drop after introducing the deuterating H38 (Figure 4.6) and the difference of this drop between the ground state (-737 cm$^{-1}$) and transition state (-373 cm$^{-1}$). This discrepancy on the order about a factor of two in energy reflects the significant impact of atomic mass on the reaction barrier and thus reaction rates. It also supports the assumptions made in deriving the inequality 4.11. Introducing the secondary $^2$H into EtBZ sags $ZPE$ further down for both the ground state and transition state. However, the magnitude is very similar for the two states, 739 cm$^{-1}$ (GS) vs. 735 cm$^{-1}$ (TS). The fact these two quantities do not cancel each other completely is the cause of the secondary isotope effect. Clearly, the secondary kinetic isotope effect is far way smaller or even negligible compared to the primary kinetic isotope effect (4 cm$^{-1}$ vs. 364 cm$^{-1}$). Coming from the other end, undeuterating H38 (Figure 4.6) of the d10 species gives rises similar phenomenon on the $ZPE$ increasing as what the first deuteration does to the $ZPE$ decreasing. Further undeuteration of the other methylene hydrogen (d8 species) diminishes the difference in $ZPE$ upward laddering between the ground state and transition state. So this bottom-up analysis is in good agreement with the top-down analysis. In summary, the secondary kinetic isotope effect is miniscule in comparison to the primary kinetic
isotope effect. EtBZ-d10 is a good approximate surrogate to obtain experimental kinetic data with the aim of examining the primary kinetic effects. The theoretical model of EtBZ-d10 is expected to produce similar influences on chemical kinetics as EtBZ-d1.

Figure 4.4: Computed ZPEs for [Fe^(V)(O)(B^*)^-1 and its multiple isotopologues at ground their ground states and transition states. The left upward parabola represents the vibrational energy well at the ground state (GS) and the right upward parabola represents the vibrational energy well at the transition states (TS). The “d[number]+” formula is used to indicate the number of \(^2\)H has been introduced into EtBZ. d0 means, no deuteration. d1 means atom H38 is deuterated. d1 means both H38 and the other methylene hydrogen connected to C36 are deuterated. d10 means all hydrogens on EtBZ are deuterated. d9 means all hydrogens on EtBZ except for H38 are deuterated. d8 means all hydrogens on EtBZ except for H38 and the other methylene hydrogen connected to C36 are deuterated. Please refer to Figure 4.6 to the atom numbers notation. The ZPE of the none deuteriated species (d0) was arbitrarily set to be zero. The energy unit of the numerical quantities in the graph is cm^{-1}.
4.5 Model Fitting and Absolute Rate Constants Prediction

Multiple spectroscopic evidence and DFT calculations support the identification of $[\text{Fe}^V\text{(O)(B$^*$)}]^{-1}$. To follow the kinetic decay process of $[\text{Fe}^V\text{(O)(B$^*$)}]^{-1}$ when it is subjected to a reducing agent, low temperature UV-Vis spectroscopy was used to register the electronic transition signals. The experimental temperatures were selected in the range of [233.16 K, 243.16K] to accommodate the lifetime of $[\text{Fe}^V\text{(O)(B$^*$)}]^{-1}$.

It is strongly desirable to be able predict the absolute rate constants outside the experimental temperature range for multiple purposes, such as comparing the reactivity with other catalysts reported at different temperatures, comparing the reactivity change with temperature, estimate the nuclear tunneling effects contribution to the rate constant as a function of temperature and etc. Linearization of kinetic curves (eqn 4.3) can be a powerful to this end when nuclear tunneling can be excluded. However, this is not the case in EtBZ study.

In order to create a model with the capability of projecting the absolute rate constants of $[\text{Fe}^V\text{(O)(B$^*$)}]^{-1}$ mediated EtBZ hydroxylation reaction in a temperate range beyond the experimental probe, DFT calculated thermodynamic parameters combined with nuclear tunneling factor from Bell’s model and experimental information were integrated and optimized for the predefined goal. This is the central topic for this section.

4.5.1 Computational Details

The DFT calculations were performed with Gaussian 09 rev.B.01, using Becke’s three parameter hybrid functional (B3) along with the Lee-Yang-Parr correlation functional (LYP) and basis set 6-311G. The effect of the solvent acetonitrile,
in which the abstraction reaction occurred experimentally, on the electronic states and geometries was simulated using the SMD continuum model. The geometries for \([\text{Fe}^V(\text{O})(\text{B}^*)]^-\), EtBZ, the reaction complex \([\text{Fe}^V(\text{O})(\text{B}^*)-\text{EtBZ}]^-\), the transition state, and the broken-symmetry product state \([\text{Fe}^V(\text{O})(\text{B}^*)-\text{EtBZ}^*]^-\), were obtained by full optimization until the default convergence criteria are met. The spin multiplicity of the \(\text{Fe}^V(\text{O})\) species was set to 2 on the basis of previous spectroscopic characterization and the same for the entire reaction system. The transition state structure for the hydrogen atom abstraction reaction was obtained from relaxed potential surface scans and confirmed by frequency analysis.

### 4.5.2 Predictive Model Construction and Optimization

Comparison of the values for \(E_{\text{app}}\) obtained experimentally (27 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) and 41 kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) and theoretically (14 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) and 25 kJ mol\(^{-1}\), cf. Table 4.4) for EtBZ and EtBZ-d10, respectively. This suggests that the DFT calculations have underestimated the isotope independent electronic contribution, \(E_{\text{ele}}\). Discrepancies of this magnitude are not unprecedented in the DFT literature on transition state calculations. The DFT/tunneling calculations predict for the difference \(\text{Int(EtBZ)} - \text{Int(EtBZ-d10)}\) a large negative value of -17.4 kJ mol\(^{-1}\), which is rooted in the tunneling factor of eqn 4.12. The predicted and experimental values for the intercept difference (-35 kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) have the same sign and are of comparable magnitude. To predict the rate constant outside the experimental temperature range we have improved the theoretical representation of the experimental data by using eqn 4.12 and treating \(E_{\text{ele}}\), \(\kappa\) and \(i\ h\ \nu\) as adjustable parameters. Variation of \(E_{\text{ele}}\) leaves the value for \(\text{Int(EtBZ)} - \text{Int(EtBZ-d10)}\) unchanged, whereas the adjustments in \(\kappa\) and \(i\ h\ \nu\) affect the intercept difference.
After introduction of corrections, the rate constant equation become

$$k = \kappa \cdot Q'_T \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\theta (\Delta G^\dagger + \lambda)}{R}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(4.20)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \ln (k) = \ln (\kappa) + \ln (Q'_T) - \frac{\theta (\Delta G^\dagger + \lambda)}{R}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d \ln (k)}{d \theta} = \frac{d \ln (Q'_T)}{d \theta} - \frac{1}{R} \frac{d (\theta (\Delta G^\dagger + \lambda))}{d \theta} = \frac{d \ln (Q'_T)}{d \theta} - \frac{1}{R} \frac{d (\theta \Delta G^\dagger)}{d \theta} - \frac{\lambda}{R}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\Delta \ln (Q'_T) - \Delta (\theta (\Delta G^\dagger + \lambda))}{\Delta \theta} = \frac{\Delta \ln (Q'_T)}{\Delta \theta} - \frac{\Delta (\theta \Delta G^\dagger)}{\Delta \theta} - \frac{\lambda}{R}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta (\ln (k)) = \Delta (\ln (Q'_T)) - \frac{\Delta (\theta \Delta G^\dagger)}{R} - \frac{\lambda \Delta \theta}{R}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\lambda \Delta \theta}{R} = \Delta (\ln (Q'_T)) - \Delta (\ln (k)) - \frac{\Delta (\theta \Delta G^\dagger)}{R}$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(4.21)}$$

Considering both H and D transfer cases, two equations with three unknowns ($\lambda$, $Q'_{T,H}$, $Q'_{T,D}$) reveal (eqn 4.22).

$$\begin{cases} 
\frac{\lambda \Delta \theta}{R} = \Delta (\ln (Q'_{T,H})) - \Delta (\ln (k_H)) - \frac{\Delta (\theta \Delta G^\dagger_H)}{R} \\
\frac{\lambda \Delta \theta}{R} = \Delta (\ln (Q'_{T,D})) - \Delta (\ln (k_D)) - \frac{\Delta (\theta \Delta G^\dagger_D)}{R} 
\end{cases} \hspace{1cm} \text{(4.22)}$$

There is no unique solution to this set of equations. A stepwise optimization strategy is used to approximate solutions. First, $\lambda$ is obtained by minimizing the R.H.S of eqn 4.21 between H and D.

$$\min_\lambda \left( \left( \Delta (\ln (Q_{T,H})) - \Delta (\ln (k_H)) - \frac{\Delta (\theta \Delta G^\dagger_H)}{R} - \lambda \right)^2 \right.$$  

$$\left. + \left( \Delta (\ln (Q_{T,D})) - \Delta (\ln (k_D)) - \frac{\Delta (\theta \Delta G^\dagger_D)}{R} - \lambda \right)^2 \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(4.23)}$$
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Minimum was attained at $\lambda = 1346 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Second, substituting $\lambda$ in eqn 4.21 and $Q'_T$ were obtained by brute-force searching in the imaginary frequency space. The correction factor for the imaginary frequency is $64 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for EtBZ and $-70 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for EtBZ-d10.

Finally, the preexponential factor can be obtained by fitting the question below with the experimentally determined rate constants.

$$\ln (k) = \ln (\kappa) + \ln (Q'_T) - \frac{\Delta G^T + \lambda}{RT} \quad (4.24)$$

The fitted $\ln(\kappa)$ for H and D are 33.8 and 34.8 respectively. Theoretically, the difference between these two factors are expected to be very small since H and D should have to quite similar translational motions. The fitted results are larger than expectation can rise from three sources. First, experimentally determined rate constants were used to correct the theoretical model. It is noted that the kinetic isotope effects for the temperature points 236.16 K and 239.16 K (Table 4.6 row 2 and 3) are identical. This exemplifies the uncertainty in the experimental results, which can be propagated through the parameters fitting process. Second, the method to acquire $\lambda$ is not exact. This can be another step to introduce uncertainty to $\nu$. Third, the imaginary frequency is also a result of fitting, which can contribute errors to certain degree.

4.5.3 Fitted Model Evaluation and Absolute Rate Constants Prediction

The intercept difference between EtBZ and EtBZ-d10 obtained from the theoretical curve becomes -34.31 $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$. The corresponding quantity determined from experiment is -34.19 $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$. Apparently, theoretical model has successfully reproduced
4.5. Model Fitting and Absolute Rate Constants Prediction

the experimental results after parameters optimization. The \( \ln(k\theta) \) vs. \( \theta \) plot produced by the optimized theoretical model is shown in Figure 4.5. The comparison between the absolute rate constants obtained from experimental measure and prediction by fitted theoretical model is summarized in Table 4.6. Apparently, the predicted rate constants are very close to the experimental measured results, with the relative errors bounded between 1\% to 5\%. It should also be noted that the two experimentally reported identical KIEs highlighted in Table 4.6 are split apart in the theoretical model. This suggests efficacy of the theoretical model and also denotes its limitation inherited from the uncertainty of the experimental data.

Table 4.7 listed the rate constant ratios at three temperatures, 233.16 and 273.16, 298.16 K. The rate constants were obtained by three methods, the conventional kinetic model excluding the nuclear tunneling, linear extrapolation of the kinetic data from the experimental temperature range and the general theoretical model including nuclear tunneling.

Figure 4.5: \( \ln(k\theta) \) vs. \( \theta \) plot using data generated by the fitted model. Color coding: blue for EtBZ, red for EtBZ-d10; dot-dashed lines for extrapolation; dotted vertical lines for experimental temperature range.
Table 4.6: Rate constants comparison between the experimental measures and the theoretical model predictions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T/(K)</th>
<th>$k^H_{\text{expr}}/(\text{M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1})$</th>
<th>$k^H_{\text{theo}}/(\text{M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1})$</th>
<th>$k^D_{\text{expr}}/(\text{M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1})$</th>
<th>$k^D_{\text{theo}}/(\text{M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1})$</th>
<th>KIE$_{\text{expr}}$</th>
<th>KIE$_{\text{theo}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>233.16</td>
<td>2.00 × 10$^{-2}$</td>
<td>2.04 × 10$^{-2}$</td>
<td>7.08 × 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>7.94 × 10$^{-2}$</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>25.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>236.16</td>
<td>2.48 × 10$^{-2}$</td>
<td>2.42 × 10$^{-2}$</td>
<td>1.09 × 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>1.04 × 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>23.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>239.16</td>
<td>2.89 × 10$^{-2}$</td>
<td>2.87 × 10$^{-2}$</td>
<td>1.28 × 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>1.34 × 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>243.16</td>
<td>3.54 × 10$^{-2}$</td>
<td>3.59 × 10$^{-2}$</td>
<td>1.92 × 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>1.89 × 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: T is the absolute temperature, subscript “expr” stands for experimental measurement and subscript “theo” stands for theoretical prediction.

Table 4.7: Rate constant ratios at various temperatures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T/(K)</th>
<th>$k_{\text{EtBZ}}/k_{\text{EtBZ}}^{\text{gen}}$</th>
<th>$k_{\text{EtBZ}}/k_{\text{EtBZ}}^{\text{con}}$</th>
<th>$k_{\text{EtBZ-d10}}/k_{\text{EtBZ}}^{\text{gen}}$</th>
<th>$k_{\text{EtBZ-d10}}/k_{\text{EtBZ}}^{\text{con}}$</th>
<th>$k_{\text{EtBZ}}/k_{\text{EtBZ-d10}}^{\text{gen}}$</th>
<th>$k_{\text{EtBZ}}/k_{\text{EtBZ-d10}}^{\text{ext}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>233.16</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>25.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>273.16</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>298.16</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T is the absolute temperature. The subscript “con” stands for the conventional kinetic model excluding tunneling effects, “ext” for the linear extrapolation from the experimental temperature range, and “gen” for the general theoretical model including tunneling effects.
It is noticed from Table 4.7 that the rate constants and KIEs predicted by the general model are larger than those predicted by the other two models for all three temperatures and the magnitude of the difference is temperature dependent. \( \frac{k_{\text{gen}}^{\text{EtBZ}}}{k_{\text{con}}^{\text{EtBZ}}} \) stands out with a remarkable increment on the rate constant, a factor of 17.2 at 133.16 K. This observation signifies the contribution of nuclear tunneling to the chemical reaction mechanism of H atom abstractions. In the conventional picture, the portion of the reactants who possess energies higher than the reaction barrier can proceed to arrive at the product state and the rest with energies are below this threshold can not. Tunneling changed this paradigm by rendering probabilities to the populations with insufficient energies overcoming the barrier to penetrate it through and appear on the other side. This unconventional mechanism concerns the overall shape of the reaction barrier, unlike the conventional treatment, which cares only the barrier height. Thus nuclear tunneling as an alternate means to impel a chemical reaction deserves attention. \( \frac{k_{\text{gen}}^{\text{EtBZ}}}{k_{\text{ext}}^{\text{EtBZ}}} \) represents a less pronounced deviation. It originates the non-linearity of the kinetic curves. More significantly, it is important to realized the primary source for the non-linearity of the kinetic curves is the nuclear tunneling. Thus, the uncertainty adhered to the linear extrapolation method is largely rooted in the nuclear tunneling phenomenon. As temperature goes higher, the discrepancy between the predicted rate constants based on the linear extrapolation method and the general theoretical model considering tunneling effects enlarges. This is understandable from the non-linearity nature of the kinetic curves as well. As the inference points move farther away from the experimental points, the true rate constants on the curve deviate more from the tangent drawn from the experimental range. Therefore, it is expected that the accuracy and reliability in predicting rate constants using the linear extrapolation model lessens as the temperature rises. The trend with temperature for the rate constant ratio between the general model and the conventional model
goes in the opposite direction, i.e. the rate constant ratio drops as the temperature ascents. This phenomenon is interpretable from the fact that tunneling effects minify as temperature increases. It is also important to notice that above analysis apply for both EtBZ and EtBZ-d10; however, the rate constants ratios are much larger for EtBZ than EtBZ-d10 across all temperatures. The rationale for it is the mass effect on nuclear tunneling, viz. the nuclear tunneling probability wanes as the tunneling nucleus becomes heavier. D, weighing twice as much as its isotope H, represents one of the most dramatic case for observing the tunneling probability decline among all the elements across the periodic table. Owing to the subaltern tunneling probability of D, its curvature of the kinetic curve is less protrudent compared to H and that leads to that the errors in the rate constants prediction using the conventional model and the linear extrapolation abate measurably. Consequently, the rate constant ratios for D are more narrowly distributed among the three models. It is important to point out that the reduced rate constant ratio between the general model and the conventional model did not settle at one indicates that tunneling phenomenon did not vanish on D.

The KIEs shows a negative correlation with temperature for all three models due to two reasons, zero point energy and nuclear tunneling. The KIE based on the conventional model reflects the pure zero point contribution to the rate constant difference and its temperature dependent feature. As seen in the column 6 of Table 4.7, the quotient of KIE at 233.16 K and 298.16 K yields a factor of 1.6. On the other hand, the quotient of KIE for the same two temperatures based on the general model (column 8) gives a factor of 4.1, which is a combinatorial result of both zero point energy and nuclear tunneling. From the fact that 4.1 is much larger than 1.6, one can tell the temperature dependence of the nuclear tunneling effect works in the same direction as the zero point energy, i.e. they both shrink with the temperature
4.6 Conclusions

In the study of $[\text{Fe}^V(\text{O})(\text{B}^*)]^{-1}$ mediated C-H bond activation of EtBZ reaction, I analyzed the kinetic isotope effects of this process based on a nuclear tunneling corrected transition state theory.

Nuclear tunneling effects are the kinetic curves to such a pronounced level that failed the conventional linear extrapolation approach in obtaining thermodynamic quantities (activation enthalpy and entropy). Insistence on applying the linear extrapolation approach in the presence of nuclear tunneling gave rise to a puzzling observation of the negative intercept difference between the tangents of the kinetic curves for EtBZ and EtBZ-d10. It was proved in the text that the intercept difference between naturally predominant isotope and its heavier counterparts as hydrogen and deuterium, the intercept difference $(\text{Int}(\text{H})-\text{Int}(\text{D}))$ should always bear a positive sign for any temperature. Thus, a negative sign of $(\text{Int}(\text{H})-\text{Int}(\text{D}))$ is a temperature independent indicator for quantum mechanical effects such as nuclear tunneling.

By introducing a nuclear tunneling factor into the classical rate constant equation and combing thermodynamic properties derived from DFT calculation with experimental kinetic information, a theoretical model was constructed with the capability of predicting rate constants outside the experimental temperature range. Based on this model, nuclear tunneling effect exhibited a boost of the reaction rate by 17.2 times at 233.16 K and about 4.3 time at room temperature. This signifies the mechanistic
role of nuclear tunneling in Fe\(\text{V}(O)\) mediated C-H bond activations and suggests more detailed investigations.

### 4.7 References
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4.8 Appendices

4.8.1 DFT Optimized Geometry of the Transition State Structure of Hydrogen Atom Abstraction from EtBZ by \([\text{Fe}^V(\text{O})(\text{B}^*)]^{-1}\)

Figure 4.6: DFT calculation optimized transition state structure of H abstraction from EtBZ by \([\text{Fe}^V(\text{O})(\text{B}^*)]^{-1}\). Hydrogen atoms excepted the one being transferred are omitted for clarity. Color coding: brown — Fe, red — O, blue — N, gray — C, cyan — H. Key interatomic distances: Fe29-O24 = 1.71 Å, O24-H38 = 1.34 Å, H38-C36 = 1.24 Å, Fe29-N1 = 1.89 Å, Fe29-N2 = 1.88 Å, Fe29-N3 = 1.90 Å, Fe29-N4 = 1.89 Å.
### 4.8.2 Thermodynamic Data from DFT Calculation Used in the Kinetic Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>EtBZ</th>
<th>EtBZd1</th>
<th>EtBZd2</th>
<th>EtBZd9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>173K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>0.157155</td>
<td>0.147323</td>
<td>0.159815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159099</td>
<td>0.159445</td>
<td>0.1565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>233K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.247387</td>
<td>0.159815</td>
<td>0.162711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>236K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
<td>0.159445</td>
<td>0.1565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>239K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
<td>0.159445</td>
<td>0.1565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>243K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
<td>0.159445</td>
<td>0.1565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>273K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
<td>0.159445</td>
<td>0.1565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>303K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
<td>0.159445</td>
<td>0.1565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>333K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
<td>0.159445</td>
<td>0.1565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>363K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
<td>0.159445</td>
<td>0.1565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>393K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
<td>0.159445</td>
<td>0.1565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>423K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
<td>0.159445</td>
<td>0.1565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>453K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
<td>0.159445</td>
<td>0.1565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>573K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
<td>0.159445</td>
<td>0.1565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>693K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
<td>0.159445</td>
<td>0.1565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>813K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
<td>0.159445</td>
<td>0.1565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>933K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
<td>0.159445</td>
<td>0.1565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1233K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
<td>0.159445</td>
<td>0.1565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1533K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
<td>0.159445</td>
<td>0.1565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1833K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
<td>0.159445</td>
<td>0.1565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2133K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
<td>0.159445</td>
<td>0.1565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2433K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
<td>0.159445</td>
<td>0.1565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2733K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
<td>0.159445</td>
<td>0.1565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3033K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
<td>0.159445</td>
<td>0.1565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3333K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
<td>0.159445</td>
<td>0.1565</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>EtBZd8</th>
<th>EtBZd9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>173K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>0.157155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>233K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.247387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>236K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>239K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>243K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>273K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>303K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>333K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>363K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>393K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>423K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>453K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>573K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>693K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>813K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>933K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1233K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1533K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1833K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2133K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2433K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2733K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3033K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3333K</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
<td>-0.159815</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Notes:**
- The values in the table represent thermodynamic properties (SCF, ZPE, NegFreq, etc.) for different temperatures and structures (EtBZ, EtBZd1, EtBZd2, EtBZd8, EtBZd9).
- The properties are expressed in Hartrees (Ha) and some are in cm⁻¹.
- The data is derived from DFT calculations and used in kinetic analysis.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EtBZd1-O</th>
<th>-0.761722</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EtBZd2-O</td>
<td>0.397241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtBZd3-O</td>
<td>0.401705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtBZd4-O</td>
<td>0.388378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtBZd5-O</td>
<td>0.366181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtBZd6-O</td>
<td>0.414847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtBZd7-O</td>
<td>0.359310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtBZd8-O</td>
<td>0.415273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtBZd9-O</td>
<td>0.415704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtBZd10-O</td>
<td>0.357870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtBZd11-O</td>
<td>0.416285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtBZd12-O</td>
<td>0.356898</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EtBZd1-B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</th>
<th>-2596.305347</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EtBZd2-B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</td>
<td>-2907.1915868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtBZd3-B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</td>
<td>-2907.1915868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtBZd4-B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</td>
<td>-2907.1915868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtBZd5-B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</td>
<td>-2907.1915868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtBZd6-B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</td>
<td>-2907.1915868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtBZd7-B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</td>
<td>-2907.1915868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtBZd8-B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</td>
<td>-2907.1915868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtBZd9-B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</td>
<td>-2907.1915868</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</th>
<th>-210.885582442</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</th>
<th>-210.885582442</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</th>
<th>-210.885582442</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</td>
<td>-310.885582442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Formula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtBZd1-B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</td>
<td>-2907.191586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtBZd10-B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</td>
<td>-2907.191586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtBZd2-B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</td>
<td>-2907.191586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtBZd8-B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</td>
<td>-2907.191586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtBZd9-B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</td>
<td>-2907.191586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structure</td>
<td>Energy (a.u.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtBZd10-B*-Fe(V)(ms=1/2)-O</td>
<td>-2907.17564783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtBZd11-B*-Fe(V)(ms=-1/2)-O</td>
<td>-2907.17564783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtBZd12-B*-Fe(V)(ms=-1/2)-O</td>
<td>-2907.17564783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtBZd13-B*-Fe(V)(ms=-1/2)-O</td>
<td>-2907.17564783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtBZd14-B*-Fe(V)(ms=-1/2)-O</td>
<td>-2907.17564783</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0.696217</th>
<th>0.238600</th>
<th>0.750354</th>
<th>0.154998</th>
<th>0.808163</th>
<th>0.063109</th>
<th>0.964453</th>
<th>-0.198831</th>
<th>1.132175</th>
<th>-0.500576</th>
<th>1.307144</th>
<th>-0.836071</th>
<th>1.486904</th>
<th>-1.200727</th>
<th>1.669959</th>
<th>-1.590984</th>
<th>1.855357</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-2.004014</td>
<td>2.040596</td>
<td>-2.433102</td>
<td>2.042474</td>
<td>-2.437532</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Appendix
Chapter 5

Fe-TAML Mirroring Cytochrome P450 in Degrading Sertraline — the Active Ingredient in Zoloft®

He who has overcome his fears will truly be free. We are what we repeatedly do. Excellence, then, is not an act, but a habit.

— Aristotle
5.1 Abstract

Iron TAML activators (oxidation catalysts based upon tetraamido macrocyclic ligands) at nanomolar concentrations in water activate hydrogen peroxide to rapidly degrade sertraline, the persistent, active pharmaceutical ingredient (API) in the widely used drug, Zoloft. While all the API is readily consumed, degradation slows significantly at one intermediate, sertraline ketone. The process occurs from neutral to basic pH. The pathway has been characterized through four early intermediates which reflect the metabolism of sertraline, providing further evidence that TAML activator/peroxide reactive intermediates mimic those of cytochrome P450 enzymes. TAML catalysts have been designed to exhibit considerable variability in reactivity and this provides an excellent tool for observing degradation intermediates of widely differing stabilities. Two elusive, hydrolytically sensitive intermediates and likely human metabolites, sertraline imine and N-desmethylsertraline imine, could be identified only by using a fast-acting catalyst. The more stable intermediates and known human metabolites, desmethylsertraline and sertraline ketone, were most easily detected and studied using a slow-acting catalyst. The resistance of sertraline ketone to aggressive TAML activator/peroxide treatment marks it as likely to be environmentally persistent and signals that its environmental effects are important components of the full implications of sertraline use.

5.2 Introduction

In many countries, antidepressants are the most frequently prescribed drugs and selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) lead the category.\textsuperscript{1} Sertraline (SER, Scheme 5.2) is the API in Zoloft, an important SSRI. As with many APIs, SER is highly bioactive and resistant to degradation. However, these advantageous properties for
treating human maladies can lead to adverse effects on aquatic organisms when even trace quantities are released to water.\textsuperscript{2–6} This presents intriguing research challenges for green chemists who aim to reduce or eliminate hazardous substances.\textsuperscript{7} Treatment with TAML activators (Scheme 5.1) and peroxide has been shown to deeply oxidize and significantly mineralize recalcitrant water contaminants such as pentachlorophenol and trichlorophenol\textsuperscript{8} and toxic thiophosphate pesticide hydrolysates\textsuperscript{9} among other things.\textsuperscript{10} The approach is also known to effectively eliminate estrogenicity from water contaminated with natural and synthetic estrogens.\textsuperscript{11} However, TAML activator/peroxide treatment does not decompose all oxidizable substrates rapidly and we are working to understand what controls the reactivity with the goal of extending the already wide generality through iterative catalyst design.\textsuperscript{12,13}

In this work, we present an example of a degradation process that commences rapidly only to slow dramatically long before mineralization is reached. We show that SER degradation chemistry starts off with the API being degraded rapidly and completely. The process moves from SER quickly through several species, but then slows significantly at one intermediate, sertraline ketone (SEK, Scheme 5.2). The process does not stop completely at SEK, but progress from that stage toward the theoretical endpoint of mineralization is very slow and remains to be further defined. Additional recalcitrant intermediates may arise as SEK is slowly oxidized.

This near stalling of the process at SEK highlights a significant environmental issue with drugs while pointing to a new potential use for TAML activators. Persistent API degradation intermediates raise in theory all the same questions about adverse impacts on biota that the parent APIs do, but usually little is known about the environmental occurrence, fate and impacts of such species. Here for SER, we demonstrate that TAML activators with peroxide can be used to (i) degrade the parent API rapidly and completely in water, (ii) identify oxidative degradation intermediates, (iii) create
degradation conditions of differing aggressiveness to give, on the one hand, beneficial systems for detecting and studying fast-hydrolyzing intermediates and, on the other, propitious systems for following the chemistry of slow-degrading intermediates and, (iv) test easily for a degradation intermediate that is likely to be environmentally persistent and therefore worthy of detailed ecotoxicological studies. There is a positive implication for drug development. The TAML activator/peroxide degradation approach could be used to show whether or not an API or API candidate is likely to produce persistent degradation products. Additional APIs beyond SER are the subject of ongoing studies to explore the generality of the approach.

5.3 Experimental

5.3.1 Materials

Sertraline Hydrochloride ((1S,4S)-4-(3,4-dichloro-phenyl)-N-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-1-amine), SER, was purchased from Toronto Research Chemicals Inc. Sertraline imine
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(N-((1E)-4-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-3,4-dihydro-1(2H)naphthalenylidene)methanamine), SIM, was purchased from a rare chemical library at Sigma Aldrich. N-desmethylsertraline ((1S,4S)-4-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-1-amine), DMS, and sertraline ketone (4-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-3,4-dihydronaphthalen-1(2H)-one), SEK, were synthesized according to published procedures. Hydrogen peroxide 30% w/w) was purchased from Fisher Scientific. Formic acid, potassium hydroxide, sodium carbonate, sodium bicarbonate, monopotassium phosphate, dipotassium phosphate, HPLC grade water, methanol, and acetonitrile were obtained from commercial sources (Aldrich, Fisher, Acros and Fluka). The TAML activators were synthesized according to the published method. The concentration of hydrogen peroxide stock solution was calibrated by UV-Vis spectroscopy using the molar absorption coefficient (72.4 M\(^{-1}\)cm\(^{-1}\)) at 230 nm. The pH of the reactions was controlled with sodium carbonate/sodium bicarbonate (10\(^{-2}\) M) for pH 9.5 and pH 10.25 and monopotassium phosphate/dipotassium phosphate (10\(^{-2}\) M) for pH 8.25.

5.3.2 Instrumentation

A Waters 600E HPLC system equipped with a 2996 photodiode array detector and 717 autosampler was employed for quantitative analysis in the SER degradation process and to monitor the SIM hydrolysis process. Atmospheric pressure MS analysis was performed on a ThermoFisher LCQ 3D quadrupole ion trap equipped with electric spray ionization and atmospheric pressure chemical ionization (APCI) sources. The analyses were performed either through flow injection or hyphenated LC-MS methodologies, in which the above-mentioned HPLC was used. GC-MS analyses were performed on a Thermo DSQ MS coupled to a TRACE GC equipped with a programmed temperature vaporizing injector (PTV) and LEAP Combi PAL autosampler. Solid phase extraction (SPE) was performed using Waters Oasis HLB cartridges.
organic carbon (TOC) analyses were obtained from Analytical Laboratory Services, Inc. on solution samples prepared at Carnegie Mellon University.

5.3.3 Reactions

SER and DMS stock solutions were prepared in methanol. In a typical degradation reaction, the reagents were added in the following order into either HPLC or GC sample vials: buffer, SER or DMS, TAML catalyst 1, and H$_2$O$_2$. The reaction mixture was homogenized using Pasteur pipettes and the medium was analyzed at various times by HPLC, LC–MS and GC–MS.

5.3.4 Total Organic Carbon (TOC) Analyses

TOC determinations were made on samples using catalyst 1c with three different catalyst and peroxide concentrations. All stock solutions were prepared in 0.01 M phosphate buffer (pH 9.5). The phosphate buffer was prepared by dissolving KH$_2$PO$_4$ in HPLC grade water and adjusting the pH to 9.5 with concentrated KOH solution. Organic solvents were not used at any stage in the sample preparations for TOC analyses. SER stock solution ($6.29 \times 10^{-5}$ M) was prepared by dissolving setraline hydrochloride in the buffer solution and sonicating for 4 h. Three 1c stock solutions ($6 \times 10^{-5}$ M, $1.2 \times 10^{-4}$ M, $3 \times 10^{-4}$ M) and three H$_2$O$_2$ stock solutions (0.4, 0.8, 2.0 M) were prepared and used as appropriate for the reactions; TOC-1, TOC-2 and TOC-3 respectively. Aliquots (0.1 mL) of stock solutions of 1c and H$_2$O$_2$ were added according to the details explained in the footnote of Table 5.1 to each reaction vessel. The total reaction volume in all cases was 20 mL. The reactions were quenched after 24 h by adding HCl to reduce the pH to $< 2$. The samples were sent to ALS Environmental (over ice) for the TOC analyses.
Table 5.1: TOC Data for Sertraline Degradation Reactions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample I.D.</th>
<th>TOC (ppm)</th>
<th>Description of Additives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SER Control</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>[SER] (5 × 10^{-5} M)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOC-1 Control a</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>[SER] (5 × 10^{-5} M), [1c] (3.0 × 10^{-7} M)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOC-1 a</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>[SER] (5 × 10^{-5} M), [1c] (6.0 × 10^{-7} M)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOC-2 Control b</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>[SER] (5 × 10^{-5} M), [1c] (6.0 × 10^{-7} M), [H_2O_2] (4.0 × 10^{-3} M)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOC-2 b</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>[SER] (5 × 10^{-5} M), [1c] (6.0 × 10^{-7} M), [H_2O_2] (4.0 × 10^{-3} M)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOC-3 Control c</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>[SER] (5 × 10^{-5} M), [1c] (1.5 × 10^{-6} M)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOC-3 c</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>[SER] (5 × 10^{-5} M), [1c] (1.5 × 10^{-6} M), [H_2O_2] (1.0 × 10^{-2} M)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

5.4 Results and Discussion

It is an important green chemistry challenge to be able to purify water of traces of bioactive organic contaminants, especially when low doses are known to alter the normal development of living things. An ideal degradation system is almost certain to be based upon oxidation catalysis, since this is the approach of the natural world. Such a system would function efficiently under environmentally relevant conditions, i.e., at ambient temperature and pressure, in water, employing an oxidant that is used widely in biochemistry so that the products are more likely to be environmentally acceptable, and in a pH regime that is commonly found in natural waters.
Through the design of TAML activators, many of these criteria have been adequately achieved and research is being pursued to optimize the entire set. A critical criterion for green catalysts for water purification is the avoidance of endocrine disruptors, which can impair aquatic life. To begin to examine the many questions surrounding this, we have demonstrated that three TAML activators do not alter transcription mediated by mammalian thyroid, androgen, or estrogen hormone receptors, suggesting that these do not bind to the receptors and reducing concerns that the catalysts might have endocrine disrupting activity. Further toxicity studies are ongoing with an emphasis on endocrine disruption assays. And TAML catalysts are not persistent in water, at least under operating conditions—the degradation rate behavior of a series of TAML catalysts has been determined.

The catalytic cycles of TAML activators are well characterized, although the level of discovered complexity suggests that many additional nuances remain to be revealed. In broad strokes, TAML activators are quite faithful peroxidase mimics, producing with peroxide high valent iron-oxo species related to the reactive intermediates of CYP450 and peroxidase enzymes. The TAML oxidants are by one and two electrons oxidized above the ferric state and include the first well-characterized iron(V)-oxo complex where both oxidation equivalents are localized on the iron, in contrast to the corresponding peroxidase and cytochrome P450 reactive intermediates where one equivalent is located on iron and the other is delocalized over the ligand system. Thus, projecting from the enzymes, TAML activator driven oxidation catalysis, which is typically not wasteful of peroxide, likely involves a combination of catalyst and substrate dependent atom transfer and electron transfer processes and is unlikely to be dominated by hydroxyl radical pathways. The intimate mechanistic details of how the reactive species in TAML activator catalytic cycles oxidise substrates are currently the subject of focused studies in our laboratory.
Because TAML reactive intermediates reflect those of cytochrome P450 enzymes, we considered it likely that TAML activator/H$_2$O$_2$ oxidations of SER would proceed through SER metabolites. The major human metabolites for SER are desmethylsertraline (Scheme 1, DMS) and SEK.$^{26-29}$ Additionally, sertraline imine (SIM, Scheme 1) has been identified as an animal metabolite.$^{26}$ As shown below, these three compounds are precisely the early intermediates that we have identified. Furthermore, evidence is presented that an hydrolytically sensitive intermediate forms fleetingly, namely desmethylsertraline imine (Scheme 5.2, DMSI), and this compound is also a likely metabolite indicating that TAML activator/H$_2$O$_2$ processes might provide a good approach for observing likely metabolites that are too reactive to accumulate in biological media.

5.4.1 pH and Catalyst Dependencies of Degradation Processes

For water treatment processes, the pH is a critical parameter. Process waters being released to the environment should have a pH near that of the receiving water. The rates of TAML activator/H$_2$O$_2$ oxidations are strongly pH dependent in a manner that has been rationalized mechanistically.$^{12}$ The rate determining step is often the formation of the catalytically reactive intermediate(s). The ferric state produces an axial bisaqua complex in water$^{30}$ and this complex is a polyprotic acid. The mono-deprotonated form is the fastest reacting with peroxide.$^{25}$ Thus, the pKa of iron TAML aqua complexes is a critical parameter for environmental applications. The pKa values of the catalysts used here are $1a$ 10.1,$^{30}$ $1b$ 9.4$^{25}$ and $1c$ 9.3.$^{31}$ The maximum reactivity with peroxide arises for each TAML activator at about one half a pKa unit above the pKa of the bis(aqua) ferric complex, because this is where the
aqua ligand acid equilibrium has reached the stage of nearly complete deprotonation and the concentration of the most reactive ferric species is at a maximum. Thinking broadly, the most generally useful TAML catalyst for water purification would have a pKa of 6–7, so that its most reactive form would be in highest concentration around neutral pH and approximately in the most common pH regime for environmental waters. Using the Collins iterative catalyst design protocol, we have been able to deliberately lower the iron TAML activator pKa value to 8.4[13,31] and ongoing design work is aimed at lowering this key reactivity parameter even further. However, for the present study, the more reactive catalysts, 1b and especially 1c, have provided ample catalytic activity for degrading SER rapidly in the mildly basic pH regime and 1c has exhibited reasonable reactivity near neutral pH.

In this work, we have examined the degradation of SER at solution pH values corresponding to the pHs of optimal performance for the different catalysts studied and at other values that are important for water treatment. Five specific pHs were explored using buffered reaction media: pH 6.25 and 7.25 (values common to environmental waters, but lower than the pH of maximum activity for all of the catalysts), pH 8.25 (near Pittsburgh's drinking water pH and also within the environmental range), 9.5 (near the maximum rates for 1b and 1c, but above common environmental values) and 10.25 (near the maximum rate for 1a).[12] Beyond the impact of pH on the rate of formation of the reactive intermediates, the key oxidizing species once formed vary significantly in aggressiveness depending on the substituents on the TAML ligand system with 1a < 1b < 1c.[12]

In Figure 5.1 SER half-life data are presented that summarize the dependency of the TAML/H₂O₂ degradation of SER on both the nature of the TAML activator and on the pH of the solution. As the data show, even with the least reactive 1a, at pH 9.5 and 10.25 SER degradation is rapid with t₁/₂ values of less than 15 min. Catalysts
1a and 1b do not give $t_{1/2}$ values below 60 min at this pH. At pH 8.25, the more reactive 1b and 1c catalysts degrade sertraline to 50% in less than 15 min. At the higher pHs, the $t_{1/2}$ values are less than 1 min for these catalysts. In the case of the least acidic and least aggressive 1a, the $t_{1/2}$ values are less than 30 min at pH values of 9.5 and 10.25, but the oxidation process is very slow at pH 8.25 (see caption to Figure 5.1). At pH 7.25, 1c, delivers a half life of 52 min at $3 \times 10^{-7}$ M. This drops to below 30 min at $9 \times 10^{-7}$ M. At pH 6.25, all the oxidations are too slow to be useful for the most reactive 1c. Thus, SER can be degraded reasonably rapidly to very rapidly by TAML activator/H$_2$O$_2$ at each pH explored except 6.25.

Figure 5.1: HPLC measured half-lives of SER under 1a, 1b, and 1c catalyzed H$_2$O$_2$ treatment at four different pHs and room temperature with [1] = $3 \times 10^{-7}$ M, [SER] = $5 \times 10^{-5}$ M and [H$_2$O$_2$] = $2 \times 10^{-3}$ M. The pH was controlled with 0.01 M K$_2$HPO$_4$/KH$_2$PO$_4$ (pH 7.25 and 8.25) or 0.01 M Na$_2$CO$_3$/NaHCO$_3$ (pH 9.50 and 10.25). All experiments were performed in triplicate. At pH 8.25, SER halflife under 1a/H$_2$O$_2$ (not shown) treatment was slow (17% after 2 h).
5.4.2 Degradation Pathway and the Observation of Elusive Intermediates

Scheme 5.2: TAML activator/H₂O₂ SER degradation pathway showing early identifiable intermediates. Nominal ion masses, for each [M+H]⁺ ion are indicated in parentheses. The demethylation step, SER to DMS, likely proceeds in two steps—dehydrogenation across the RNH–CH₃ bond followed by hydrolysis of the resulting methylene imine. The process proceeded rapidly to SEK which was then more slowly degraded as described in the text.

HPLC, atmospheric pressure ionization mass spectrometry and GC–MS data obtained from the reaction media and from independently prepared or purchased compounds
(see below) reveal the degradation steps shown in Scheme 5.2. Two separate pathways lead from SER to SEK.

Compared with the metabolism pathway of SER (Scheme 5.3), great similarity unfolds. Except for the most right branch in (Scheme 5.3), conjugation product of glucuronoside which does not present in TAML treatment system, Scheme 5.2 seizes all other SER metabolites. In addition, two transient intermediate SIM and DMSI were uncovered with the aid of multiple advanced analytical technology. Their reveal augments the knowledge of SER degradation chemistry under the oxidative stress.

Scheme 5.3: SER metabolism pathway by CYP450 and monoamine oxidase (MAO).  

When SER was degraded using the least aggressive \textbf{1a} catalyst at pH 9.5 in the presence of 40 eq of H$_2$O$_2$, early SER degradation was accompanied by both DMS and
SEK formation (Figure 5.2). At later reaction times (≥50 min), DMS was observed to also convert to SEK. The value of using the quite slow reacting 1a can be seen from Figure 5.2 — the different species are oxidized on timescales that are convenient for accurate experimental observation. After 120 min, the three species in Figure 5.2 accounted for 85.6% of the starting SER. SEK was slowly decomposed by 1c/H₂O₂ (30% decomposed after 350 min by [1c]:[SEK]:[H₂O₂] = 1:100:6400, [1c] = 5 × 10⁻⁷ M at pH 9.5).

These results clearly show that SER can be rapidly transformed to SEK within the qualifications introduced above concerning the pH and the nature of the catalyst. In all experiments (except for the TOC studies), for each equivalent of SER present, 40 equivalents of H₂O₂ were used, or slightly less than the mineralization requirement of 44 equivalents. TOC analyses of solutions produced by SER degradation using 1c under a variety of conditions (Table 5.1) show that mineralization does not follow quickly once the SEK has been oxidized and hint that while SEK can be further decomposed slowly, additional recalcitrant intermediates may well be formed. SER was treated with 1 to 5 aliquots of 1c (3 × 10⁻⁷ M) and H₂O₂ (2 × 10⁻³ M) (multiple aliquots were added at 15 min intervals) to produce solutions that were subsequently shipped for TOC analyses (see Table 5.1). While it took just one aliquot to rapidly produce SEK as explained above, there is no evidence in the TOC results for any significant amount of mineralization. Progression toward mineralization with TAML activator/peroxide systems are known to slow down at small molecule diacids before complete mineralization in effect leading to nearly complete degradation, but not to a high degree of mineralization.⁸ TOC analyses reveal only how much organic carbon has been totally mineralized. However in this case, because of the significant degradation resistance observed for SEK, we favor the conservative position that the bulk of the unoxidized material is likely to consist of quite large molecules. If
this is correct, additional species could also be significant in determining the full environmental implications of the use of SER.

Figure 5.2: Time evolution of [SER], [DMS] and [SEK] during a SER degradation reaction monitored by HPLC. Conditions: \([1a] = 3 \times 10^{-7} \text{ M}, [\text{SER}] = 5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M and } [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2] = 2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M at pH 9.50. } [1a]:[\text{SER}]:[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2] = 1:167:6667. \) The process was run in triplicate employing a Varian Microsorb C18 column (250 × 4.6 mm I.D., 5 mm) with a mobile phase of 70:30, v/v acetonitrile and phosphate buffer (\(\sim 10^{-2}\text{M}, \text{pH 3.0) containing 0.1}\% \text{ triethylamine. The sample injection volume was 10 } \mu\text{L. Calibration curves were generated for SER, DMS and SEK using purchased or synthesized samples and were used to determine quantities in the reaction media.}

5.4.2.1 Characterization of Sertralineimine (SIM)

In Scheme 5.2, SIM is presented as a clearly detected intermediate arising directly from SER. But SIM could not be observed using the slow oxidizing conditions described in Figure 5.2. This process is based upon the least aggressive catalyst \(1a\) and it delivers a convenient reaction timescale for temporal analyses by the rather slow HPLC technique. The transformation of SER to its more stable degradation
intermediates, DMS and SEK, is easily followed and the pathway linking them is conveniently revealed. However, when either of the more aggressively oxidizing catalysts 1b or 1c was used under otherwise identical conditions, SIM was the major early product as determined by HPLC (Figure 5.3) and FIA atmospheric mass spectrometry. The success in observing SIM under faster oxidizing conditions likely results from the SIM production rate by oxidation being fast compared to its subsequent hydrolysis to SEK.

![HPLC chromatogram of SER degradation showing SIM as an intermediate after 2 min of reaction. Conditions: \([1c] : [\text{SER}] : [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2] = 1:100:6000\) with \([\text{SER}] = 3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}\) at pH 9.50. Separation was performed on a Waters XTerra RP18 column (150 × 4.6 mm I.D., 5 mm) with 0.1% formic acid acidified acetonitrile and water as mobile phases under gradient elution conditions (see Table 5.2 for details). The sample injection volume was 20 µL.](image)

Figure 5.3: HPLC chromatogram of SER degradation showing SIM as an intermediate after 2 min of reaction. Conditions: \([1c] : [\text{SER}] : [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2] = 1:100:6000\) with \([\text{SER}] = 3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}\) at pH 9.50. Separation was performed on a Waters XTerra RP18 column (150 × 4.6 mm I.D., 5 mm) with 0.1% formic acid acidified acetonitrile and water as mobile phases under gradient elution conditions (see Table 5.2 for details). The sample injection volume was 20 µL.

Table 5.2: HPLC gradient elution table used to monitor SER degradation reactions SIM hydrolysis. A : Acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid. B: Water with 0.1% formic acid. Injection volume: 20 µL. The same elution method is used in Figure 5.7.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>Flow Rate (mL/min)</th>
<th>% Solvent A</th>
<th>% Solvent B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.01</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The confirmation of SER dehydrogenation and inference for the double bond position invoked Flow Injection Analysis (FIA) atmospheric mass spectroscopic study of time-elapsed decay process of SER and its isotopologue SER-d3 Figure 5.4. The reaction condition is: \[1b]:[Sub]:[H_2O_2]=1:100:6500, [Sub]= 1 \times 10^{-5} \text{M}, [Sub] = [SER] \text{ or } [SER-d3]. \text{pH} = 9.25, \text{buffered by} 10^{-2} \text{M} [\text{NaHCO}_3]/[\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3].

![Molecular structures of SER and SER-d3](image)

Figure 5.4: Molecular structures of SER and SER-d3. The nominal mass for each [M+H]^{+} \text{species and the mass numbers of their mono- and di-}^{37}\text{Cl isotopologues are indicated in the parenthesis.}

As shown in Figure 5.5, the nominal mass of SER-d3 is shifted from 306 to 309 as three \text{^2H} are introduced to the molecule. If the double bond were formed on the methyl group SER-d3 after the dehydrogenation step, it is expected to observe a 3 Da loss product on the mass spectrum. Otherwise, a 2 Da loss product should show up similar to the case of SER decay (Figure 5.5 (b)). The FIA atmospheric mass spectroscopic resolves the latter case (Figure 5.5 (d)). This evidence supports that the dehydrogenation products bears a double bond on the ring or between N and C1.
5.4. Results and Discussion

Figure 5.5: FIA mass spectra for time-elapsed SER and SER-d3 decay processes.
Figure 5.6: FIA mass spectra comparison between SIM standard and SIM as the intermediate in SER oxidation reaction.
5.4. Results and Discussion

Comparison of the mass spectra between SIM standard and peaks with m/z = 304 and 306 in the SER degradation reaction mixture enhanced the confidence of this diagnosis. As seen in Figure 5.6(a), the full scan mass spectrum of SIM standard shows its isotopic distribution mass pattern. To analyze this pattern, we should consider the element composition of the parent compound (SIM) and the natural abundance of each constitutional element. In the case of SIM, both Cl and C have detectable isotopes based on the mass spectrometers at our molecular analysis center. Apparently, with such a small molecular mass, Cl dominates the distribution as shown in Figure 5.6(a). This will simplify the problem to a binomial probability distribution. The probability mass function is given below.

\[ P(X = k) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1 - p)^{n-k} \]  

(5.1)

where \( X \) is the random variable, \( k \) is the event of interest, \( n \) is the sample space, \( p \) is the probability for each Bernoulli trial.

Substitute the dichloro composition into eqn 5.1, we get the mass spectrum signal intensity ratio: \( ^{35}\text{Cl}^{35}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}^{37}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}^{37}\text{Cl} = 1/6/9 \). This ratio is approximated by mass abundance ratio of 304/306/308 in Figure 5.6(a). Selecting the ion 304 with a mass window 1 Da to perform product scan, we get a fragmentation pattern shown in Figure 5.6(b). Mass 304 is also shown as a product in SER decay reaction (Figure 5.5(b)). Targeting this ion and performing the product scan, Figure 5.6(c) results, which has very strong similarity with the product scan spectrum of SIM standard (Figure 5.6(b)). Another peak with high abundance in shows on Figure 5.5(b) is 306. The fragments of this peaks reveal a spectrum (Figure 5.6(d)) with 2 Da upward shift compared to Figure 5.6(c) except for the peak 238, which also exists on the product scan spectrum of 304. More importantly, the ratio between 238 and 240 is almost
identical and their mass difference is 2 Da. This observation complies with the isotopic mass redistribution phenomenon in tandem mass spectrometry, which points 306 to be SIM with the combination of $^{35}\text{Cl}^{37}\text{Cl}$. Therefore, the 304 and 306 appearing on Figure 5.5(b) are highly likely to be associated with each other. The product scan of 304 has almost identical mass peak distribution as the 304 peak in SIM standard. (The 306 peak of SIM standard does not have enough intensity to produce clear and reliable mass spectrum.) Based on second order tandem mass in time analysis, SIM stands a great chance to one of the products in SER decay. Further study on the hydrolysis (see below) and quantification of SEK as the major end-point (Figure 5.2) of SER degradation process suggest that SIM is the mostly likely product of the dehydrogenation step of SER.

Figure 5.7: HPLC chromatograms for SIM hydrolysis at pH 9.5 showing its conversion to SEK. Peaks for unidentified impurities in the purchased SIM standard are visible on the chromatogram. The analysis was performed using a Waters XTerra® RP8 column (150 × 4.6 mm I.D., 5 m) where the eluting solvent was not buffered with gradient elution as described in Table 5.2
5.4. Results and Discussion

The hydrolysis of a commercially obtained sample of SIM was studied in buffered solution (pH 9.5, 0.01 M Na$_2$CO$_3$/NaHCO$_3$) in the absence of peroxide resulting in fast SEK formation. SIM of unguaranteed purity was purchased from a rare chemical library of Sigma-Aldrich which, because of the sensitivity of the compound to hydrolysis, was used as received with focus on the reaction of the SIM component. This SIM standard (ca. 5 × 10$^{-5}$ M) was added to pH 9.5 buffer and immediately subjected to HPLC analysis (Figure 5.7) revealing that it is quickly converted into SEK in the aqueous medium consistent with it being an intermediate in the SER degradation pathway.

This ability both to produce a slower oxidative degradation rate to facilitate HPLC detection and monitoring of hydrolytically stable intermediates and a faster oxidation rate to detect fast hydrolyzing species is a benefit of the diverse reactivity profile of the TAML activator family.

5.4.2.2 Inference for Desmethysertralineimine (DMSI)

![Graph showing changes in relative abundance of mass spectra over time.](image)

Figure 5.8: Selected ion monitoring over $\Delta m/z$ 10 by FIA-APCI-MS of the DMS oxidation medium immediately following mixing (a) and after 4 min (b). Reaction conditions: [DMS] = 1 × 10$^{-5}$ M with [1c]:[DMS]:[H$_2$O$_2$] = 1:100:7500 in pH 9.5 buffer. The mass distributions on both spectra accord with isotopic mass pattern of a pure dichlor hydrocarbon with the molecular with the same nominal mass.
Figure 5.9: Product scan mass spectra for the peak with mass number of 290 (a) and 292 (b) appearing in the DMS degradation reaction. See Figure 5.8 for the reaction conditions.

For the intermediate DMS, it was anticipated that further decomposition would be related to that of SER by dehydrogenation of the DMS amine to desmethylsertraline imine (DMSI) followed by hydrolysis of DMSI to SEK. DMSI was not detected over a range of LC–MS conditions, presumably because it is likely to be very hydrolytically sensitive. However, when the more rapid FIA technique was employed, peaks with appropriate mass distribution for DMSI (two Da lower than those for DMS) were observed upon analysis of a degrading DMS reaction medium (Figure 5.8).

The relative abundance ratio between the peak with the mass number 290 and 292 on Figure 5.8(b) suggests they are isotopically related. Second order tandem mass spectra (Figure 5.9) provide further evidence on their isotopic nature.
Peaks 238 and 240 having equal intensities is an indication of the isotopic mass redistribution observed in tandem mass spectra (cf. 5.4.2.1). Therefore, peak with the mass number 290 and its associates are highly likely to be one chemical entity, suggesting substantial conversion of DMS to a product with the correct mass for DMSI. Collectively, the mass spectra information suggests the intermediacy of DMSI as another chemically reasonable species on the multipathway route to SEK (Scheme 5.2).

5.5 Conclusions

This study shows that SER is rapidly and efficiently decomposed under ambient conditions of temperature and pressure from pH 7.25 to 10.25 by various TAML activator/H$_2$O$_2$ processes. The rates of TAML activator/H$_2$O$_2$ oxidations are pH dependent and the three catalysts used here have maximum rates from pH 9.3 to 10.1. The reactivity falls off for each catalyst as the pH is moved toward neutral, but the most aggressive 1c still delivers a reasonable rate at pH 7.25. However at pH 6.25 even, 1c has a slow rate of SER oxidation under the concentration conditions used. The oxidative metabolism of SER is significantly mimicked in the product distribution providing further evidence that the reactive intermediates in TAML activator catalysis mimic those in cytochrome P450 and peroxidase processes. Overall, SER degradation proceeds rapidly to SEK, which is then much more slowly degraded. The variable reactivity of the TAML catalysts provided a novel tool for following the evolution and fate both of the more stable early sertraline degradation intermediates and for several reactive intermediates that are susceptible to hydrolysis. The TAML activator/H$_2$O$_2$ degradation process for SER is rapid and complete, but the process nearly stalls at SEK for all three catalysts. In this regard, the behavior of SEK con-
contrasts with other exceptionally recalcitrant water pollutants such as pentachlorophenol and trichlorophenol that are rapidly oxidized nearly to complete mineralization and points to a need to better understand the mechanisms by which TAML activator reactive intermediates oxidize the various substrates they attack—appropriate studies are being carried out in our lab. The study also highlights that there is a need for even more reactive TAML activators for advancing water treatment goals which is another major research focus. Finally, in an emerging and related research frontier, various lab-scale oxidation systems are being studied to simulate, better understand and advance the prediction of drug metabolism. The work presented here in pursuit of API degradation systems represents a complementary endeavor to drug metabolism research. If what has been found for SER can be extended to other APIs, TAML activator/H$_2$O$_2$ systems would provide more detailed snapshots of the intermediates in oxidative drug metabolism than are currently available through a form of artificial metabolism that can be carried out easily in water. At the same time, this would represent a new and simple tool for predicting the environmental fate of drugs and drug candidates that would quickly highlight persistent degradation products that need to be studied for potential adverse environmental effects.
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5.7 Appendices

5.7.1 SER Degradation Products Characterization

5.7.1.1 SER Degradation : LC–MS

(a) HPLC chromatogram of SER degradation. Question mark (?) indicates an unidentified species

Figure 5.10: LC-MS analysis of SER degradation reaction mixture: [1c]:[SER]:[H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}] = 1:167:1200 with [SER] = 5 \times 10^{-5} M in pH 9.50 buffer. HPLC chromatogram after background subtraction (top) and mass spectra for all characterized chemical species injected after 6 min reaction time. The broadening of the SEK peak is thought to arise from the continuous production of SEK from SIM hydrolysis on the column. The mass spectra were compared with those of standards to confirm their identities. Separations were effected on a Waters XTerra RP18 column (100 × 4.6 mm I.D., 3.5 µm). The gradient elution employed in the LC-MS analysis is listed in Table 5.3. Figure is continued on the next page.
(a) Full Scan MS Spectrum for SIM

(b) Full Scan MS Spectrum for SER

(c) Full Scan MS Spectrum for DMS

(d) Full Scan MS Spectrum for SEK

Figure 5.10 continued
Figure 5.12: FIA-APCI full scan mass spectra for SIM, SER, DMS, SEK
Table 5.3: HPLC gradient elution table for SER degradation products characterization. A: MeOH with 0.1% formic acid. B: Water with 0.1% formic acid. Injection volume: 20 µL.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>Flow Rate (mL/min)</th>
<th>% Solvent A</th>
<th>% Solvent B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.01</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.7.1.2 SER Degradation: SPE-GC–MS

- **SPE Conditions for Reaction Medium:**
  - Cartridges: Oasis HLB, 3cc (part # WAT094226)
  - Conditioning step: 1 mL CH$_3$OH followed by 1 mL CH$_3$CN
  - Rinse step: 1 mL H$_2$O
  - Sample Loading: 1 mL reaction mixture
  - Rinse step: 1 mL H$_2$O
  - Elution step: 1 mL CH$_3$CN
  - The final CH$_3$CN elution mixture (1 µL injection volume) was injected into the GC-MS.

- **GC-MS Conditions:**
  - Column: Restek Rxi5Sil MS (30 m, 0.25 mm id, 0.25 m film thickness)(Cat # 13623).
  - The transfer line temperature was 300 °C. The mass spectrometer was operated in the positive electron ionization mode at 70 eV. An m/z range of 40 – 350 was scanned and the detector was turned off for the first 4 min of the run.
Table 5.4: GC oven temperature ramp table for SER degradation product characterization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Hold Time (min)</th>
<th>Rate (°C/min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>265</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5.13: GC–MS analysis of SER degradation reaction mixture following SPE treatment as described below. A standard library (NIST MS library or user customized library) was used to identify all four eluents appearing on the TIC with minimum matching probability 94.9%. The reaction conditions were [1c]::[SER]::[H₂O₂] = 1:300:18000, [SER] = 3 ×10⁻⁵ M in pH 9.5 buffer and the sample was loaded on to the SPE cartridge after 1.5 min reaction time. Figure is continued on the next page.
(a) EI MS spectrum for DMS
(b) EI MS spectrum for SER
(c) EI MS spectrum for SEK
(d) EI MS spectrum for SIM

Figure 5.13 continued
Figure 5.14: GC-MS spectra of DMS, SER, SEK and SIM standards

(a) EI MS spectrum for DMS STD

(b) EI MS spectrum for SER STD

(c) EI MS spectrum for SEK STD

(d) EI MS spectrum for SIM STD
5.7.1.3 DMS Conversion to SEK : FIA-APCI-MS

Under the treatment of Fe-TAML/H$_2$O$_2$, DMS was quickly converted to DMSI as evidenced in Figure 5.8 and Figure 5.9. Further evolution of the reaction leads to one dominant species on the FIA-APCI mass spectrum with nominal mass distribution of 291/293/295 and their signal intensity ratio complies with a dichlorinated compound as seen in Figure 5.15.

![Figure 5.15: Time elapsed FIA-APCI-MS spectra for DMS degradation. Reaction condition : [1c]:[DMS]:[H$_2$O$_2$] = 1:800:8600, [DMS]=5×10$^{-5}$M. pH at 9.25.](image)

Product scan on this mass cluster revealed a fragmentation pattern almost identical to the second order mass spectrum of SEK standard. For a comparison, the product scan mass spectra for this species and SEK standard is displayed in Figure 5.16.

This information suggests that the fate of DMS under the treatment of FE-TAML/H$_2$O$_2$ is SEK, the same as that of SER.
Figure 5.16: Second order tandem mass spectra of SEK standard and the final dominant species in the degradation process of DMS. cf. Figure 5.15 for the reaction condition.
5.7.2 Mass Spectra of Analytical Standards and Structure Interpretation

5.7.2.1 SER and DMS

Figure 5.17: FIA-API mass spectra for SER standard
Figure 5.18: FIA-API mass spectra for DMS standard

(a) Second order tandem mass spectrum

(b) Third order tandem mass spectrum
Comparison between the in-source fragmentation mass spectra (Figure 5.19) of SER and DMS standards reveals great similarity in the fragmentation patterns since 275/277/279...
and downward. This suggests 275/277/279 as common product ion between SER and DMS, and higher order fragmentation are all originated from this species. Compare the in-source fragmentation mass spectra (Figure 5.19) for SER and DMS with their post-source fragmentation spectra (Figure 5.17 and Figure 5.18), substantial similarity unfolds. The almost only difference resides on peak 159/161/163, which does not present appreciably on the in-source fragmentation mass spectra (Figure 5.19). It emphasizes that the in-source fragmentation is not an exact replicate of post-source fragmentation. The former can be a powerful and complimentary tool to the latter in molecular structure elucidation using mass spectrometry.

Based on the tandem mass spectra, the molecular structures for the peaks shown on Figure 5.17 and Figure 5.18 are summarized in Figure 5.20. Structures derivation is shown in Figure 5.23.

Figure 5.20: Interpretation of tandem mass spectra for SER and DMS standards
Figure 5.21: Structure derivation for the product ions of SER and DMS for the FIA-API mass spectra
In many cases, there are multiple structural possibilities for one product ion with a specific observed mass number(s) on the spectra Figure 5.17 and Figure 5.18. In those scenarios, the energies of the highly likely structural candidates were computed and compared to decide on the most stable geometries for the assignment on Figure 5.23. More will be discussed in 5.7.3

### 5.7.2.2 SIM

The proposed structures for SIM product ions (see mass spectra Figure 5.6(a) and (b)) are shown in Figure 5.22. The derivation is demonstrated in Figure 5.23.

![Diagram](image-url)  

**Figure 5.22:** Structure derivation for the product ions of SIM for the FIA-API mass spectra
(a) Structure derivation for product ion 273

(b) Structure derivation for product ion 143

Figure 5.23: FIA-MS-SIM-STD-Structs
The conversion from 304/306/308 (Imine) to 304/306/308 (Enamine) was conjured via a cation radical intermediate as illustrated in Figure 5.23 (a). Although imine and enamine tautomerization is known in literature,\textsuperscript{37,38} DFT calculation disfavors the ground state transition from the imine form of SIM to its enamine form (Figure 5.6(a)).

Another interesting proposal on the SIM standard fragmentation pathway is the product ion structure for 143 (Distonic) (Figure 5.23 (b)). Loss of a methyl group from 158 appears to be very plausible for its given structure to yield a cation radical 143 (CatRad) (Figure 5.23 (b)). However, the electronic structure is likely to be isomerized into its distonic form as reported on many molecules in mass spectrometry.\textsuperscript{39,40} Spin density plot (Figure 5.24) based on DFT calculation on this ion also supports this electronic configuration. The spin density share on the $\alpha$ C is about 40% and while N only takes up less than 30% of the total spin density. This indicates that the unpaired electron is highly delocalized and more prone to reside on the $\alpha$ C instead of N. Therefore, the distonic representation is a more accurate description of the electronic configuration of this species.

### 5.7.3 SER Conformational Analysis and Computation for the Stability of Product Ions in Mass Spectrometry

Isobaric ions present a challenge in assigning the molecular structures to a mass spectrometric peak with a specific mass over charge value. Under such circumstance, computational chemistry can be very helpful and informative in elucidating the more likely answer among all the candidates.\textsuperscript{41,42} In our analysis, theoretically projected electronic energies and zero point energies were pursued to provide insightful guidance in determining the most stable configuration among all possible isobaric ions.
Computational suite Gaussian 09\textsuperscript{43} was employed to carry out Becke’s three parameter hybrid functional (B3)\textsuperscript{44,45} along with the Lee-Yang-Parr correlation functional (LYP).\textsuperscript{46} The basis set 6-31G(d) was applied to all elements in the molecule and 6-31+G(d) was applied to Cl atom solely. All geometry optimizations converged to the default criteria set by Gaussian.

5.7.3.1 SER Conformational Analysis

The reported crystals of SER show polymorphism.\textsuperscript{47–52} This entails a conformal analysis on SER prior to investigating energetic information. Observing the molecular structure of SER, it has two major rotatable bonds, one connecting the methylamine moiety to the three ring part of the molecule and the other one connecting the dichlorobenzene moiety to the 1-methylamine naphthalene moiety. Sampling the dihedral angle space of R-N-C1-C2 and C3-C4-C5-C6 (Figure 5.23) using the relaxed potential surface scan method, a 2D energy profile for SER is generated (Figure 5.25).
Figure 5.25: Potential surface of protonated SER generated by DFT calculation. (a) z-axis energy is the electronic energy. (b) the energy of the contours has the unit cm$^{-1}$. In both (a) and (b), $\phi$ is defined as the dihedral angle C3-C4-C5-C6 and $\theta$ represents the dihedral angle R-N-C1-C2 (Figure 5.23).
As seen in the Figure 5.25 (a), two global energy minima reveal at the approximate $(\theta, \phi)$ coordinates (-0.35 , 0.05) and (-0.35 , 1.15). They can probably be more obviously spotted on the contour plot (Figure 5.25 (b)) with contour labeled with 400. These two minima correspond to two configurations of the dichlorobenzene moiety. One has one chloro group pointing upward (Figure 5.26, red and blue) with respect to the cyclohexane semiplane and the other one has one chloro group pointing downward (Figure 5.26, yellow and green). In addition, two small local minima are seen on the 3D profile or the 2D contour plot (Figure 5.25 (a) and (b)). These two local minima are located in the vicinity of (0.4 , 0.1) and (0.4 , 1.0). They correspond to two configurations of the methylamine moiety. As shown on Figure 5.26, the methylamine moiety can orient toward (red and blue) the benzene ring or away from the benzene ring (green and yellow).

Figure 5.26 is an overlay plot of four optimized SER crystal structures that available in the Cambridge Structural Database (http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/Solutions/CSDSystem/Pages/CSD.aspx). The four conformers mostly overlap with each other in the three-ring region of the molecule. The difference primarily originates from the orientation of the dichlorobenzene moiety and the methylamine moiety. This structural variation divides the four conformers into to two large groups, red and blue, and yellow and green. Clearly, the polymorphism of SER crystals can be well approximated by variation of the two dihedral angles R-N-C1-C2 and C2-C3-C4-C5 (Figure 5.23). Sampling these two variables results four major minima on the potential surface (Figure 5.25) and they have good correspondence from the crystal structures. Therefore, relaxed potential surface scan presents a powerful tool to explore the energy profile of small organic molecules. The geometry corresponds to the global minimum in energy is obtained and used as the initial conformation for the energy computation for product ions resolved on mass spectra.
Figure 5.26: Optimized SER crystal structures overlay. Hydrogen atoms are removed for clarity. Colors (red, yellow, green and blue) are used to differentiate four crystal structures of SER. C and N are shown in as sticks and Cl atoms are shown as van der Waals sphere.

5.7.3.2 Computed Energies for Product Ions of Interest

The computed electronic energy plus zero point energy for the isobaric product ions on the mass spectra (Figure 5.6, Figure 5.17, and Figure 5.18) is summarized in the Table 5.5.

Table 5.5: Energy table for the isobaric product ions of interest.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>precursor ion</th>
<th>isobaric ion 1</th>
<th>isobaric ion 2</th>
<th>( \Delta E ) (cm(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SER/DMS</td>
<td>275/277/279 (C1+)</td>
<td>275/277/279 (C4+)</td>
<td>-4305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SER/DMS</td>
<td>275/277/279 (C1,C2,C3+)</td>
<td>275/277/279 (C2,C3,C4+)</td>
<td>-2485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SER/DMS</td>
<td>91 (L+)</td>
<td>91 (D+)</td>
<td>-3165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SER/DMS</td>
<td>159/161/163 (L+)</td>
<td>159/161/163 (D+)</td>
<td>-496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIM</td>
<td>273/275/277 (C1+)</td>
<td>273/275/277 (C4+)</td>
<td>-22400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( \Delta E \) refers to \( E(\text{isobaric ion } 2) - E(\text{isobaric ion } 1) \)
Chapter 6

Degradation of Fluoxetine — the active ingredient in Prozac® — by Fe-TAML/Hydrogen Peroxide Inspiring Green Pharmaceutical Design

All truths are easy to understand once they are discovered; the point is to discover them.
— Galileo
6.1 Abstract

Fluoxetine, the active ingredient in antidepressant Prozac, represents the first member of the serotonin receptor reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) family and is one of the most successful among all members. Its top prescription record among SSRIs and extra stability leads to prevalent occurrence in the environment. Environmental studies showed that FLX can be toxic to aquatic species at trace level of exposure and disruptive to their neurosystems. Therefore, it is urgent to seek an environmentally friendly solution to diminish the harm FLX can potentially bring to the environment. TAML® plus hydrogen peroxide system is thus employed in this study to treat FLX in the laboratory settings. As a result, FLX was degraded to harmless endpoints. An elusive intermediate along the degradation pathway was proposed and its fleet fate was studied using DFT calculations. The cascade breakdown feature of FLX under TAML®/H₂O₂ treatment inspires green pharmaceutical design.

6.2 Introduction

Pharmaceuticals are increasingly detected in the environment.1–5 Among all prescribed drugs, antidepressant is ranked on the top in US.6 The most prescribed antidepressants are serotonin receptor reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs).7 As the first molecule of a new generation of SSRI, fluoxetine (FLX) soon became the mostly prescribed SSRI after its introduction in 1988.8 Correspondingly, FLX occurrence in the environment is frequently encountered.9–12

FLX is a very stable synthetic compound. It resists hydrolytical and photolytical degradation over 30 days in aqueous solutions.13 Apart from its defiant to natural attenuation, FLX shows high potency of soliciting biological responses at minute levels. Ecotoxic studies have shown FLX bears aquatic and sediment toxicity to
certain organisms.\textsuperscript{14,15} It was also reported that FLX was able to inhibit cytochrome P450 3A4 by metabolic intermediate complex formation.\textsuperscript{16} More recently, research has discovered that FLX can delay the development of Rana pipiens larvae\textsuperscript{17} and disrupt the neurosystem of fish.\textsuperscript{18}

With the mounting evidence listed above, the risk FLX poses to the environment tolerates no ignorance. It motivates researchers to seek a solution to reduce or eliminate the harm from FLX. In this chapter, TAML\textsuperscript{®}/H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} system is employed for such a purpose. Molecular structure of TAML\textsuperscript{®} is provided in Scheme 5.1. Only catalysts 1a and 1c were used in the FLX degradation study.

\section*{6.3 Experimental}

\subsection*{6.3.1 Materials}

Fluoxetine hydrochloride ((\(\pm\))-N-Methyl-\(\gamma\)-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenoxy]benzenepropanamine hydrochloride), norfluoxetine (NFLX) hydrochloride ((\(\pm\))-\(\gamma\)-(4-Trifluoromethylphenoxy) benzenepropanamine hydrochloride), benzaldehyde, 4-trifluoromethylphenol, and 4-hydroxybenzoic acid were purchase from Sigma Aldrich. Cinnamaldehyde-E, hydrogen peroxide 30\% w/w) was purchased from Fisher Scientific. Formic acid, potassium hydroxide, sodium carbonate, sodium bicarbonate, monopotassium phosphate, dipotassium phosphate, HPLC grade water, methanol, and acetonitrile were obtained from commercial sources (Aldrich, Fisher, Acros and Fluka). The TAML activators were synthesized according to the published method.\textsuperscript{19} The concentration of hydrogen peroxide stock solution was calibrated by UV-Vis spectroscopy using the molar absorption coefficient \((72.4 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1})\) at 230 nm.\textsuperscript{20}
6.3.2 Sample Preparation

Fluoxetine (FLX) and norfluoxetine (NFLX) stock solutions were prepared in methanol. In a typical degradation reaction, the reagents were added in the following order into either HPLC or GC sample vials: buffer, FLX or NFLX, TAML catalyst 1/c, and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \). The pH of the reactions was controlled with sodium carbonate/sodium bicarbonate \( (10^{-2} \text{ M}) \) for pH 9.25 and pH 10.25 and monopotassium phosphate/dipotassium phosphate \( (10^{-2} \text{ M}) \) for pH 8.25. The reaction mixture was homogenized using Pasteur pipettes for the reaction development and the medium was analyzed at various times by HPLC and GC–MS.

6.4 Analysis and Discussions

6.4.1 HPLC Analysis

6.4.1.1 Instrumentation Parameters

HPLC analysis was performed on a Shimadzu Prominence HPLC equipped with a SPD-M20A photodiode array detector and a SIL-20AC UFLC autosampler. A gradient elution method was used and is provided in Table 6.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>Flow Rate (mL/min)</th>
<th>% Solvent A</th>
<th>% Solvent B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.1: LC Gradient elution method in FLX degradation analysis

Mobile phase: \( \text{A} = \text{ACN} \) with 0.1% formic acid; \( \text{B} = \text{H}_2\text{O} \) with 0.1% formic acid. Injection volume: 20 \( \mu \text{L} \). Stationary phase: Phenomenex Lura Phenyl–Hexyl 150 mm × 4.6 mm column with the particle size of 3.0 \( \mu \text{m} \). Flow rate is at 1 ml/min.
6.4.1.2 FLX Decay Monitoring

FLX degradation were treated by two catalysts, $[1a] \cdot [\text{FLX}] \cdot [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2] = 7 : 150 : 8400$ and $[1c] \cdot [\text{FLX}] \cdot [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2] = 1 : 100 : 5600$ with $[\text{FLX}] = 3 \times 10^{-5}$ M. The two sets of reactions were run in parallel under three pH regimes, 8.25, 9.25 and 10.25 (cf. 6.3.2). Time-elapsed FLX decay curves were generated based HPLC analysis (Figure 6.1).

![Graphs showing FLX decay under different pH conditions.](image)

(a) 1a treatment  
(b) 1c treatment

Figure 6.1: FLX decay curve monitored by HPLC observation. Reaction at pH 10.25 for 1c treatment is too fleeting to record sufficient data points for plotting.

As noticed from Figure 6.1, 50% of FLX degradation can be achieved less than 25 min under pH 9.25 and pH 10.25 for 1a and all pH conditions for 1c.
6.4.1.3 FLX Degradation Products Characterization

HPLC analysis of FLX reaction mixtures revealed several peaks on the chromatogram. By comparing the retention times of the peaks for standards (Figure 6.2) and the reaction mixture (Figure 6.3), reaction product peaks A, C, D, F, and G were identified as 4-hydroxybenzoic acid, norfluoxetine, fluoxetine, cinnamic acid, and cinnamaldehyde respectively. This characterization was further confirmed by spiking those peaks with standards, which shows an increase in intensity for all in Figure 6.4.

Figure 6.2: HPLC chromatogram of analytical standards: A — 4-hydroxybenzoic acid; B — Benzoic acid; C — Norfluoxetine; D — Fluoxetine; E — Bezaldehyde; F — Cinnamic acid; G — Cinnamaldehyde; H — 4-trifluoromethyl phenol

Figure 6.3: HPLC chromatogram of reaction mixture in FLX degradation study. Rxn condition: [1c]:[FLX]:[H₂O₂] = 1:100:5600, [FLX] = 5E-5M, pH 8.25 buffer. A — 4-hydroxybenzoic acid; B — Benzoic acid; C — Norfluoxetine; D — Fluoxetine; E — Bezldehyde; F — Cinnamic acid; G — Cinnamaldehyde; H — 4-trifluoromethyl phenol
6.4. Analysis and Discussions

Figure 6.4: HPLC chromatogram of reaction mixture in FLX degradation study. A — 4-hydroxybenzoic acid; B — Benzoic acid; C — Norfluoxetine; D — Fluoxetine; E — Bezaldehyde; F — Cinnamic acid; G — Cinnamaldehyde; H — 4-trifluoromethyl phenol

6.4.2 SPME-GC-MS Analysis

6.4.2.1 Instrumentation Parameters

GC-MS analysis of FLX degradation products were performed on a Thermo scientific DSQ MS with TRACE GC equipped with a LEAP Combi PAL® autosampler. Direction immersion (DI) solid phase microextraction (SPME) method was employed as the sampling method. Optimized parameters for SPME operation are listed in Table 6.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>preincubation time</td>
<td>10.0 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>incubation temperature</td>
<td>100 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extraction time</td>
<td>3.0 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>desorption time</td>
<td>3.0 min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SPME fiber: Supelco®, PA 85 μm, 57294-U. SPME liner: 75×1 PTV, Thermo, P/N 45322054.

Analysts were introduced into the GC column (Restek, Rxi®-XLB MS) via the splitless injection mode. The oven temperature ramping program is given in Table 6.3.
Table 6.3: Oven temperature ramping program for GC-MS analysis of FLX degradation products

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rate (°C/min)</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Hold Time (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramp 1</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramp 2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramp 3</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.4.2.2 FLX Degradation Products Characterization

SPME-GC-MS analysis of FLX degradation reaction mixture reveals the total ion chromatogram in Figure 6.5. Cinnamaldehyde, Bezaldehyde and 4-hydroxybenzoic acid were identified as the degradation products of FLX under TAML treatment.

Figure 6.5: GC-MS total ion chromatogram for FLX degradation reaction mixture. Reaction condition: [1c]:[FLX]:[H₂O₂] = 5:100:5600, [FLX]=5E-5M, pH 10.25 buffer
Figure 6.6: EI full scan mass spectrum for BZALD in the FLX degradation mixture. Reaction conditions refers to Figure 6.5.

Figure 6.7: EI full scan mass spectrum for 4-TFMP in the FLX degradation mixture. Reaction conditions refers to Figure 6.5.
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Figure 6.8: EI full scan mass spectrum for CINALD in the FLX degradation mixture. Reaction conditions refers to Figure 6.5.

Figure 6.9: EI full scan mass spectrum for FLX in the FLX degradation mixture. Reaction conditions refers to Figure 6.5.
6.4.3 NMR Analysis

Nuclear magnetic resonance analysis was performed on a Bruker Avance™ 500 MHz NMR facility. Samples subject to NMR analysis were prepared in a solvent system with 90% H$_2$O and 10% D$_2$O. Watergate technique was employed to suppress the water signal on the spectra.

Comparing the spectrum (1), (2) and (3) (Figure 6.11), one can see that the -CH$_3$ and -CH$_2$ signals on the FLX degradation mixture, indicating NFLX is a degradation product of FLX. Additionally, the peak near 8.5 ppm corresponding to HCOO$^-$ on the spectrum (3) appears as a distinctive signal not observed on FLX or NFLX standards. This observation suggests HCOO$^-$ is one of the oxidation products of FLX under TAML treatment. Such a hypothesis is supported by the spiking experiment (spectrum (4)), in which the HCOO$^-$ signal intensity increased after addition of HCOO$^-$ into the FLX degradation mixture.
Figure 6.11: NMR stack plot to compare FLX, NFLX standards with FLX degradation reaction mixture. NMR Spectra of NFLX standard (1), FLX standard (2), FLX reaction mixture (3) and FLX reaction mixture spiked with formic acid (4). MeOH signal originated from the FLX or NFLX stock solution. Rxn condition: [1a]:[FLX]:[H₂O₂] = 1:1000:8000, [FLX]=2E-4M, pH 9.25 buffer.

6.4.4 Hydrolysis of 4-trifluormethylphenol

GC-MS analysis of FLX degradation mixture shows that 4-trifluormethylphenol (4TFMP) as a degradation production of FLX with small signal intensity on the total ion chromatogram (Figure 6.5). However, this signal did not show up on the HPLC chromatogram (Figure 6.3). This triggers the curiosity of studying the hydrolytic sensitivity of this compound. As shown in Figure 6.12, 4TFMP rapidly turns into 4HYBA in a basic medium in the absence of oxidizing agents. Figure 6.12(a) is the time-elapsed UV-Vis spectra stack plot, indicating 4TFMP conversion to 4HYBA.
Figure 6.12: 4TFMP Hydrolysis at pH 10.25 monitored by UV-Vis spectroscopy. See 6.7.1 for the mathematical treatment in linearizing the kinetic data.
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Figure 6.13: 4TFMP Hydrolysis at pH 10.25 monitored by $^{19}$F NMR

The initial and the final spectrum of Figure 6.12(a) take a great resemblance of standard 4TFMP and 4HYBA UV-Vis spectrum shown in Figure 6.12(b). Selecting the maximum absorbance for 4TFMP and 4HYBA respectively and plot their intensities evolution vs. time resulted in Figure 6.12(c). It can been seen that the trend of 4TFMP decay and 4HYBA growth show great correlations. After linearization (6.7.1),
the decay rate of 4TFMP and the growth rate of 4HYBA almost overlap each other (Figure 6.12(d)). This strongly suggest that 4TFMP hydrolysis to produce 4HYBA. Another test of this hypothesis comes from $^{19}$F NMR experiment. $3 \times 10^{-5}$ M 4TFMP was dissolved in pH10.25 buffer according to the procedure described in 6.3.2. Time elapsed $^{19}$F signals, 4TFMP, $F^-$ and TFA (trifluoroacetic acid) were recorded and presented in Figure 6.13. Due to the low sample quantity, 1024 scans were used to produce one spectrum with acceptable signal to noise level. It is noticed from the Figure 6.13 that the 4TFMP signal decreases in company with the $F^-$ signal increase as experiment progresses. The internal reference TFA does not show noticeable change in signal intensity among all the spectra in Figure 6.13. In the end of experiment, $F^-$ was spiked into the reaction mixture and this caused the enhancement of the $F^-$ signal on NMR. This evidence supports the 4TFMP produces $F^-$ after hydrolysis. Literature survey also support 4TFMP conversion to 4HYBA in a basic environment.21–25

6.4.5 Fluxoxetine aldehyde — the missing piece of the puzzle

6.4.5.1 FLXALD — A Fleeting Species

Collecting all the evidence from HPLC, GC-MS, NMR, UV-Vis and IC (ion chromatography) studies, the degradation pathway of FLX under TAML treatment can be constructed (Figure 6.15). Clearly, something is missing along the path in Figure 6.15 — the amine group. The hint to this riddle can be found in the sertraline case study. As shown in Scheme 5.2 and Scheme 5.3, TAML can simulate CYP450 to convert amine to a ketone. If one pieces CINALD and 4TFMP together, it comes out as an aldehyde, inked blue in Figure 6.15. If this compound were on the FLX’s degradation pathway, then the follow-up question would be why it was not detected by the analytical screening.
Figure 6.14: Proposed incomplete FLX degradation pathway under TAML treatment.
Figure 6.15: Proposed complete FLX degradation pathway under TAML treatment
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The hypothesized pathway for FLXALD decomposition is via β-elimination as illustrated in Figure 6.16.

![Figure 6.16: FLXALD β-elimination mechanism](image)

To test this hypothesis and probe the possible elimination mechanism, an in silico investigation was performed. DFT-based theoretical calculations were performed with Gaussian 09 rev.B.01, using Becke’s three parameter hybrid functional (B3) along with the Lee-Yang-Parr correlation functional (LYP) and basis set 6-31G(d). The solvent effect was considered using the SMD continuum model. A 3D reaction potential profile(Figure 6.17) was constructed to gain insight into the reaction mechanism.

![Figure 6.17: FLXALD β-elimination 3D potential profile](image)

Two transition states and one intermediate state revealed in Figure 6.17. This sug-
suggests the reaction mechanism is more likely to be E1 or E1cb. Given the huge reaction barrier for the $O-C_{\alpha}$ bond dissociation without the induction from the nucleophile, the E1 mechanism is out of the question. So, E1cb is likely to be best mechanistic description to account for the $\beta$-elimination for FLXALD. The energy barriers are 7.3 kJ/mol and 10.5 kJ/mol for the two consecutive steps respectively. These reaction barriers are even lower than the free rotation barrier ($\sim 12$ kJ/mol) at room temperature. Therefore, it is no wonder that FLXALD escaped the analytical scrutiny.

### 6.4.5.2 Solvent Effects on the Elimination Mechanism

![Figure 6.18: Comparison of OH$^-$ attacking FLXALD in water and gas phase](image)

Figure 6.18: Comparison of OH$^-$ attacking FLXALD in water and gas phase

A closer study on the simulation results discloses the solvent impact on FLXALD’s elimination mechanism. Figure 6.18 plots the $O-C_{\alpha}$ distance as a function of OH$^-$ approaching in the water phase (blue curve) and gas phase (black curve). The common feature of the two curves is that they both deviate from their original distance as OH$^-$ gets closer. This result reflects the inductive effect of the OH$^-$ group, i.e.
it weakens the O\text{−}C_\alpha bonds as it moves in. In this sense, the E1cb mechanism of FLXALD is contaminated with the E2 feature and this contamination is more severe for the gas phase than the water phase. Up to certain point in the gas phase, the O\text{−}C_\alpha bond disengaged automatically. So, FLXALD elimination should be better described as a borderline case between E2 and E1cb, and it shifts more toward E2 as the reaction moves into the gas phase.

Table 6.4: O\text{−}C_\alpha bond length variation as OH\textsuperscript{−} approaches in multiple reaction media

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HO···H (Å)</th>
<th>O\text{−}C_\alpha (Å)</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>$\epsilon_r$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.070</td>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>78.3553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.970</td>
<td>1.504</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>78.3553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.070</td>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>1,2-EthaneDiol</td>
<td>40.245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.970</td>
<td>1.506</td>
<td>1,2-EthaneDiol</td>
<td>40.245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.070</td>
<td>1.513</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>20.493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.970</td>
<td>1.523</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>20.493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.070</td>
<td>1.518</td>
<td>Pentanal</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.970</td>
<td>1.530</td>
<td>Pentanal</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.070</td>
<td>1.526</td>
<td>Diethylether</td>
<td>4.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.970</td>
<td>1.541</td>
<td>Diethylether</td>
<td>4.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.070</td>
<td>1.542</td>
<td>CycloPentane</td>
<td>1.9608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.970</td>
<td>1.567</td>
<td>CycloPentane</td>
<td>1.9608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.070</td>
<td>1.571</td>
<td>Vacuum</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.970</td>
<td>3.060</td>
<td>Vacuum</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To further explore the effects of solvent on the reaction mechanism of FLXALD, a series of simulations of OH\textsuperscript{−} attack were performed in multiple media. The result is summarized in Table 6.4. Clearly, as the dielectric constant of the medium becomes smaller, the O\text{−}C_\alpha bond extenuation aggravates. This suggests the stability of the intermediate carbonanion depends on the medium. Born model can give a qualitative
explanation for this phenomenon.

\[ \Delta G_{\text{sol}} = -\frac{z_i^2 e^2 N_A}{8 \pi \epsilon_0 r_i} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\epsilon_r} \right) \] (6.1)

where \( z_i \) is the charge and \( r_i \) is the radius of ion.

Eqn 6.1 shows that solvation energy favors small and highly charged species in a medium with high relative permittivity. There it is plausible for the charged species, the FLXALD carbonanion intermediate, to gain stability as the relative permittivity of the medium increases. When the system is deprived of solvent, the situation changed dramatically. Eqn 6.1 is essentially a energy difference for a charged species between in the solvent phase and the gas phase. When the solvent phase is not involved, the relative permittivity term \( \frac{1}{\epsilon_r} \) disappeared and eqn 6.1 flips the sign. Consequently, a charged species with a smaller volume in the gas phase is favored because of less energy penalty. On the case of FLXALD attacked by OH\(^-\) in the gas phase, the large energy penalty on the FLXALD carbonanion intermediates drives it shred off a fragment to reduce its energy debt and thus makes the FLXALD elimination mechanism more E2 like.

The process of the FLXALD carbonanion intermediate scission in the gas is also quite intriguing. If the invading OH\(^-\) and FLXALD is carved into two moieties according to Figure 6.19 and plot their Mulliken charge evolution vs. the distance between OH\(^-\) and the \( \beta \) H, Figure 6.20 results. FLXALD alone is a neutral species. Induced by the distant OH\(^-\), nearly half minus charge has already shifted onto moiety 2 to make moiety half positive. As OH\(^-\) draws in, the positive charge on moiety 1 sinks to about 0.8 and so does the negative charge on moiety 2. When the critical point arrives, suddenly the charge on moiety 1 and 2 swapped and simultaneously the FLXALD carbonanion intermediates collapses into 4TFMP\(^-\) and CINALD.
Figure 6.19: HOMO molecular orbital of FLXALD carbonanion after charge separation in the gas phase

Figure 6.20: Mulliken charge evolution during the process of OH⁻ attack FLXALD in the gas phase
The computational elucidation of the fate of FLXALD closed the chemistry circle of FLX degradation. However, the interesting finding on the elusive intermediate FLXALD provokes thoughts far and beyond. FLX and NFLX exhibit herculean resilience to natural attenuation. However, when the external stress exceeds the threshold, a exquisitely fragile intermediate produces, FLXALD. It is this labile identity that hubs to the cascade breakdown pathway of FLX until harmless endpoints. This observation sparkle an idea in green pharmaceutical design — enfolding vulnerability into the stability of molecule. That is designing a stable pharmaceutical molecule with certain debile building blocks. Once the environmental tension surpasses the limit of safety fuse, a central feeble intermediate will be created and conduces further downstream debacle. With the realization of such a vision, the seemingly paradoxical dual need for a pharmaceutical to be stable as well as degradable can be harmonized on the contemplative choice of stress conditions and sagacious molecular engineering.

6.5 Conclusions

This chapter studied the oxidative degradation of fluoxetine by Fe-TAML activators. The experimental results demonstrate that the Fe-TAML/H₂O₂ system can rapidly degrade this environmentally stubborn molecule. A combination of analytical techniques and computer simulation unveil the scenic degradation pathway of FLX. The in scilico exploration of the fugitive intermediate FLXALD reveals its evanescent thermodynamic kernel. Computational results also suggests the elimination mechanism of FLXALD is a borderline case between E1cb and E2 and solvent has great influence on the mechanism. The discovery of this hub species inspires the design idea for green pharmaceuticals — a vision to integrate degradability under specific stress in parallel with the necessarily therapeutic stability. If the vulnerability of the interme-
Diade can be carefully calibrated, it can be used as an internal witch to trigger the decomposition of the entire module in response of a proper external stress.

6.6 References


6.7 Appendices

6.7.1 Mathematical Treatment for Analyzing the case of A→B Conversion based on UV-Vis Observations

System : $A \rightarrow B$

Let $D$ be the UV-Vis signal intensity, $\epsilon$ be the absorbance coefficient, subscript $i$ represent the initial state and subscript $f$ represent the final state and 0 for state when the system contains $A$ only.

\[
\begin{align*}
A_i &= (1 - \lambda)A_0 \\
B_i &= \lambda A_0
\end{align*}
\]

\[
D_t = D_{A,t} + D_{B,t} + D_{B,i}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
&= \epsilon_A A_i e^{-kt} + \epsilon_B A_i (1 - e^{-kt}) + \epsilon_B B_i \\
&= \epsilon_B A_0 + (1 - \lambda)A_0 (\epsilon_A - \epsilon_B) \exp(-k t)
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{D}_t &= (1 - \lambda)A_0 (\epsilon_A - \epsilon_B) (-k) \exp(-k t) \\
\dot{D}_{ti} &= (1 - \lambda)A_0 (\epsilon_A - \epsilon_B) (-k) \exp(-k t_i)
\end{align*}
\]

\[\frac{\dot{D}_t}{\dot{D}_{ti}} = \exp(-k t)\]

\[\ln \left( \frac{\dot{D}_t}{\dot{D}_{ti}} \right) = -k t\]

6.7.2 Mathematica Code for HPLC Data Processing

used with data exported from Shimazu labSolution® software

```
TimePositionSearch[timeseries_, targetstart_, targetend_,
```
timestartrownum := Module[{pstart, pend, i},
For[i = 1, i <= Length[timeseries], i++,
  If[Abs[timeseries[[i]] - targetstart] <= 0.01, pstart = i];
  If[Abs[timeseries[[i]] - targetend] <= 0.01, pend = i];
  pstart += timestartrownum;
  pend += timestartrownum;
  {pstart, pend}]
]

WavelengthPositionSearch[wavelengthseries_, target_] := Module[{p, i},
For[i = 1, i <= Length[wavelengthseries], i++,
  If[Abs[wavelengthseries[[i]] - target] <= 0.5, p = i, Break];
  p++;
p]
]

SingleTrace[HPLCrawdata_, targetwavelength_, smoothfactor_] := Module[{timeseries, wavepos, waveseries, i, targettrace,
  wavelengthrownum, timestartrownum},
  wavelengthrownum = 26;(*the row # of the wavelength*)
  timestartrownum = 27;(*the row # where time series starts*)
  timeseries = HPLCrawdata[[timestartrownum ;; Length[HPLCrawdata] - 1, 1]];
  waveseries = HPLCrawdata[[wavelengthrownum, All]]/100;
  wavepos = WavelengthPositionSearch[waveseries, targetwavelength];
  targettrace = ExponentialMovingAverage[
    HPLCrawdata[[timestartrownum ;; All, wavepos]], smoothfactor]
  ]

TRACEEXTRACT[HPLCrawdata_, timestart_, timeend_, targetwavelength_,
  smoothfactor_] :=
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Module[{i, timeseries, waveseries, timestartp, timeendp, wavelengthp, 
    singletrace, corrtrace, smoothtrace, wavelengthtrownum, 
    timestartrownum},
    wavelengthtrownum = 26;
    timestartrownum = 27;
    timeseries = HPLCrawdata[[timestartrownum ;; Length[HPLCrawdata] - 1, 1]];
waveseries = HPLCrawdata[[wavelengthtrownum, All]]/100;
{timestartp, timeendp} = TimePositionSearch[timeseries, timestart, timeend, timestartrownum];
wavelengthp = WavelengthPositionSearch[waveseries, targetwavelength];
timeseries = HPLCrawdata[[timestartp ;; timeendp, 1]];
singletrace = HPLCrawdata[[timestartp ;; timeendp, wavelengthp]];
smoothtrace = ExponentialMovingAverage[singletrace, smoothfactor];
{timeseries, smoothtrace}
]

TRACEBGSUBTRACT[HPLCrawdata_, BGrawdata_, timestart_, timeend_, 
    targetwavelength_, smoothfactor_] :=
Module[{i, timeseries, waveseries, timestartp, timeendp, wavelengthp, 
    corrstartp, correndp, singletrace, bgtrace, substractedtrace, 
    corrtrace, smoothtrace, wavelengthtrownum, timestartrownum},
    wavelengthtrownum = 26;
    timestartrownum = 27;
    timeseries = HPLCrawdata[[timestartrownum ;; Length[HPLCrawdata] - 1, 1]];
waveseries = HPLCrawdata[[wavelengthtrownum, All]]/100;
{timestartp, timeendp} = TimePositionSearch[timeseries, timestart, timeend, timestartrownum];
wavelengthp = WavelengthPositionSearch[waveseries, targetwavelength];
timeseries = HPLCrawdata[[timestartp ;; timeendp, 1]];
singletrace = HPLCrawdata[[timestartp ;; timeendp, wavelengthp]];
bgtrace = BGrawdata[[timestartp ;; timeendp, wavelengthp]];
substractedtrace = singletrace - bgtrace;
smoothtrace =
    ExponentialMovingAverage[substractedtrace, smoothfactor];
{timeseries, smoothtrace}
]

TRACESUMSLOPE[extractedtrace_, pointslist_] :=
Module[{interpolatefunc, i, j, x1, x2, y1, y2, sumstartp, sumendp,
    peakarealist, area, intensity, timeseries, baseline},
    timeseries = extractedtrace[[1]];
    intensity = extractedtrace[[2]];
    peakarealist = {};
    For[i = 1, i <= Length[pointslist], i += 2,
        x1 = pointslist[[i, 1]];
        y1 = pointslist[[i, 2]];
        x2 = pointslist[[i + 1, 1]];
        y2 = pointslist[[i + 1, 2]];
        baseline[x_] := (y2 - y1)/(x2 - x1) (x - x1) + y1;(*baseline linear equation*)
    
    For[j = 1, j <= Length[extractedtrace[[1]]], j++,
        If[extractedtrace[[1, j]] <= x1 &&
            extractedtrace[[1, j + 1]] > x1, sumstartp = j];
        If[(extractedtrace[[1, j]] <= x2 &&
            extractedtrace[[1, j + 1]] >
            x2 ) || (extractedtrace[[1, j]] <= x2 &&
            j == Length[extractedtrace]), sumendp = j];
        area = 0;
    ];
    
    For[j = sumstartp, j < sumendp, j++,
        area += (timeseries[[j + 1]] - timeseries[[j]]) *(intensity[[j]] - baseline[timeseries[[j]]])
    ];
    peakarealist = Append[peakarealist, area];
    ];
    peakarealist
6.7.3 Mathematica Code for UV-Vis Data Processing

used with data exported from Agilent 845 UV-Visible System

```
KineticSpectraStack[rawdata_, startp_, endp_, step_, startw_, endw_,
curvecolor_, framethickness_, fontsize_, fontweight_] :=
Module[{i, f, nframes, wavestart, waveend, wavelengthList,
    selwavelengthList, absorbanceList, UVSpectraListPlot},
  wavelengthList = rawdata[[6, 2 ;;]]; (*Wavelength list of the full spectra*)
  {wavestart, waveend} = PosSearch[wavelengthList, startw, endw];
  selwavelengthList = wavelengthList[[wavestart ;; waveend]];
  nframes = Floor[(endp - startp)/step] + 1; (*number of frames*)
  absorbanceList = Array[f, nframes]; (*Absorbance list for each time point*)
  For[i = startp, i <= endp, i += step,
    absorbanceList[[((i - startp)/step + 1)]] =
    rawdata[[i, wavestart ;; waveend]]];
  UVSpectraListPlot = Array[f, nframes]; (*UV spectrum listplot for each time point*)
  For[i = 1, i <= nframes, i++,
    UVSpectraListPlot[[i]] =
    ListPlot[{selwavelengthList, absorbanceList[[i]]} \[Transpose],
    PlotStyle -> curvecolor, Joined -> True, PlotRange -> All];
  Show[UVSpectraListPlot, Frame -> {{True, False}, {True, False}},
    FrameLabel -> {"Wavelength (nm)", "Absorbance"},
    FrameStyle -> AbsoluteThicknessframethickness,]
  ImageSize -> Large,
  BaseStyle -> {FontFamily -> "Helvetica", FontSize -> fontsize,
    FontWeight -> fontweight}, AxesOrigin -> {Automatic, 0}]]

Needs["PlotLegends"]
```
SpectraOverlay[rawspectralist_, startw_, endw_, curvecolorlist_,
framethickness_, fontsize_, fontweight_] :=
Module[{i, f, wavestart, waveend, spectranum, spectralist,
spectralistplot, plotstyle},
spectranum = Length[rawspectralist];
(*legendmarkerseq = Array[f, spectranum];*)
(*For[i = 1, i <= spectranum, i++,
legendmarkerseq[[i]] = Style[-"", Hue[i/
spectranum]];*)
{wavestart, waveend} = PosSearch[rawspectralist[[1]][[2 ;;, 1]], startw, endw];
spectralist = Array[f, spectranum];
For[i = 1, i <= spectranum, i++,
spectralist[[i]] = rawspectralist[[i]][[wavestart ;; waveend, 1 ;; 2]]];
spectralistplot = Array[f, Length[rawspectralist]];
For[i = 1, i <= spectranum, i++,
spectralistplot[[i]] =
ListPlot[spectralist[[i]], Joined -> True,
PlotRange -> {{startw, endw}, All},
Frame -> {{True, False}, {True, False}},
FrameLabel -> {"Wavelength (nm)", "Absorbance"},
FrameStyle -> AbsoluteThickness[framethickness],
PlotStyle -> {curvecolorlist[[i]], Thick},
BaseStyle -> {FontFamily -> "Helvetica", FontSize -> fontsize,
FontWeight -> fontweight}, ImageSize -> Large]];
Show[spectralistplot]

EvolutionPeek[rawspectrum_, startw_, endw_, startt_, endt_, step_,
color_] :=
Module[{i, f, wavesel, tstart, tend, npoints, timeseries,
absorbancelist},
wavesel = PosSearch[rawspectrum[[6, 2 ;;]], startw, endw][[1]];  
{tstart, tend} = PosSearch[rawspectrum[[7 ;;, 1]], startt, endt];
npoints = Floor[(tend - tstart)/step] + 1;(*number of points*)
timeseries = Array[f, npoints];
absorbancelist = 
Array[f, npoints]; (*Absorbance list for each time point*)
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For[i = tstart, i <= tend, i += step,
    timeseries[[i - tstart]/step + 1]] = rawspectrum[[i + 6, 1]]; absorbancelist[[i - tstart]/step + 1]] = rawspectrum[[i + 6, wavesel]];

ListPlot[{timeseries, absorbancelist} \[Transpose], Joined -> False, PlotRange -> All, Axes -> False, Frame -> {{True, False}, {True, False}}, FrameLabel -> {"time (sec)", "Absorbance"}, PlotStyle -> {color}, ImageSize -> Large]

TimeEvolution[rawspectrum_, targetw_, startt_, endt_, step_, kguess_] :=

Module[{i, f, k, Ab, timeweight, wavesel, tstartpos, tendpos, timeseries, absorbancelist, Dabsorbancelist, Dabsortancelistlength, npoints, revolutionlist, tangent, spanlist, Devolutionlist, nonlinfitfunc, Ai, Af, Afguess, logDabsorbancelist, logDevolutionlist, linfitfunc, t, x},
  wavesel = PosSearch[rawspectrum[[6, 2 ;;]], targetw, targetw ][[1]]; {tstartpos, tendpos} = PosSearch[rawspectrum[[7 ;;, 1]], startt, endt]; npoints = tendpos - tstartpos + 1; (*number of points*)

timeseries = Array[f, npoints]; absorbancelist = Array[f, npoints]; (*Absorbance list for each time point*)

Dabsorbancelist = Array[f, npoints - step];
For[i = tstartpos, i <= tendpos, i += 1,
    timeseries[[i - tstartpos + 1]] = rawspectrum[[i + 6, 1]] - rawspectrum[[tstartpos + 6, 1]]; absorbancelist[[i - tstartpos + 1]] = rawspectrum[[i + 6, wavesel]];
    revolutionlist = {timeseries, absorbancelist} \[Transpose];
    Ai = absorbancelist [[1]]; Afguess = absorbancelist [[-1]];
nonlinfitfunc = NonlinearModelFit[evo\[l\]tionlist,
Af + (Ai - Af) \text{Exp}[-k \ t], \{\{k, kguess\}, \{Af, Afguess\}\}, \ t];
Dabsortancelistlength = npoints - step;
For[i = 1, i <= Dabsortancelistlength, i += 1,
spanlist = Transpose[{\text{timeseries}[[i ;; i + step - 1]],
absorbancelist[[i ;; i + step - 1]]}];
tangent = LinearModelFit[spanlist, x, x]"BestFitParameters"[[2]]; Dabsorbancelist[[i]] = tangent;
];
Devolutionlist = {timeseries[[1 ;; -step - 1]],
Dabsorbancelist[\{\text{Transpose}\}]};
logDabsorbancelist = Log[Dabsorbancelist/Dabsorbancelist[[1]]];
logDevolutionlist = {timeseries[[1 ;; -step - 1]],
logDabsorbancelist[\{\text{Transpose}\}];
linfitfunc = NonlinearModelFit[logDevolutionlist, k x, k, x];
\{evo\[l\]tionlist, nonlinfitfunc, logDevolutionlist, linfitfunc \}

ShowPlot[rawpairlist_, timelabel_, step_, xlabel_, ylabel_,
framethickness_, fontsize_, fontweight_] :=
Module[{i, j, f, plotnum, plotarray, timeweight, absorbancelistsel, transpair, newpair, newtimeseq, newtimeseqsel, newtimeseqLen, newtimeseqselLen}, plotnum = Length[rawpairlist]; (*number of pairs*)
plotarray = Array[f, plotnum];
Which[timelabel == "sec", timeweight = 1, timelabel == "min", timeweight = 60];
For[i = 1, i <= plotnum, i++,
If[Mod[i, 2] > 0, {transpair = Transpose[rawpairlist[[i]]]};
newtimeseq = transpair[[1]]/timeweight;
newtimeseqLen = Length[newtimeseq];
newtimeseqselLen = Floor[newtimeseqLen/step];
newtimeseqsel = Array[f, newtimeseqselLen];
absorbancelistsel = Array[f, newtimeseqselLen];}
For[j = 1, j <= newtimeseqLen, j += step,
newtimeseqsel[[Ceiling[j/step]]] = newtimeseq[j];
absorbancelistsel [[Ceiling[j/step]]] = transpair [[2]][[ j ]];
newpair = {newtimeseqsel, absorbancelistsel}[[Transpose];
plotarray || i ||] =
ListPlot[newpair, Joined -> False, PlotRange -> All,
Axes -> False, Frame -> {{True, False}, {True, False}},
FrameStyle -> AbsoluteThickness[framethickness],
PlotStyle -> {Hue[i/plotnum], Thick, PointSize -> 0.015},
BaseStyle -> {FontFamily -> "Helvetica", FontSize -> fontsize,
FontWeight -> fontweight}, ImageSize -> Large];,
plotarray || i ||] =
Plot[rawpairlist || i |||| x], {x, rawpairlist || i - 1|||[1][[1]],
timeweight rawpairlist || i - 1|||[-1][[1]]},
PlotRange -> All, Axes -> False,
Frame -> {{True, False}, {True, False}},
FrameLabel -> {xlabel, ylabel},
FrameStyle -> AbsoluteThickness[framethickness],
PlotStyle -> {Hue[(i - 1)/plotnum], Thick},
BaseStyle -> {FontFamily -> "Helvetica", FontSize -> fontsize,
FontWeight -> fontweight}, ImageSize -> Large];;
Show[plotarray]]

6.7.4 Mathematica Code for Mass Spectra Data Processing
used with data exported from Thermo-Fisher XCaliber® software

MSProcss[rawdata_, startt_, endt_, smoothfactor_, normcoef_] :=
Module[{startp, endp, i, xaxis, intensitylist, intensitylistsmooth,
normfactor, intensitylistsmoothnorm},
For[i = 1, i <= Length[rawdata], i++,
If[NumberQ[rawdata[[i, 1]]] && rawdata[[i, 1]] <= startt &&
rawdata[[i + 1, 1]] > startt, startp = i];
If[NumberQ[rawdata[[i, 1]]] && rawdata[[i, 1]] <= endt &&
rawdata[[i + 1, 1]] >= endt, {endp = i, Break}];
xaxis = rawdata[[startp ;; endp, 1]]; intensitylist = rawdata[[startp ;; endp, 2]]; intensitylistsMOOTH = ExponentialMovingAverage[intensitylist, smoothfactor]; normfactor = Max[intensitylistsMOOTH]; intensitylistsMOOTHnorm = normcoef*intensitylistsMOOTH/normfactor; {xaxis, intensitylistsMOOTHnorm}
MSSubstractProcss[bgdata_, rawdata_, startt_, endt_, smoothfactor_] := Module[{startp, endp, i, xaxis, intensitylist, intensitylistsMOOTH, normfactor, intensitylistsMOOTHnorm},
For[i = 1, i <= Length[rawdata], i++,
If[NumberQ[rawdata[[i, 1]]] && rawdata[[i, 1]] <= startt &&
rawdata[[i + 1, 1]] > startt, startp = i];
If[NumberQ[rawdata[[i, 1]]] && rawdata[[i, 1]] <= endt &&
rawdata[[i + 1, 1]] >= endt, endp = i, Break];
xaxis = rawdata[[startp ;; endp, 1]]; intensitylist = rawdata[[startp ;; endp, 2]] – bgdata[[startp ;; endp, 2]]; For[i = 1, i <= Length[intensitylist], i++,
If[ intensitylist [[i]] < 0, intensitylist [[i]] = RandomReal[10]] ]; intensitylistsMOOTH = ExponentialMovingAverage[intensitylist, smoothfactor]; normfactor = Max[intensitylistsMOOTH]; intensitylistsMOOTHnorm = 100*intensitylistsMOOTH/normfactor; {xaxis, intensitylistsMOOTHnorm}]
curvethickness_, framethickness_, aspectratio_, imagesize_] :=
Module[{interpolatefunc, dataDimension, MSplot},
  Which[flag == "list",
    MSplot = 
    ListPlot[Transpose[{data[[1]], data[[2]]}], Joined -> True,
      PlotRange -> Full, PlotStyle -> curvecolor,
      ImageSize -> imagesize,
      BaseStyle -> {FontFamily -> "Helvetica", FontWeight -> Bold,
        FontSize -> fontsize, AbsoluteThickness[curvethickness]},
      Axes -> {False, False}, Frame -> {{True, False}, {True, False}},
      FrameLabel -> {xaxislabel, "Relative_Abundance_($)"},
      FrameStyle -> AbsoluteThickness[framethickness],
      AspectRatio -> aspectratio],
  flag == "centroid",
    MSplot = 
    ListPlot[Transpose[{data[[1]], data[[2]]}], Joined -> False,
      PlotRange -> Full, PlotStyle -> curvecolor,
      ImageSize -> imagesize,
      BaseStyle -> {FontFamily -> "Helvetica", FontWeight -> Bold,
        FontSize -> fontsize, AbsoluteThickness[curvethickness]},
      Axes -> {False, False}, Frame -> {{True, False}, {True, False}},
      FrameLabel -> {xaxislabel, "Relative_Abundance_($)"},
      FrameStyle -> AbsoluteThickness[framethickness], Filling -> Axis,
      FillingStyle -> curvecolor, AspectRatio -> aspectratio],
  flag == "inter",
  {interpolatefunc = Interpolation[Transpose[{data[[1]], data[[2]]}]],
    MSplot = 
    Plot[interpolatefunc[x], {x, Min[data[[1]]], Max[data[[1]]]},
      PlotRange -> Full, PlotStyle -> curvecolor,
      ImageSize -> imagesize,
      BaseStyle -> {FontFamily -> "Helvetica", FontWeight -> Bold,
        FontSize -> fontsize, AbsoluteThickness[curvethickness]},
      Axes -> {False, False}, Frame -> {{True, False}, {True, False}},
      FrameLabel -> {xaxislabel, "Relative_Abundance_($)"}],
  True, MSplot = 
    ListPlot[Transpose[{data[[1]], data[[2]]}], Joined -> True,
      PlotRange -> Full, PlotStyle -> curvecolor,
      ImageSize -> imagesize,
      BaseStyle -> {FontFamily -> "Helvetica", FontWeight -> Bold,
        FontSize -> fontsize, AbsoluteThickness[curvethickness]},
      Axes -> {False, False}, Frame -> {{True, False}, {True, False}},
      FrameLabel -> {xaxislabel, "Relative_Abundance_($)"},
      FrameStyle -> AbsoluteThickness[framethickness],
      AspectRatio -> aspectratio],
  True, MSplot = 
    ListPlot[Transpose[{data[[1]], data[[2]]}], Joined -> False,
      PlotRange -> Full, PlotStyle -> curvecolor,
      ImageSize -> imagesize,
      BaseStyle -> {FontFamily -> "Helvetica", FontWeight -> Bold,
        FontSize -> fontsize, AbsoluteThickness[curvethickness]},
      Axes -> {False, False}, Frame -> {{True, False}, {True, False}},
      FrameLabel -> {xaxislabel, "Relative_Abundance_($)"},
      FrameStyle -> AbsoluteThickness[framethickness], Filling -> Axis,
      FillingStyle -> curvecolor, AspectRatio -> aspectratio]};
FrameStyle -> AbsoluteThickness[framethickness],
AspectRatio -> aspectratio
|
|
|;
If[flag == "centroid",
Show[MSplot,
Graphics[Line[{{data[[1, 1]], 0}, {data[[1, -1]], 0}}]],
PlotRangeClipping -> False],
Show[MSplot, PlotRangeClipping -> False]]
|
|
funManualLabel[data__, masslist__, massspace__, format__, textcolor__,
fontsize__, orientation__, offset__] :=
Module[{datalen, masslistlen, massposlist, Abundancelist, i, j, f},
dalen = Length[data[[1]]];
masslistlen = Length[masslist];
massposlist = Array[f, masslistlen];
Abundancelist = Array[f, masslistlen];
For[i = 1, i <= masslistlen, i++,
For[j = 1, j <= datalen, j++,
If[Abs[masslist[[i]] - data[[1, j]]] < massspace,
{massposlist[[i]] = j, Abundancelist[[i]] = data[[2, j]],
Continue}];
];
];
Graphics[
Table[Rotate[
Text[Style[PaddedForm[masslist[[i]], format], "Helvetica", Bold,
fontsize, textcolor], {masslist[[i]] + offset[[1]],
Abundancelist[[i]] + offset[[2]]}, orientation Degree], {i, 1, masslistlen}]]
]
funMSPlotLabel[flag_, data_, xaxislabel_, curvecolor_, fontsize_,
curvethickness_, framethickness_, aspectratio_, imagesize_,
massspace_, threshold_, labelformat_, labelfontsize_, labelcolor_,
orientation_, offset_] :=
Module[{interpolatefunc, dataDimension, MSplot, i, j, datalen,
dataRanked, peakList, massLabel, labelNum},
datalen = Length[data[[1]]];
Which[flag == "list",
  MSplot =
  ListPlot[Transpose[{data[[1]], data [[2]]}], Joined -> True,
  PlotRange -> Full, PlotStyle -> curvecolor,
  ImageSize -> imagesize,
  BaseStyle -> {FontFamily -> "Helvetica", FontWeight -> Bold,
    FontSize -> fontsize, AbsoluteThickness[curvethickness]},
  Axes -> {False, False}, Frame -> {"Relative_Abundance_((%)"},
  FrameLabel -> {xaxislabel, "Relative_Abundance_((%)"},
  FrameStyle -> AbsoluteThickness[framethickness],
  AspectRatio -> aspectratio],
flag == "centroid",
  MSplot =
  ListPlot[Transpose[{data[[1]], data [[2]]}], Joined -> False,
  PlotRange -> Full, PlotStyle -> curvecolor,
  ImageSize -> imagesize,
  BaseStyle -> {FontFamily -> "Helvetica", FontWeight -> Bold,
    FontSize -> fontsize, AbsoluteThickness[curvethickness]},
  Axes -> {False, False}, Frame -> {"Relative_Abundance_((%)"},
  FrameLabel -> {xaxislabel, "Relative_Abundance_((%)"},
  FrameStyle -> AbsoluteThickness[framethickness], Filling -> Axis,
  FillingStyle -> curvecolor, AspectRatio -> aspectratio],
flag == "inter",
  \{interpolatefunc = Interpolation[Transpose\{data[[1]], data[[2]]\}],
  MSplot =
\begin{verbatim}
6.7. Appendices

Plot[interpolatefunc[x], {x, Min[data[[1]]], Max[data[[1]]]}],
PlotRange -> Full, PlotStyle -> curvecolor,
ImageSize -> imagesize,
BaseStyle -> \{FontFamily -> "Helvetica", FontWeight -> Bold,
FontSize -> fontsize, AbsoluteThickness[curvethickness]\},
Axes -> \{False, False\}, Frame -> \{{True, False}, \{True, False\}\},
FrameLabel -> \{xaxislabel, "Relative_Abundance_\text{%}\"\},
FrameStyle -> AbsoluteThickness[framethickness],
AspectRatio -> aspectratio

| |
| |
| |
dataRanked = data[[All, Ordering@data[[2]]]]; 
peakList = \{\}; 
\textbf{For}\[i = 1, i <= datalen, i++,
{\textbf{If}[dataRanked[[1, i]] >= threshold[[1, 1]] \&\&
dataRanked[[1, i]] <= threshold[[1, 2]] \&\&
dataRanked[[2, i]] >= threshold[[2, 1]] \&\&
dataRanked[[2, i]] <= threshold[[2, 2]]],
\textbf{If}[peakList == \{\},
peakList =
\textbf{Append}[peakList, \{dataRanked[[1, i]], dataRanked[[2, i]]\}],
\textbf{If}[RankedMin[Abs[peakList[[;; 1]] - dataRanked[[1, i]]], 1] >= massspace,
peakList =
\textbf{Append}[peakList, \{dataRanked[[1, i]], dataRanked[[2, i]]\}]
| |
| |
|, 
\textbf{If}[dataRanked[[2, i]] < threshold [[1]], \textbf{Break}]
| ]
| ]
|;
\end{verbatim}

(*massspace is a measure of distance between peaks. For most cases,
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`it is 1 amu.*

labelNum = Length[peakList[[;; , 1]]];
massLabel = Graphics[
  Table[Rotate[
    Text[Style[
      PaddedForm[
        peakList[[j, 1]], {labelformat [[1]], labelformat [[2]]}],
        "Helvetica", Bold, labelfontsize ,
        labelcolor ], {peakList[[j, 1]] + offset [[1]],
        peakList[[j, 2]] + offset [[2]]}, orientation Degree], {j, 1,
        labelNum}];

If[flag == "centroid",
  Show[MSplot, massLabel,
    Graphics[Line[{{data[[1, 1]], 0}, {data[[1, -1]], 0}}]],
    PlotRangeClipping -> False, 
  Show[MSplot, massLabel, PlotRangeClipping -> False]
  ]
]`