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We deal with objects and morphisms in an abelian category, e.g., with modules and module-homomorphisms. Any morphism $a: A \rightarrow B$ has a standard factorization

$$a = \langle X \rangle \circ \pi$$

where $\langle X \rangle$ is injective (i.e., a monomorphism) and $\pi$ surjective (i.e., an epimorphism).

Definition: A morphism $a: A \rightarrow B$ is said to be quasi-invertible if it satisfies any one of the following equivalent conditions\(^1\):

(i) There is a morphism $a^1: B \rightarrow A$ such that

$$\text{co} \cdot a = a$$

(ii) There is a morphism $\tilde{a}: B \rightarrow A$ such that

$$o\tilde{a}a = a \quad \text{and} \quad a\tilde{a}a \sim a \quad (1)$$

\(^*\)The research leading to this paper was supported by the Office of Naval Research under Contract NONR 760(30).

\(^1\)Cf. [1], p. 264, Prop. 5.1, where, in a different context, the term "allowable" is used for what we call quasi-invertible.
(iii) \( a \) has a left inverse, and \( a \) has a right inverse.

(iv) \( \ker a \) has a left inverse, and \( \text{coker } a \) has a right inverse.

If \( a \) satisfies (1) we call it a **quasi-inverse** of \( a \).

For monomorphisms, quasi-inverses coincide with left inverses, i.e., monomorphisms are quasi-invertible if and only if they are left-invertible (or "coretractions"). For epimorphisms, quasi-inverses coincide with right inverses, i.e., epimorphisms are quasi-invertible if and only if they are right-invertible (or "retractions").

The purpose of this note is to state and prove the following result, which was needed in an investigation of annihilators of differential operators[2], but may have other applications.

**Theorem:** Consider the "staircase" diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
  0 \\
  \downarrow \mu \\
  \downarrow \lambda \\
  0 \\
  \downarrow \\
  \downarrow \\
  E_n \\
  \downarrow \\
  \downarrow \\
  \downarrow \\
  \downarrow \\
  E_3 \\
  \downarrow \\
  \downarrow \\
  \downarrow \\
  \downarrow \\
  1 \\
  \downarrow \\
  \downarrow \\
  \downarrow \\
  \downarrow \\
  1 \\
  \downarrow \\
  \downarrow \\
  \downarrow \\
  \downarrow \\
  0
\end{array}
\] (2)
seen that \( \tilde{6} \) is a right inverse of 6 and that \( \tilde{7} \) is a left inverse of 7, but this fact will not be needed.

Let \( \tilde{0} \) be a quasi-inverse of 67, so that

\[
67\tilde{0}67 - 67,
\]

(6)

and put

\[
f \circ m = a(l_E - 706)p.
\]

By (4) and (5) ye then obtain

\[
\beta \circ \alpha = \beta \alpha \tilde{(l_E - 706)p} = p(l_g - 06)a_E - 7*6)U_E - YY\]

(7)

\[
= \beta(1_{+6677 - 6670677})a.
\]

It follows from (6) that the last two terms cancel and hence that

\( (pa)^\circ (0a) = pa \). Therefore, \( pa \) is quasi-invertible. Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem: The upper end of the staircase diagram (2) can be used for the construction of a cross diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{\( O \)}
\end{array}
\]

where the single arrow horizontal morphism is the cokernel of the double arrow horizontal morphism. It is clear that the hypotheses of the lemma
are satisfied. The conclusion of the lemma and commutativity imply that the hypotheses of the lemma are satisfied for the cross diagram centered at $E_2$. Proceeding by induction, we see that the conclusion of the lemma holds for the cross diagram centered at $E_\infty$, i.e. that $A|i$ is quasi-invertible. Since $p.$ is surjective, we can use Prop. $A$ to conclude that $\backslash$ must be quasi-invertible. Q.E.D.
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in which dots denote unnamed objects. Assume that the diagram is commutative, that all rows and columns are exact, and that the morphisms indicated by double arrows are quasi-invertible. Then $X$ is also quasi-invertible.

The following facts will be needed:

**Proposition A:** If $ap$ is quasi-invertible and $p$ surjective, then $a$ is quasi-invertible.

**Proposition B:** If $ap$ is quasi-invertible and $a$ injective, then $p$ is quasi-invertible.

If $a$ and $p$ are quasi-invertible, we cannot conclude that $pa$ is also quasi-invertible. However, the following lemma allows us to draw this conclusion under an additional condition.

**Lemma:** Consider the "cross" diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{A} \\
\downarrow \gamma \\
\text{C}
\end{array}
\quad \begin{array}{c}
\text{E} \\
\downarrow p \\
\text{B}
\end{array}
\quad \begin{array}{c}
\text{D}
\end{array}
\]

(3)

Assume that row and column are exact, and that $Ot, p$ and $67$ are quasi-invertible. Then $pa$ is also quasi-invertible.
Proof: Consider the standard decompositions \( 7 \cdot 7,7 \) and \( 6 \cdot 6,6 \).

Since \( 67 - (6,6,7')7 \) is quasi-invertible, it follows by Prop. A. that
\( 6,6,7, \ast 6,(6,7,) \) is also quasi-invertible. By Prop. B we can conclude
that \( 6,7, \) is quasi-invertible. Noting that \( 7 - \text{Im } 7 \) and
\( \ker 6 = \ker 6' \), we see that there is no loss of generality if we assume
that \( 6 \) is surjective and \( 7 \) injective. In view of the exactness of the
row and the column of the diagram, we may actually assume that
\[
7 \cdot \ker p, \quad 6 - \text{coker } a.
\]

Now let \( \overline{a} \) be a quasi-inverse of \( a \), so that
\[
(1 - \overline{a} a) a = a - \overline{a} a a = 0.
\]

It follows that \( 1 - \overline{a} \) annihilates \( a \) and hence must factor through
\( \text{coker } a \Rightarrow 6 \). Thus, the exactness of the row of the diagram (3) is
expressed by
\[
6a = 0, \quad 1_{E} - \overline{a} = 6,6, \quad (4)
\]
where \( \overline{6} : D \to E \). Similarly, one can prove that the exactness of the
column of the diagram (3) is expressed by
\[
p7 = 0, \quad 1_{E} - \overline{p} = 7,7 \quad (5)
\]
where \( \overline{p} \) is a quasi-inverse of \( p \) and \( 7: E \to C \). Incidentally, it is easily