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Summary

The summed activity of multiple nodes of a distributed cortical network supports face recognition in humans, including "core" ventral occipitotemporal cortex (VOTC) regions [1–3], and "extended" regions outside VOTC [4, 5]. Many individuals with congenital prosopagnosia—an impairment in face processing [6–9]—exhibit normal blood oxygenation level-dependent (BOLD) activation in the core VOTC regions [10, 11]. These individuals evince a reduction in the structural integrity of the white matter tracts connecting VOTC to anterior temporal and frontal cortices [12], part of the "extended" face network. The impairment in congenital prosopagnosia may arise not from a dysfunction of the core VOTC areas but from a failure to propagate signals between the intact VOTC and the extended nodes of the network. Using the fMR adaptation paradigm with famous and unknown faces, we show that individuals with congenital prosopagnosia evince normal adaptation effects in VOTC, indicating sensitivity to facial identity, but show no differential activation for familiar versus unknown faces outside VOTC, particularly in the precuneus/posterior cingulate cortex and the anterior paracingulate cortex. Normal BOLD activation in VOTC is thus insufficient to subserve intact face recognition, and disrupted information propagation between VOTC and the extended face processing network may explain the functional impairment in congenital prosopagnosia.

Results

We adopted a rapid event-related fMR adaptation technique, which utilizes the change in the fMRI signal (blood oxygenation level-dependent [BOLD]) following repeated presentation of images, to "tag" response properties of neurons [13]. Subjects performed a same/different identity judgment on a pair of sequentially presented photographs of famous and unknown people (see Figure 1A and Supplemental Experimental Procedures available online), a task known to engage multiple regions of the face circuit. Each subject participated in two separate runs, each lasting 624 s and containing 28 trials of each condition. Stimuli were presented in a counterbalanced rapid event-related design with "fixation only" trials embedded among experimental trials. We compared the BOLD profile of the congenital prosopagnosia (n = 6) and control (n = 12) subjects to examine two key aspects of the neural signal (see Supplemental Experimental Procedures for more details). The first aspect concerns the specificity of the underlying neural representations of faces: comparing the signal reduction for repeated ("same picture") versus non-repeated faces ("different picture") in the two groups serves as a marker of sensitivity to facial identity. Typically, under such conditions, the fusiform face area (FFA) and other posterior regions exhibit a clear reduction in the magnitude of the BOLD signal (adaptation) (e.g., [14–19]). The second aspect concerns the neural representation of familiarity. In typical individuals, familiar faces elicit a selective response in regions outside the ventral occipitotemporal cortex (VOTC), presumably to activate associated semantic, biographical, and personal representation [20]. If the functional integrity of this distributed cortical network is compromised, the prediction is that the congenital prosopagnosia group would evince the expected BOLD reduction in core regions but no familiarity signal beyond VOTC.

To explore the underlying neural profile, via an independent face localizer scan, we identified in each individual in each hemisphere, regions of interest (ROIs), showing a selective response for faces compared with all other stimuli. Consistent with previous studies (e.g., [2, 4, 10]), these foci included the right and left FFA and occipital face area (OFA), composed of the lateral occipital sulcus (LOS) and the inferior occipital gyrus (IOG). These ROIs were identifiable in the majority of subjects, and the Talairach coordinates of the ROIs were similar across the groups (see Supplemental Experimental Procedures and Table S1 for details).

The peak activation (beta weight) from each ROI for each condition between the intact VOTC and the extended nodes of the network may explain the functional impairment in congenital prosopagnosia (see [7] for other data confirming the diagnosis) and indicate that the two groups were equally affected by the repetition manipulation and by the familiarity of the faces.
familiarity (famous, unknown) and repetition type (different/same picture) as within-subject factors. This analysis revealed a significant repetition effect that was modulated by cortical region (region × repetition (F(1,13) = 16.214; p < 0.002) but, critically, did not interact with group; although present in both FFA and OFA, the reduction in BOLD signal for different versus same picture was more marked for the FFA than the OFA (FFA: p < 0.0002; OFA: p < 0.02). This adaptation effect in the control individuals replicates many previous findings (e.g., [15–18]), some of which also show the greater reduction in FFA than in OFA [17] and some of which also show modulation of the repetition effect by familiarity [14]. Importantly, the presence of an adaptation signal in individuals with congenital prosopagnosia, of equivalent strength to that of the controls, is consistent with results indicating normal face-selective activation in VOTC in these individuals [10, 21]. Furthermore, the repetition index (different versus same picture), calculated in FFA and OFA for famous and unknown faces, for each individual with congenital prosopagnosia is within the range of controls, corroborating the results of the ANOVA and replicating the result at the individual subject level (see Figure S2).

Overall, the magnitude of the BOLD signal was greater in the control than in the congenital prosopagnosia group (F(1,13) = 10.617; p < 0.006) but this did not interact with any of the critical experimental conditions. In fact, the only interaction involving group (3 way: region × hemisphere × group (F(1,13) = 5.5; p < 0.04), revealed that the signal in controls was larger than that of the congenital prosopagnosia group in both the left and right FFA, to a greater extent on the left (right FFA, p < 0.03; left FFA, p < 0.003), and there were no group differences in the OFA (p = 0.15 and p = 0.4 in right and left OFA, respectively). Finally, the repetition suppression was more pronounced for famous compared with unknown faces (familiarity × repetition, F(1,13) = 4.36; p = 0.06) and the magnitude of activation was larger overall for familiar than unknown faces (F(1,13) = 4.85; p < 0.05). Details of the signal magnitude difference between the congenital prosopagnosia group and controls at the individual subject level can be found in Figure S3. The key result from all these analyses is that there are no interactions with group and, thus, we conclude that the impact of stimulus repetition and stimulus familiarity is equivalent across the groups in the VOTC regions. Importantly, these findings uncover the adaptation effect in congenital prosopagnosia under more sensitive and taxing conditions than those employed previously (i.e., in an event-related design here versus previously in a block design in which signal attenuation could result from reduced attention [10]) and provide strong confirmation of a normal neural profile in the core
regions of the face network in congenital prosopagnosia individuals.

Given the normal VOTC activation profile in parallel with the ongoing behavioral impairment, we explored the differential impact of familiarity on the BOLD signal of the two groups across the entire cortex, by contrasting all trials containing famous versus unknown faces via a multisubject general linear model analysis (see Supplemental Experimental Procedures). To equate statistical power for the two groups, we split the control group into two groups of six. Because it is not possible to conduct random effects analysis with such small groups, fixed effects analyses were applied with a stringent statistical threshold of p < 0.002 (Bonferroni corrected) and a minimum cluster size of 4 contiguous voxels. Via this conservative approach, we found two main foci of activation in both control groups: one in the precuneus/posterior cingulate cortex, mostly in the left hemisphere but also in the right hemisphere (Talairach coordinates: x = −2, y = −57, and z = 24; x = −2, y = −62, and z = 29 in control groups 1 and 2, respectively) and a second focus in the anterior paracingulate cortex (Talairach coordinates: x = −7, y = 56, and z = 13; x = −5, y = −48, and z = 7 in control groups 1 and 2, respectively), as shown in Figure 2. There was a third significant focus of activation in the left parietal cortex in control group 2 (Talairach coordinates: x = −37, y = −72, and z = 33). The first two activation foci have been identified previously in normal individuals in studies examining cortical activation for famous faces and are attributed to the retrieval of episodic memories and of personal traits and attitudes, respectively [20]. In contrast, in the congenital prosopagnosia group, there was no region whatsoever evincing a famous/unknown difference. When a much more lenient threshold of p < 0.005 was applied with no correction for multiple comparisons nor for false discovery rate (FDR), some activity (whose reliability is dubious) emerged in the congenital prosopagnosia group in the vicinity of the precuneus/posterior cingulate cortex. Even under these very liberal conditions, however, no activity was uncovered in the anterior paracingulate region. Thus, although congenital prosopagnosia individuals exhibit normal activation profiles in VOTC, they, unlike the control participants, do not show any familiarity-related activation outside VOTC. Importantly, the absence of this familiarity signature cannot be attributed to low statistical power because it is evident in each of the control subgroups.

Discussion

Two clear findings emerge from this study. The first is that individuals with congenital prosopagnosia demonstrate normal face-selective activation of posterior cortical visual regions of the distributed circuit that mediates face processing, even under especially sensitive experimental conditions. Many recent studies have reported that posterior VOTC is sensitive to facial identity as reflected in the BOLD reduction to repeated unfamiliar faces—that is, show “implicit” effects of recognition [24]. Thus, regions in VOTC may be sensitive to face familiarity but this information apparently fails to activate regions of the extended face network, thereby precluding explicit recognition.

Indeed, the necessity of activating these extended regions is confirmed by recent studies showing that regions such as the anterior temporal lobe, but not FFA, show distinct patterns of BOLD activation in response to individual faces [25], are critically involved in normal configural face processing [26], and can give rise to face processing deficits, too [27–29].
Moreover, regions such as the precuneus/posterior cingulate cortex and the anterior paracingulate cortex likely play a role in representing some knowledge of faces, consistent with the stronger activation for familiar versus unknown faces in these regions obtained via various paradigms (e.g., generally famous faces [30], personally familiar faces [20], and visually familiar faces [31]). Moreover, others have even implicated the precuneus/posterior cingulate region in the acquisition of face familiarity [32], and this is also consistent with studies showing selective activation for familiar voices in this region [33].

Taken together, these findings suggest that congenital prosopagnosia may result from a failure of information propagation between VOTC and other cortical regions that form a distributed neural network supporting face processing [4, 5, 20, 34]. The alteration of white matter fiber tracts that project through the core face processing regions to the anterior temporal lobe and frontal cortex in congenital prosopagnosia, as well as the reduction in volume of the portion of the fusiform gyrus, located anterior to the FFA [35], and the behavioral evidence showing implicit familiarity processing in these individuals are all clearly consistent with this account, too. This converging evidence provides, for the first time, a comprehensive account of the neural basis underlying congenital prosopagnosia. Furthermore, the results indicate that the multiplicity of face-selective regions revealed in studies with human and nonhuman primates [36, 37] play a coordinated and functionally necessary role in a network whose joint activity supports the recognition of familiar individuals. We stress that the present findings do not undermine the integral role of core regions such as the FFA in face processing, a finding that is strongly supported by numerous lesion studies (e.g., [1]). Rather, our work points out that these core regions, although necessary, may not be sufficient for successful recognition and that regions such as the precuneus/posterior cingulate and anterior paracingulate cortex are also involved. The findings from congenital prosopagnosia stand in contrast with the neural profile in acquired prosopagnosia, in which the lesion is typically more localized, affecting a particular node in the face network, usually (although not always) the FFA. Of course, damage to one such node can affect propagation of information through the face circuit, rendering the disconnection account plausible for both the congenital and acquired [38] forms of prosopagnosia.

Finally, it is important to note that the present findings have implications that extend beyond congenital prosopagnosia. Interestingly, this condition bears some similarities to other neurodevelopmental disorders, such as developmental dyslexia and congenital amusia. As in congenital prosopagnosia, these other disorders, the impairments affect a particular domain (reading or auditory pattern analysis) even though the affected individuals have intact sensory and intellectual functions, and the motivation and opportunities for acquiring the relevant skill are normal. Also, as in congenital prosopagnosia, these other disorders have a familial component, implicating some genetic basis [39–41]. A disconnection explanation has also been offered for these disorders; for example, developmental dyslexia has been attributed to reduced connectivity between temporal and parietal regions [42], which may be present from birth or may arise, as in a recent study, as a consequence of brain radiation treatment in early childhood [43]. A similar disconnection account, this time between frontal and auditory cortex, has been offered for congenital amusia or “tone deafness” [44]. The similarities among these disorders suggest that many complex cognitive tasks may be subserved by distributed networks, linking together disparate cortical regions, and that a disruption, resulting from a developmental alteration, acquired lesion, or neurological disease that disconnects the nodes of the circuit can give rise to profound cognitive impairments.
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