Carnegie Mellon University Research Showcase @ CMU

Department of Mathematical Sciences

Mellon College of Science

1968

A minimum-maximum principle for a class of non-linear integral equations

C. V.(Charles Vernon) Coffman Carnegie Mellon University

Follow this and additional works at: http://repository.cmu.edu/math

Recommended Citation

.

This Technical Report is brought to you for free and open access by the Mellon College of Science at Research Showcase @ CMU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Department of Mathematical Sciences by an authorized administrator of Research Showcase @ CMU. For more information, please contact research-showcase@andrew.cmu.edu.

A MINIMUM-MAXIMUM PRINCIPLE FOR A CLASS OF NON-LINEAR INTEGRAL EQUATIONS

Charles V. Coffman*

Report 68-26

August, 1968

This research was supported by NSF GP-766 University Libraries
Carnegie Mellon University
Pittsburgh PA 15213-3890

- 1. <u>Introduction</u>. In this paper we treat the non-linear integral equation considered previously in [2], namely,
- $(1.1) \quad y(x) = f K(x,t)y(t)(P(t) + F(y^{2}(t),t)dt.$

The hypotheses are as follows. 0 is assumed to be a bounded region in some Euclidean space. The kernel K is assumed to be real valued, symmetric and measurable on $Q \times 0$. Moreover it is assumed that for a certain pair p,q of conjugate indices,

$$(1.2) 1 < q £ 2 ^p, p"1 + q"1 = 1,$$

the operator A defined by

(1.3) [Au](x) = f K(x,t)u(t)dt,

is completely continuous from $\mathrm{IJ}^{\mathbf{q}}(0)$ to $\mathit{IP}\{\pounds X)$, and positive definite on $\mathrm{IJ}^{\mathbf{q}}(0)$ in the sense that,

(1.4) $J_K(x,t)u(x)u(t)dxdt > 0$, $u \in L^q(0) \setminus \{0\}$.

We suppose that P(x) is non-negative and that

(1.5)
$$P \in L^{r}(ft), \qquad r = p/(p-2).$$

The function F is defined on \overline{R}_+ X ft and is assumed to satisfy i) the Caratheodory hypothesis: F(*,x) is continuous on \overline{R}_+ for almost all $x \in ft$ and $F(rj, \bullet)$ is measurable for all $r) \in \overline{R}_+$, ii) there exists a positive constant 3 > 0 such that for almost all (fixed) $x \in Cl$,

$$(1.6) \quad 0 < \eta_1^{\epsilon} F(\eta_1, \mathbf{x}) \le \eta_2^{\epsilon} F(\eta_2, \mathbf{x}), \qquad 0 < \eta_1 < \eta_2,$$

iii) there are positive constants c,γ and there is a non-negative function σ ,

(1.7)
$$\sigma \in L^{r}(\Omega), \qquad r = p/(p-2),$$

such that for almost all (fixed) $x \in \Omega$,

(1.8)
$$F(\eta, x) \leq c\eta^{\gamma} + \sigma(x)$$
, $0 \leq \eta$.

where

(1.9)
$$0 < 2y \le p-2$$
.

A sufficient condition for the complete continuity of A, defined by (1.3), is,

(1.10)
$$\iint_{\Omega} |K(x,t)|^p dt < \infty ,$$

or

(1.11)
$$\operatorname{ess sup}_{X \in \Omega} \int_{\Omega} |K(x,t)|^{a} dt < \infty, \quad \text{for some } a > p/2 ;$$

see[4]. When A: $L^q(\Omega) \to L^p(\Omega)$ is completely continuous a necessary and sufficient condition for (1.4) is that $A|L^2(\Omega)$ be positive definite and that the range of A be dense in $L^p(\Omega)$; see [2].

The results of this paper are obtained by employing techniques developed in the series of papers [6-9]. In a previous paper, [2], we adapted the methods of [9], which treats the case of (1.1) in which K is a continuous kernel, to treat the case where K is unbounded. The existence theorems of [9] and [2] assert the

existence of just a single solution of (1.1). However it is shown in [8] that for P and F continuous, P positive, and F satisfying (1.6), the boundary value problem

$$(1.12) yf! + P(x)y + yF(y2,x) = 0 , y(0) = y(1) = 0,$$

has infinitely many solutions. In this paper we prove the existence of an infinity of solutions of the integral equation (1.1). For this purpose we have found it necessary to make use of the methods of the Lusternik-Schnirelman theory, however we do not use the so-called Lusternik-Schnirelman category but rather the closely related concept of $^{\rm M}$ genus $^{\rm 11}$ which is used in [5].

One question which we have left unanswered concerns the relation between the characteristic numbers for (1.12) defined by the methods of this paper and those defined by Nehari in [8]. When we specialize our results to (1.12) then it can be shown that the n^{th} characteristic number X_n for (1.12), as defined in [8], is not less than the n^{th} characteristic number A_n , defined by the methods of this paper. It is trivial that $\widetilde{A}_1 = A_1$ in all cases, and for n > 1 we will have $A^{\hat{x}}_n = A_n$, if, to within a factor of -1, (1.12) has at most one solution with precisely n-1 zeros in (0,1); conditions for this to be the case are given in [1]. Whether $X_n = A_n$ in general remains an open question. Some support for conjecturing that the answer is affirmative lies in the fact that both methods of definition lead to certain stability properties of the characteristic numbers. These we hope to study in subsequent work.

We remark finally that our results can be applied to a class of non-linear elliptic boundary value problems. See [2] and [3].

2. Statement of results. In this section we introduce the basic definitions and formulate the main results. It is assumed throughout that the hypotheses stated in the introduction hold. For simplicity of notation we shall omit the range of integration from formulas involving integrals unless that range is other than tt, also L^P , L^q will always mean $L^P(0)$, $L^q(0)$.

If X is a Banach space we shall denote by f(X) the class of subsets of $x\setminus\{0\}$ which are symmetric through the origin. For B e f(X), we define the <u>genus</u> of B, f(B), to be the supremum of the set of non-negative integers n such that every odd continuous map of f(B) into f(B) into f(B) has a zero on B; here we understand f(B)

A function y e iP will be said to be admissible if

(2.1)
$$y(x) = JK(x,t)u(t)dt, \quad \text{where } u \in L^q \setminus \{0\},$$

and

(2.2)
$$J y^{2}(x) (P(x)+F(y^{2}(x),x))dx \wedge J y(x)u(x)dx.$$

An <u>admissible set</u> will be a subset of L^P consisting of admissible elements. (By (2.2) and Lemmas 1 and A.I below a bounded admissible set has compact closure). The class of all compact symmetric admissible sets will be denoted by (Q. Finally, for each non-negative integer m, we put,

$$B_m = \{ B \in B \mid p(B) \land m \}.$$

Let the functional H(y) be defined in IP by

$$H(y) = J[y^2(x)F(y^2(x),x)-G(y^2(x),x]dx,$$

where G(rj,x) has the same domain of definition as F(?7,x) and is given by,

$$G(rj,x) = J F(s,x)ds.$$

The <u>characteristic values</u> of the problem (1.1) are the numbers

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A & = & \inf & \max & H(y). \\
B & B & & yeB & \\
& & & & \\
\end{array}$$

Theorem 1. For each positive integer m the class H is non-empty. The characteristic values $\{A_{\mathbf{m}}\}$ form a non-decreasing sequence of non-negative real numbers and

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} A_m = \infty$$
.

Let A, <^ A₂ <^ • • • be the eigenvalues of the linear integral equation

(2.3)
$$y(x) = A[K(k,t)P(t)y(t)dt,$$

then for a given integer m > 1, A > 0 <u>if</u> and only if A > 1.

$$^{A}m = \\ n+< t-1 < \\ +1 '$$

then we shall say that $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{m}}$ has multiplicity I. The set of \mathbf{X}^p -solutions y of (1.1) which satisfy

$$H(y) = \lambda_{m}$$

will be denoted by E_{m} .

Theorem 2. For each m = 1,2,..., E_m is a symmetric compact subset of L^p . If $\Lambda_m > 1$ then E_m is not empty and $\rho(E_m) \geq \text{multiplicity of } \lambda_m.$

3. With the exception of the theory of the genus, the basic machinery for the proof of Theorems 1 and 2 will be developed in the following sequence of lemmas.

Lemma 1. The functionals $\int y^2(x)P(x)dx$, $\int y^2(x)F(y^2(x),x)dx$, $\int G(y^2(x),x)dx$, and H(y) are continuous on L^p and bounded on bounded subsets of L^p .

Proof. See Lemma 1 and its proof in [2].

If $y \in L^p$, and y admits representation in the form (2.1), i.e. if y is in the range of A, then, because of (1.4), u in (2.1) is uniquely determined by y. Accordingly, when $y \in AL^q$ we shall write $\int y(x)u(x)dx$ always with the understanding that y and u are related by (2.1). When $u \neq 0$ then, by (1.4), $\int y(x)u(x)dx > 0$. For y given by (2.1), $y \neq 0$, we define real valued functions x,x_1 as follows

(3.1)
$$\varkappa(y) = \int y^2(x) P(x) dx / \int y(x) u(x) dx,$$

(3.2)
$$x_1(y) = \int y^2(x) (P(x) + F(y^2(x), x) dx / \int y(x) u(x) dx;$$

 \varkappa A and \varkappa_1 A will be denoted respectively by $\overline{\varkappa}$ and $\overline{\varkappa}_1$. We observe that $\overline{\varkappa}$ and $\overline{\varkappa}_1$ are continuous on L^Q(O).

Lemma 2. For $y \in AL^q$, $y \neq 0$, if

(3.3)
$$x(y) < 1$$

then there exists a unique positive $\alpha = \alpha(y)$ such that

(3.4)
$$x_1(\alpha y) = 1.$$

The function $\overline{a} = a A$ Js. continuous on the open subset of $L^{q}(0)$ determined by $\overline{x}(u) < 1$.

<u>Proof.</u> Since y / 0 in L^, it follows from (1.6) that $\int_{Y}^{Y} 2(x)F(a^2y^2(x),x)dx$ is strictly increasing as a function of a and tends to °° as a -> °° and to 0 as a -» 0. It follows that x_1 (ay) is a strictly increasing function of a and that x_n (ay) -• °° as a -+ °° and x_n (ay) -• x(y) as a -• 0. Thus, It provided (3.3) holds, there exists a unique a corresponding to y such that (3.4) holds. The fact that the subset of L^q (0) determined by x(u) < 1 is open and the continuity of a A on that set follow from the continuity of x and xi^{-1} •

Lemma 3. Let A > 1, there exists a constant v = v(A) > 0 such that

(3.5)
$$J y^2(x)F(y^2(x),x)dx > v$$

for all admissible y with x(y) < A.

Proof. If y is admissible then y can be represented in the form (2.1), thus

or

- (3.6) $(Jy^2(x)F(y^2(x),x)dx)^2 f (Jy(x)u(x)dx) (JJK(x,t)y(x)F(/^2 x) f (f) f$
- (3.7) $Jy^{2}(x)F(y^{2}(x),x)dx ^ Jy(x)u(x)dx Jy^{2}(x)P(x)dx$,

 \geq (1 - A"¹)|y(x)u(x)dx.

Combined with (3.6) this gives

 $\label{eq:continuity} Jy^2(x)F(y^2(x),x)dx\pounds\ (1-A"^1)~^1JJK(X,t)Y(x)F(y^2(x),x)Y(t)F(y^2(t),t)dxdt,$ from whence, by the continuity of A : L^g - L^p,

(3.8) $Jy^2(x)F(y^2(x),x)dx f M(1-A^1) "Hjly(x)F(y^2(x),x)|^q dx$.

By Holder's inequality

 $(3.9) \ J \ |y(x)F(y^Z(x),x)|^q dx^{(J)} F(y^Z(x),x) \ | clx) \qquad (Jy \ (x) P(y^{(X)},x) dx)^{q/2}$ where, as before, r = p/(p-2). Together (3.8) and (3.9) give, for admissible y with $x(y) < A^{-1}$,

(3.10)
$$1 \notin M(1\sim A\sim^1) * "^1 J | F(y^2(x), x) |^r dx,$$

Suppose now that

(3.11) $\inf\{|y^2(x)F(y^2(x),x)dx|y \text{ admissible}, x(y) < A^{-1}\} = 0,$

Then by (3.7)

inf $M_y(x)u(x)dx|y$ admissible, $x(y) < AT^1$ = 0

It then follows from A.2 of Lemma A.I (Appendix) that 0 is a

cluster point of $\{y | y \text{ admissible, } x(y) < A"" \}$. Since J | F(y(x),x)| dx is continuous on L^P , this contradicts (3.10) so (3.11) is false and the lemma is proved.

Let the non-linear transformation $y_{---}> JK(\bullet,t)y(t)(P(t)+F(y_-(t),t)dt$ of L^ into LP be denoted by T. We define

$$\phi_{\Omega}(y) = a(T(y)) T(y)$$
, for $y \in L^{P} \setminus \{0\}$, $x(T(y)) < 1$.

Lemma 4. For y admissible, x(T(y)) < 1,

(3.12)
$$H(\phi_{O}(y)) \leq H(y)$$
,

and equality holds in (3.12) only if y satisfies (1.1). For each $\Lambda > 1$ there exists $C_O = C_O(\Lambda) > 0$ such that, for admissible y, when $\kappa(y) \leq \Lambda^{-1}$, then

(3.13)
$$\|\phi_{o}(y)\|_{p} \leq C_{o}(\Lambda) (1+H(y))^{(2+q)/2q}$$
.

<u>Proof.</u> Let $y \in \mathbb{N}$ be given by (2.1), let $\alpha = \alpha(T(y))$, and let $v = \phi_0(y)$. Since $\kappa_1(v) = 1$ we have,

(3.14)
$$\int v^2(x) F_1(v^2(x), x) dx = \alpha \int v(x) y(x) F_1(y^2(x), x) dx,$$

where $F_1(\eta, x) = P(x) + F(\eta, x)$, and thus by Schwarz's inequality

(3.15)
$$(\int v^2(\mathbf{x}) F_1(v^2(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x})^2 \le \alpha^2(\int v^2(\mathbf{x}) F_1(\mathbf{y}^2(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}) (\int \mathbf{y}^2(\mathbf{x}) F_1(\mathbf{y}^2(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}).$$
By (2.1)

$$\int y^{2}(x)F_{1}(y^{2}(x),x)dx = \iint K(x,t)u(t)y(t)F_{1}(y^{2}(x),x)dtdx,$$

from which, by Schwarz's inequality,

$$(\int y^{2}(x)F_{1}(y^{2}(x),x)dx)^{2} \le (\iint K(x,t)y(x)F_{1}(y^{2}(x),x)y(t)F_{1}(y^{2}(t),t)dxdt)(\iint K(x,t)u(x)u(t)dxdt)$$
(3.16)

Since y is admissible

$$\iint K(x,t) u(x) u(t) dxdt = \int y(x) u(x) dx \le \int y^2(x) F_1(y^2(x),x) dx,$$

and from the definition of v,

From the above we obtain,

$$aJy^{2}(x)F_{1}(y^{2}(x),x)dx^{J}v(x)y(x)F_{1}(y^{2}(x),x)dx.$$

This last inequality, together with (3.14), gives,

(3.17)
$$a^2 J y^2(x) F_1(y^2(x), x) dx \pounds J v^2(x) F^v^2(x), x) dx$$

which, combined with (3.15), yields,

(3.18)
$$Jv^{2}(x)F_{1}(y^{2}(x),x)dx ^{J}v^{2}(x)F_{\pm}\{v^{2}(x),x\}dx$$
.

However, for any y, $v \in L^{p}$, as is shown in [9],

(3.19)
$$H(v) \notin H(y) + Jv^{2}(x) [F_{\pm}(v^{2}(x), x) - F^{y^{2}}(x), x) dx.$$

Thus, as $v = \langle f \rangle_o(y)$, (3.12) follows from (3.19) and (3.20). In order for equality to hold in (3.12), it must hold in each of the inequalities in the proof. In particular we must have equality in (2.2), (i.e. $y \in \mathbb{N}$), and in (3.16). The equality can hold 2 in (3.16) only if u(x) and $y(x)F._{\bullet}(y(x),x)$ are colinear, but then, since equality holds in (2.2), we must have

$$u(x) = y(x)F_x(y^2(x), x),$$

in which case y is a solution of (1.1).

We now prove (3.13). For $y \in L^p$,

(3.20)
$$H(y) ^e (1+e) Jy^2 (x) F(y^2 (x), x) dx$$
,

where C is the constant in (1.6); see [9].

From (3.17) and (3.5)

(3.21)
$$a^2 f i/"^1 J v^2(x) F_1(v^2(x), x) dx f c j v^2(x) F(v^2(x), x) dx$$

where v = v(x(y)) and $C = (1-0 (T(y)))^{-3} i/""^1$. Since $v = 0_0(y)$, by (3.12), (3.20) and (3.21) we have,

(3.22) a^2 ^ C€(l+e)H(v) ^ Ce (l+€)H(y).

In Lemma 2 of [2] is proved the existence of constants R . $_{\mbox{i}}$ and R_2 such that

$$\frac{1}{(J|JK(x,t)y(t)F(y^2(t),t)dt|^pdx)^p} \underbrace{t}_{t} \underbrace{L}_{t}$$

From this inequality, the definition of $(f >_{\mathbf{o}}, \text{ and } (3.20))$ and (3.21) readily follows (3.13).

The mapping $(f_{\mathbf{O}})$ defined above is adequate for our purpose only when $A_{,\mathbf{L}} > 1$, in which case the domain of $(f_{,\mathbf{O}})$ is $iP \{0\}$. Our next objective is to construct, in the general case, a mapping $(f_{,\mathbf{C}}) : L^p \setminus \{0\} - L^p \setminus \{0\}$ such that: for admissible $y \in L^p$ either

a) \$(y) e N <u>and</u>

$$(3.23)$$
 $H < / (y)) £ $H(y)$$

with equality only if y just a, solution of (1.1); or

where e Jjs a^ preassigned positive constant.

To this end we first prove the following.

Lemma 5. Let $S \subset A(L^q \setminus \{0\})$ and suppose that for $y \in S$, $0 < C \notin x(y) < x_x(y) \notin M < \bullet$

where C,M are constants. Then S is compact in L^P . The functional Ju(x)y(x)dx ^f bounded on S.

<u>Proof.</u> The general element $y \in S$ can be represented in the form (2.1). It follows from Lemma A.1 that \overline{S} is compact if $\int y(x)u(x)dx$ is uniformly bounded for $y \in S$. Suppose therefore that there is a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in S such that $\int y_n(x)u_n(x)dx \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, (where u_n has the obvious meaning). Let the constants ρ_n be determined by

(3.25)
$$\rho_n^2 \int y_n(x) u_n(x) dx = 1,$$

we shall assume that $\rho_n < 1$ for all n and that $\rho_n y_n \rightarrow y_0 \neq 0$ in L^p ; the second part of the assumption is justified by Lemma A.1. Under this assumption, by Lemma 1,

(3.26)
$$\rho_n^2 \int y_n^2(x) F(\rho_n^2 y_n^2(x), x) dx \rightarrow \int y_0^2(x) F(y_0^2(x), x) dx$$
, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

By (3.1), (3.2) and (3.25)

$$x_1(y_n) - \kappa(y_n) = \rho_n^2 \int y_n^2(x) F(y_n^2(x), x) dx,$$

and by (1.6), since $\rho_n < 1$,

$$\rho_n^2 \!\! \int_{y_n^2(x)} \!\! F(y_n^2(x), x) \, \text{d} x \geq \rho_n^{-2\varepsilon} [\! \, \varrho_n^2 \! \! \, \big] \!\! y_n^2(x) F(\varrho_n^2 \! \! \, y_n^2(x), x) \, \text{d} x] \, .$$

Since $\rho_n \to 0$, as $n \to \infty$ and $y_0 \ne 0$ these last two relations, together with (3.26), imply that $\kappa_1(y_n) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, $\kappa(y_n)$ being bounded by Λ_1^{-1} (see Lemma A.3). This yields a contradiction, and consequently $\int y(x)u(x)dx$ must be bounded on S. In view of the observation made at the beginning of the proof, the assertion of the lemma follows.

For A > 1 put

$$H_{\dot{A}} = \sup\{H(y) | y \in N, x(y) ^A ^{-1} \},$$

and

$$\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{A}} = \inf\{H(y) | y \in N, x(y) \notin A7^1\},$$

(the finiteness of $H_{\mbox{\scriptsize Λ}}$ follows from Lemma 5).

Lemma 6. For A > 1,

Moreover,

$$\lim_{\Lambda \to 1-} H_{\dot{\Lambda}} = 0.$$

Proof. The positivity of $h_{\mathbf{h}}$ follows from (3.20) and Lemma 3; the inequality $h_h < H_h$ is obvious. The second assertion follows from Lemma 5 since for y e N,

$$H(y) \in f \cdot Jy^{2}(x)F(y^{2}(x),x)dx = (1 - x(y))Jy(x)u(x)dx,$$

and thus

 ^{H}A ^ const. $(1 - A^{"})$ for 1 < A. £ const.

By (1.6) and the definition of H, for 1 > p > 0

$$H(py) ^p y^2 (x) F(\beta^2 y^2(x), x) dx$$

$$f p^2 \int_{y}^{x} f(x) F(y^2(x), x) dx$$
.

r 2 2

Let e > 0 be preassigned, since by Lemma 1, Jy (x)F(y(x),x)dxis bounded on the unit sphere in L^ there follows the existence

$$H(p||y|_{\mathbf{D}}^{1}v^{1}y) \wedge e, \quad ye L^{p}\setminus\{o\}$$

We now define, for admissible y,

$$\overline{\alpha}(y) = \max \{\alpha(y), \beta \|y\|_p^{-1}\},$$

where for convenience we take $\alpha(y) = 0$ when $\kappa(y) \geq 1$. Since this extension of α preserves the continuity of $\alpha \cdot A$ on $L^q \setminus \{0\}$, as is easily verified, we have also that $\overline{\alpha} \cdot A$ is continuous on $L^q \setminus \{0\}$. We now define $\phi(y)$, for $y \in L^p \setminus \{0\}$, by,

$$\phi(y) = \overline{\alpha}(Ty)Ty$$
.

Clearly the image by ϕ of $L^p\setminus\{0\}$ is the set $\{y\in L^p\setminus\{0\} | \overline{\alpha}(y) = 1\}$ which we shall denote by N'.

Lemma 7. If $y \in N'$ then

(3.27)
$$||y||_{p} \geq \beta$$
,

thus $0 \notin \overline{N'}$. There exists a $\Lambda' > 1$ such that equality holds in (3.27) for $\kappa(y) \ge {\Lambda'}^{-1}$.

<u>Proof.</u> By definition $\overline{\alpha}(y) \geq \beta \|y\|_p^{-1}$, thus $\overline{\alpha}(y) = 1$ implies (3.27). To prove the second assertion we need only show that $\sup\{\|y\|_p \mid y \in \mathbb{N}, \; \varkappa(y) \geq \Lambda^{-1}\} \to 0$ as $\Lambda \to 1$. This follows from Lemmas 5 and 6 and the fact that, in view of (3.20) and (1.6), H(y) = 0 for $y \in L^p$ if and only if y = 0.

Lemma 8. The mapping ϕ is an odd continuous transformation of L^p\{0} into N'. For any admissible y either

(3.28)
$$e < H(\phi(y)) \le H(y)$$

with equality only if y is a solution of (1.1), or

(3.29)
$$H(\phi^{k}(y)) \leq e \qquad k = 1, 2, ...$$

Finally there exists ja constant $C_0 > 0$ such that, for admissible Y,

(3.30)
$$||fy||_{p}^{C_{o}(1 + H(y))^{(2+q)/2}}$$
.

follows from the continuity of T and $\overline{a} \cdot T$, which in turn follow from the continuity of A : IJ \overline{q}_{-} » L^P , $\overline{a} \cdot A$: $L^{\wedge} \setminus \{0\}$ $- \cdot R$ 2 and the continuity of the transformation y(x) $- \cdot y(x)F(y(x),x)$ from L^P to L^{\wedge} . The continuity of this last mapping follows from (1.8), (1.9) and Theorem 19.1, [10]. The second assertion of the lemma follows immediately from the first assertion of Lemma 4 and the construction of $(f \cdot)$.

It is clear that (f) is odd. The continuity of f

To prove (3.30) we note that, by Lemma 7, either $||0(y)||^{\mathbf{p}} = p$, or x(T(y)) < A <1 and $0(y) = \langle p^{\bullet}(y) \rangle$. Choose (by Lemma 6) $A^{M} > 1$ so that $H^{\bullet}_{M} < h^{\bullet}_{M}$, then when the second alternative holds, we have by Lemma 4,

$$H(y) \ \ \ \gtrsim \ \ H(\ \ \ \ \ \ \)) \ \ \ \gtrsim \ \ h_{At} \ \ > \ H_{AM} \ \ ,$$

and thus $x(y) < A^{11}$. By Lemma 4, (3.30) holds in this case with $C_Q = C_o(A^{TI})$. If we take $C_Q = \max(p, C_Q(A^{"}))$ then (3.30) will hold for all admissible y.

Lemma 9. Let y e iP. $J[\underline{f}]$

$$e = \lim_{x \to \infty} \inf H(f) \stackrel{\mathbf{n}}{\sim} (y) > e,$$

then the cluster points of the sequence $\{<j\}^n(y)$ form a. nonempty connected set of solutions of (1.1). <u>Proof.</u> By (3.28) of Lemma 8, the limit inferior in the assertion above is actually a limit. Using Lemma 8 again we see that the sequence $\{\phi^n(y)\}$ is bounded. Since $\phi^n(y) \in \mathbb{N}$, $n=1,2,\ldots$, the sequence has cluster points, in fact by Lemma 1, (2.2) and Lemma A.1, any bounded admissible set has compact closure. By the continuity of ϕ and H if y_o is one of these cluster points then $H(y_o) = e_o$. If we suppose that $y_o = \lim_{k \to \infty} \phi^{nk}(y)$ then $\phi(y_o) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \phi^{nk+1}(y)$, from which we conclude that $H(\phi(y_o)) = e_o = H(y_o)$ and therefore y_o is a solution of (1.1).

The connectedness of the set of cluster points follows by a standard argument from the fact that $\|\phi^n(y)-\phi^{n-1}(y)\|_p\to 0$ as $n\to\infty$.

- 4. Proof pif Theorems 1 and 2. We begin by listing the relevant properties of the genus. Let f(X) = (Bef(X)|B compact).
- 1) If $GpG_2 = f(X)^*$ and if there exists a continuous odd map $f : G^* G_2$ then piG^* $f : p\{G_2\}$, in particular, if $G_x \subseteq G_2$ then $p(G_\pm) = f \circ (G_2)$.
 - 2) For $G_rG_2 \in C(X)$, $p(G_1UG_2) \leq p(G_1) + p(G_2)$.
 - 3) If $G \in C(X)$ then $p(G) < \bullet$,
- and G has a neighborhood U such that $U \in f(X)$ and $p(U) = \rho(G)$.
- 4) If G G C(2C) and G is a homeomorphic image of an n-sphere then p(G) = n+1.

We note first that in view of Tietze¹s extension theorem, of p(B) £ n, for B e $C(^x)$ * if there exists a r;ero free odd continuous map of B into R; assertion 1) is then obvious. Assertion 2 and the first part of 3) follow from Lemma 10 below, the proof of which will be omitted. The second part of 3) follows from standard extension theorems and 4) follows from the Borsuk-Ulam theorem. For a more complete discussion of the genus see [5].

Lemma 10. Let $G = f(x)^*$ then p(G) n if and only if there exist n sets $G, \ldots, G = C(n)$ with p(G) = 1, i = 1, ..., n and $G \subset U^1$? G.

Let M be an m-dimensional subspace of \mathcal{F}_{Q} and let $\mathbf{r_{in}} = \{\mathbf{u} \ \mathbf{e} \ \mathbf{M} | \ ||\mathbf{u}||_{\mathbf{q}} = 1\}$.

By the results of section 3 the transformation u(x) -* y(x) = $\overline{a}\int_{\mathbb{R}} K(x,t)u(t)dt$, where $\overline{a}>0$ is chosen so that $y\in \mathbb{N}^1$, is a continuous mapping of $\mathrm{Ef}_{\mathbf{m}}$ onto a compact symmetric subset of \mathbb{N}^f . Since this mapping is one to one, it is a homeomorphism ($\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{m}}$ being compact), and therefore p(B)=m+1. It follows that for an arbitrary positive integer the class $\mathrm{IB}_{\mathbf{m}}$, defined in section 2, is non-empty, as asserted in Theorem 1.

The non-negative and non-decreasing character of the $\{A_{\mathbf{m}}\}$ is evident, we pass now to the last assertion of Theorem 1. Suppose that $A_{\mathbf{n}}$ f 1 < $A_{\mathbf{n}}$ f 1 < $A_{\mathbf{n}}$ f 1 (0 < $^{\wedge}$ m_o) let in be the subspace of $^{\wedge}P$ spanned by the first m^o eigenfunctions of (2.3). Any non-zero element of the is admissible, hence any symmetric subset of $^{\wedge}P$ is admissible. It readily follows, since $^{\wedge}P$ is admissible. It readily follows, since $^{\wedge}P$ • Let P denote the projection onto in which annihilates all the other eigenfunctions of (2.3). If B is set of genus $^{\wedge}P$ * 1 then 0 e PB, for otherwise we would have PB G f($^{\wedge}P$) with $^{\wedge}P$ (PB) $^{\wedge}P$ (B) $^{\wedge}P$ m_Q + 1, and this is impossible since PB $^{\wedge}P$ ft\. If $^{\wedge}P$ Let $^{\wedge}P$ o and $^{\wedge}P$ = 0 then $^{\wedge}P$ is (see Lemma A. 3); if in addition $^{\wedge}P$ is admissible we have by Lemma 3 and (3.20),

$$H(y) ^e (1+\epsilon) \nu (\Lambda_{m_0+1}$$

It follows that for an admissible set B with $p(B) \stackrel{\sim}{=} m_o + 1$,

(4. 1)
$$\max_{y \in B} H(y) \ge (1+6)1/(A_{m_0+1}),$$

and thus,

$$\lambda_{m_0+1} \geq \epsilon (1+\epsilon) \nu (\Lambda_{m_0+1}) > 0.$$

This completes the proof of the last assertion of Theorem 1.

We come back to the second assertion of Theorem 1, namely that,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda_m = \infty.$$

We assume once and for all that the number $\,$ e which figures in the construction of ϕ in section 3 satisfies,

$$(4.4) \qquad \qquad \in (1+\epsilon) \nu(\Lambda_{m_0+1}) > e > 0,$$

where $\Lambda_{m_0} \leq 1 < \Lambda_{m_0+1}$. Consider the mapping ψ defined, for y admissible, by $\psi(y) = \overline{\alpha}(y)y$. It is easily seen that for y admissible $\overline{\alpha}(y) \leq 1$ whenever H(y) > e. For an admissible set $\Phi(B) \geq m_0+1$ we have from (4.1) and (4.4) that

$$\max_{y \in B} H(y) > e$$

and thus

$$\max_{y \in \psi(B)} H(y) \le \max_{y \in B} H(y).$$

Consequently, for $m \ge m_0 + 1$,

(4.5)
$$\lambda_{m} = \inf_{B \in \mathbf{B}_{m}^{1}} \max_{y \in B} H(y)$$

where

$$\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\,\prime} = \{\mathbf{B} \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{m}} | \mathbf{B} \subseteq \mathbf{N}^{\,\prime} \}.$$

Now for c > 0 let

$$N'(c) = \{y \in N' | H(y) < c\}.$$

By Lemma 8, 0 maps NM (c) into a bounded subset of itself, thus $<^{\wedge}(N^f(c))$ has compact closure. It follows from property 1 of the genus, since < f > is odd, that $N^f(c)$ can contain no symmetric set of genus greater than $p({}^{\wedge}fNHc))$. As we have shown N^f contains symmetric sets of arbitrarily large genus, thus (4.3) follows from (4.5). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Let m be a positive integer and assume that m J > m + 1 and $A_m > A_{m-1}$; let c be a real number, with c > A_m and such that none of the characteristic values of (1.1) lie in the interval (A_m, c) . Let

$$S_m = \{ y \in "?TF_{\ell}7 | H(\langle f(y)) J \ge A_m, n = 1,2,... \} -$$

Since <f> is continuous and odd and $0(N_{\columnwedge})$ is compact it follows that S is symmetric and compact. Let E be as defined in m section 2. It is clear that E is symmetric and since it is obviously a closed subset of S $^{\columnwedge}$ it is compact. For 6 > 0, put

$$U(E_m,6) = [y e N | 0 \text{ £dist } (y,E_m) < 6]$$

where

dist
$$(y, E_m) = \inf(||u||||y + u \in , E_m)$$
,

so that $U(E_m,6)$ is empty if Em is empty. Choose 6>0 so that U(Em,6) \notin £(:L^P) and

$$p(U(E_m, 6)) = o(E_m).$$

For $y \in S_m$, $H(y) > \lambda_m$ unless $y \in E_m$, therefore, since $S_m \setminus U(E_m, \frac{\delta}{2})$ is compact there exists a constant $c_1 > \lambda_m$ such that

$$H(y) \geq C_1,$$
 for $y \in S_m \setminus U(E_m, \frac{\delta}{2}).$

From (4.5) and the definition of the multiplicity of λ_m it follows that there exists a set $B \in \mathbf{R}_{m+\ell-1}^{\prime}$, where ℓ = multiplicity of λ_m , with

$$\lambda_{m} \leq \max_{y \in B} H(y) < c_{1}.$$

From the definition of c_1 ,

$$B \cap S_m \subseteq U(E_m, \frac{\delta}{2}),$$

thus, for every $y \in B \setminus U(E_m, \delta)$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} H(\phi^n(y)) < \lambda_m.$$

By a standard argument it follows from the compactness of $B\setminus U(E_m,\delta)$ and the monotone decreasing character of $\phi^n(y)$ that there exists an integer n_0 such that

$$H(\phi^{n_{O}}(y)) < \lambda_{m},$$

for all $y \in B \setminus U(E_m, \delta)$. Consequently

$$\rho(B\backslash U(E_m, \delta)) \leq \rho(\phi^{n_O}(B\backslash U(E_m, \delta)) < m.$$

It follows from the subadditivity of the genus (Property 2)) that

$$\rho(E_{m}) = \rho(U(E_{m}, \delta)) \ge \rho(B) - \rho(B \setminus U(E_{m}, \delta)) \ge \ell.$$

Thus $o(E_m) \geq \ell$, in particular E_m is not empty. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

$$A = \inf_{m} \max_{\mathbf{B} \in \mathbf{R}^{\wedge}} \mu(y)$$
,

where

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{H}} = \{\mathbf{B} \in \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{m}} | \mathbf{B} \subseteq \mathbf{Y}\}.$$

Remark 2. When P,F and K are continuous on 0_9 R X 0_9 and $0 \times 0_9$ respectively then one can use the above techniques in the space of continuous functions on 0_9 to obtain the same results as above but without assuming (1.8). The derivations of certain of the required bounds may be slightly different in this case, but most of these are obtained in [9], or can be derived as here by formally putting q = 1, $p = \infty$, r = 1.

5. Application to (1.12). We suppose that P and F are continuous on [0,1] and on $\overline{R}_+ \times [0,1]$ respectively and that F satisfies (1.6). We shall use a somewhat restricted notion of admissibility and say that y is admissible only if it belongs to

$$C_0^2[0,1] = \{y \in C^2[0,1] \mid y(0) = y(1) = 0\}, \text{ and}$$

$$\int y^2(x) (P(x) + F(y^2(x),x)) dx \ge \int (y'(x))^2 dx,$$

the characteristic values for (1.12) are defined as in the general case except that we take the infimum only over compact symmetric admissible sets in $C_0^2[0,1]$. This, as well as the omission of (1.8) is justified by the remarks at the end of section 5.

Theorem 3. <u>Each positive characteristic number of</u> (1.12) is <u>simple</u>, (i.e. has multiplicity 1).

<u>Proof.</u> Suppose $\lambda_m > 0$, and $\lambda_m > \lambda_{m-1}$ if m > 1. Then E_m is a compact symmetric non-empty subset of $C_0^2[0,1]\setminus\{0\}$ and $y \to y'(0)$ defines a continuous odd map of E_m into $R\setminus\{0\}$. By Tietze's theorem this extends to an odd continuous map of $C_0^2[0,1]$ into R, thus $\rho(E_m) = 1$, so it follows from the last assertion of Theorem 2 that the multiplicity of λ_m is one.

Theorem 4. Let y be a non-trivial solution of (1.12) with precisely m-1 zeros in (0,1). Then

$$0 < \lambda_m \le H(y)$$
.

If we let λ_{m} denote the mth characteristic number of (1.12) as defined in [8] then Theorem 4 implies the following.

Corollary. For each m,

there is equality if and only if $E_{\mathbf{m}}$ contains <a solution y of (1.12) with exactly m-1 zeros in (0,1). rf $A_{\mathbf{m}}$ is the first non-vanishing characteristic number of (1.12) then A = A.

Proof of Theorem 4. If (1.12) has a non-trivial solution with precisely m-1**th**zeros in (0,1) then by the Sturm comparison $\begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ \hline & & \\ \end{array}$ theorem the m- eigenvalue A. of (5.1) $& & & \\ u'' + Ap(x)u = 0, & & \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0, \end{array}$

is strictly larger than 1 and thus by Theorem 1, $A_{m} > 0$.

If $y_{\boldsymbol{m}}$ is a solution of (1.12) with just m-1 zeros in (0,1) then the linear problem

$$v'' + M^{V}VY^{2}(x), x) - 0, \quad v(0) = v(1) = 0,$$

has eigenvalues \i^ < \x_2 < • . . . < M_m = ! • Let M be the subspace of $C_{\bf o}^2[0,1]$ spanned by the corresponding eigenfunctions. Then for v e M, v y& 0

(502)
$$J^{2}v^{2}(x)F_{1}(y^{2}(x),x)dx / J^{2}(v'(x))^{2}dx ^{1}.$$

We now consider the set M fl N', which is compact (in $C_{\mathbf{o}}^{2}[0,1]$), symmetric, admissible and of genus m. Since $A_{\mathbf{m}} > 1^{*}$ the proof of Theorem 2 shows that by a suitable choice of the number e (in the construction of $\langle j \rangle$), we can be assured that the maximum value of H on M O N^f is attained on N. However for $v \in N$

$$J_{Q}^{1}v^{2}(x)F_{1}(v^{2}(x),x)dx / J_{Q}^{1}(v^{f}(x))^{2}dx = 1,$$

thus from (5.2), for $v \in M fl N$,

$$J_{\circ}^{1}v^{2}(x)F_{1}(v^{2}(x),x)dx < J_{v}^{2}(x)F_{1}(y^{2}(x),x)dx.$$

It then follows from (3.19), for $v \in M \ n \ N$, that

H(v) £ H(y).

We have therefore

 $\max \quad H(v) = \max \quad H(v) \quad £ \quad H(y) .$ $V \in M(1N^f) \quad VGMDN$

Since $p(M (1 N^!) = m$, this completes the proof of Theorem 4.

Theorem 7 • 1 of [8] implies that when the m— eigenvalue of (5.1) is strictly greater than 1 then (1.12) has a solution y with precisely m-1 zeros in (0,1), and, as we have already observed, this condition is necessary for the existence of such a solution. The m— characteristic number of (1.12) as defined in [8] can be characterized as the minimum value of H over all non-trivial solutions of (1.12) with exactly m-1 zeros in (0,1). Taking these facts into account, the proof of the corollary is immediate.

Appendix. This section contains several results for linear integral operators and integral equations which are used in the preceeding sections.

We begin by quoting a result from [5].

(*) Let the operator A, given by (1.3), be completely continuous from L^q to L^p , (1 < q \leq 2 \leq p, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$). Then, (A.1) A = HH*,

where H is a completely continuous operator from L^2 to L^p ; $H^* : L^q \to L^2$ is the adjoint of H.

See Theorem 4.4, [5].

As a corollary of the above assertion we have the following result, we assume throughout that A is as in (*).

Lemma A.1. Let y be given by (2.1), then $\|y\|_{p} \leq \text{const.} \int y(x)u(x)dx.$

Moreover, if B is subset of L^p lying in the range of A and if $\int y(x)u(x)dx$ is bounded on B then B is compact.

Proof. By (*) we have,

(A.3)
$$\int y(x)u(x)dx = \|H^*u\|_2^2,$$

thus (A.2) follows from (2.1), (A.1) and the continuity of H. If $B \subseteq L^p$ lies in the range of A then B = AB' = HB'', where $B' \subseteq L^q$ and $B'' = H*B' \subseteq L^2$. By (A.3), B'' is a bounded set in L^2 if $\int Y(x)u(x)dx$ is bounded on B. The compactness of \overline{B} , when the latter is the case, follows from the compactness of H.

We consider next the problem (2.3) where K and P are as in section 1, and P does not vanish almost everywhere.

Lemma A. 2. The problem (2.3) has a, sequence $0 < A-\frac{1}{1} < \frac{1}{2} A \sim_{\mathbb{Z}} < 1-\frac{1}{2}$ of eigenvalues of finite multipl'icity, moreover A = 0 or jis.

The problem (2.3) has a, sequence $0 < A-\frac{1}{1} < \frac{1}{2} A \sim_{\mathbb{Z}} < 1-\frac{1}{2}$ or $0 < A-\frac{1}{1} < \frac{1}{2} A \sim_{\mathbb{Z}} < 1-\frac{1}{2} A \sim_{\mathbb{Z}} < 1-\frac{1}{2}$

Proof. We shall use P to denote the operation of multiplication by P(x). By (1.5) it follows that the operator P is continuous from L^P to L^q . By (*) it then follows that the symmetric operator H*PH is completely continuous on L. The assertions of the lemma then follow from the theory of compact self-adjoint operators. In particular, if L^2 is represented as a direct sum, $L = X^{p} \otimes Y^{p} \otimes Y^{p$

We observe also that if $\,v\,=\,H^*u\,,\,\,u\,\in\,L^q\backslash\big\{0\,\big\}\,,\,\,$ and $\,y\,=\,Au\,,$ then

 $L^p = Y^{-2}$ where Yi = HXi > Y2 = 2 and Y1 and Y2

 $x(y) = Jp(x)y^{2}(x)dx / Ju(x)y(x)dx = (v, H*PHv)/||v||_{2}^{2}.$ As a consequence we have the following.

Lemma A. 3. For $y \in AL^qVo$,

are invariant subspaces for AP.

 $x(y) \notin A_1^{"1}$,

if P j^* as in Lemma A. 2 and Py = 0 then $\kappa(y) \leq \Lambda_{m_0+1}^{-1}.$

REFERENCES.

- 1. C. V. Coffman, On the positive solutions of boundary-value problems for a class of nonlinear differential equations, Journal of Differential Equations, 3, (1967), 92-111.
- 2. _______, An existence theorem for a class of non-linear integral equations with applications to a non-linear elliptic boundary value problem, Carnegie-Mellon University Report 67-37.
- 3. _______, On a class of non-linear elliptic boundary value problems, Carnegie-Mellon University Report 68-5.
- 4. R. E. Edwards, <u>Functional Analysis</u>, Holt Rinehart and Winston, Inc., New York, 1965.
- 5. M. A. Krasnosel'skii, <u>Topological Methods in the Theory</u> of Nonlinear Integral Equations, MacMillan, New York, 1964.
- 6. R. A. Moore and Z. Nehari, Nonoscillation theorems for a class of nonlinear differential equations, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 93 (1959), 30-52.
- 7. Z. Nehari, On a class of nonlinear second order differential equations, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 95 (1960), 101-123.
- 8. Z.Nehari, Characteristic values associated with a class of nonlinear second order differential equations, Acta Math. 105 (1961), 141-175.
- 9. Z. Nehari, On a class of nonlinear integral equations, Math. Zeit., 72 (1959), 175-183.
- 10. M. M. Vainberg, <u>Variational Methods for the Study of Nonlinear Operators</u>, Holden-Day, Inc., San Francisco, 1964.