Carnegie Mellon University
Research Showcase

Tepper School of Business

4-17-2009

Minimal Inequalities for an Infinite Relaxation of Integer Programs

Amitabh Basu
Carnegie Mellon University

Michele Conforti
University of Padua

Gérard Cornuéjols
Carnegie Mellon University, gc0v@andrew.cmu.edu

Giacomo Zambelli
University of Padua

Follow this and additional works at: http://repository.cmu.edu/tepper

Part of the Economic Policy Commons, and the Industrial Organization Commons

Recommended Citation
Basu, Amitabh; Conforti, Michele; Cornuéjols, Gérard; and Zambelli, Giacomo, "Minimal Inequalities for an Infinite Relaxation of Integer Programs" (2009). *Tepper School of Business.* Paper 64.
http://repository.cmu.edu/tepper/64

This Working Paper is brought to you for free and open access by Research Showcase. It has been accepted for inclusion in Tepper School of Business by an authorized administrator of Research Showcase. For more information, please contact research-showcase@andrew.cmu.edu.

Minimal inequalities for an infinite relaxation of integer programs

Amitabh Basu
Carnegie Mellon University, abasu1@andrew.cmu.edu

Michele Conforti
Università di Padova, conforti@math.unipd.it

Gérard Cornuéjols *
Carnegie Mellon University and Université d'Aix-Marseille
gc0v@andrew.cmu.edu

Giacomo Zambelli
Università di Padova, giacomo@math.unipd.it

April 17, 2009

Abstract

We show that maximal S-free convex sets are polyhedra when S is the set of integral points in some rational polyhedron of $\mathbb{R}^n$. This result extends a theorem of Lovász characterizing maximal lattice-free convex sets. We then consider a model that arises in integer programming, and show that all irredundant inequalities are obtained from maximal S-free convex sets.

1 Maximal S-free convex sets

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ be the set of integral points in some rational polyhedron of $\mathbb{R}^n$. We say that $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an *S-free convex set* if B is convex and does not contain any point of S in its interior. We say that B is a *maximal S-free convex set* if it is convex, and it is not properly contained in any S-free convex set. Our interest in this notion arose from a recent paper of Dey and Wolsey [5] showing the relevance of maximal S-free convex sets in integer programming. When $S = \mathbb{Z}^n$, an S-free set is called a *lattice-free set*. The following theorem of Lovász characterizes maximal lattice-free convex sets.

Theorem 1. (Lovász [6]) *A set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a maximal lattice-free convex set if and only if one of the following holds:*

*Supported by NSF grant CMMI0653419, ONR grant N00014-03-1-0188 and ANR grant BLAN06-1-138894.

(i) *S is a polyhedron of the form $S = P + L$ where P is a polytope, L is a rational linear space, $\dim(S) = \dim(P) + \dim(L) = n$, S does not contain any integral point in its interior and there is an integral point in the relative interior of each facet of S;*

(ii) *S is a hyperplane of $\mathbb{R}^n$ that is not rational.*

Lovász only gives a sketch of the proof. A complete proof can be found in [2]. It follows from the proof of Theorem 1 that every lattice-free convex set is contained in a maximal lattice-free convex set. The next theorem is an extension of Lovász' theorem to maximal S-free convex sets. It was proved by Dey and Wolsey [5] in a special case.

Given a convex set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we denote by $\mathrm{rec}(K)$ its recession cone and by $\mathrm{lin}(K)$ its lineality space. Given a set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we denote by $\langle X \rangle$ the linear space generated by X.

Given a k-dimensional linear space V and a subset Λ of V, we say that Λ is a *lattice of* V if there exists a linear bijection $f : \mathbb{R}^k \to V$ such that $\Lambda = f(\mathbb{Z}^k)$.

Theorem 2. *Let S be the set of integral points in some rational polyhedron of $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\dim(S) = n$. A set $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a maximal S-free convex set if and only if one of the following holds:*

(i) *B is a polyhedron such that $B \cap \mathrm{conv}(S)$ has nonempty interior, B does not contain any point of S in its interior and there is a point of S in the relative interior of each of its facets. The recession cone of $B \cap \mathrm{conv}(S)$ is rational and it is contained in the lineality space of B.*

(ii) *B is a half-space of $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $B \cap \mathrm{conv}(S)$ has empty interior and the boundary of B is a supporting hyperplane of $\mathrm{conv}(S)$.*

(iii) *B is a hyperplane of $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\mathrm{lin}(B) \cap \mathrm{rec}(\mathrm{conv}(S))$ is not rational.*

We will need the following lemmas. The first one is proved in [2] and is an easy consequence of Dirichlet's theorem.

Lemma 3. *Let $y \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}^n$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\bar{\lambda} \geq 0$, there exists an integral point at distance less than ε from the half line $\{y + \lambda r \mid \lambda \geq \bar{\lambda}\}$.*

Lemma 4. *Let B be an S-free convex set such that $B \cap \mathrm{conv}(S)$ has nonempty interior. For every $r \in \mathrm{rec}(B) \cap \mathrm{rec}(\mathrm{conv}(S))$, $B + \langle r \rangle$ is S-free.*

Proof. Let $C = \mathrm{rec}(B) \cap \mathrm{rec}(\mathrm{conv}(S))$ and $r \in C \setminus \{0\}$. Suppose by contradiction that there exists $y \in S \cap \mathbf{int}(B + \langle r \rangle)$. We show that $y \in \mathbf{int}(B) + \langle r \rangle$. If not, $(y + \langle r \rangle) \cap \mathbf{int}(B) = \emptyset$, which implies that there is a hyperplane H separating the line $y + \langle r \rangle$ and $B + \langle r \rangle$, a contradiction. Thus there exists $\bar{\lambda}$ such that $\bar{y} = y + \bar{\lambda} r \in \mathbf{int}(B)$, i.e. there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that B contains the open ball $B_\varepsilon(\bar{y})$ of radius ε centered at $\bar{y}$. Since $r \in C \subseteq \mathrm{rec}(B)$, it follows that $B_\varepsilon(\bar{y}) + \{\lambda r \mid \lambda \geq 0\} \subset B$. Since $y \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, by Lemma 3 there exists $z \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ at distance less than ε from the half line $\{y + \lambda r \mid \lambda \geq \bar{\lambda}\}$. Thus $z \in B_\varepsilon(\bar{y}) + \{\lambda r \mid \lambda \geq 0\}$, hence $z \in \mathbf{int}(B)$. Note that the half-line $\{y + \lambda r \mid \lambda \geq \bar{\lambda}\}$ is in $\mathrm{conv}(S)$, since $y \in S$ and $r \in \mathrm{rec}(\mathrm{conv}(S))$. Since $\mathrm{conv}(S)$ is a rational polyhedron, for $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small every integral point at distance at most ε from $\mathrm{conv}(S)$ is in $\mathrm{conv}(S)$. Therefore $z \in S$, a contradiction. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2. The the proof of the "if" part is standard, and it is similar to the proof for the lattice-free case (see [2]). We show the "only if" part. Let B be a maximal S-free convex set. If $\dim(B) < n$, then B is contained in some affine hyperplane K. Since K has empty interior, K is S-free, thus $B = K$ by maximality of B. Next we show that $\text{lin}(B) \cap \text{rec}(\text{conv}(S))$ is not rational. Suppose not. Then the linear subspace $L = \langle \text{lin}(B) \cap \text{rec}(\text{conv}(S)) \rangle$ is rational. Therefore the projection Λ of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ onto $L^\perp$ is a lattice of $L^\perp$ (see, for example, Barvinok [1] p 284 problem 3). The projection S' of S onto $L^\perp$ is a subset of Λ. Let B' be the projection of B onto $L^\perp$. Then $B' \cap \text{conv}(S')$ is the projection of $B \cap \text{conv}(S)$ onto $L^\perp$. By construction, $B' \cap \text{conv}(S')$ is bounded. Fix $\delta > 0$. Since Λ is a lattice and $S' \subseteq \Lambda$, there is a finite number of points at distance less than δ from the bounded set $B' \cap \text{conv}(S')$ in $L^\perp$. It follows that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that every point of S' has distance at least ε from $B' \cap \text{conv}(S')$. Let $B'' = \{v + w \mid v \in B,\, w \in L^\perp, \|w\| \leq \varepsilon\}$. The set B'' is S-free by the choice of ε, but B'' strictly contains B, contradicting the maximality of B. Therefore (iii) holds when $\dim(B) < n$. Hence we may assume $\dim(B) = n$. If $B \cap \text{conv}(S)$ has empty interior, then there exists a hyperplane separating B and $\text{conv}(S)$ which is supporting for $\text{conv}(S)$. By maximality of B case (ii) follows.

Therefore we may assume that $B \cap \text{conv}(S)$ has nonempty interior. We show that B satisfies (i).

Claim 1. *There exists a rational polyhedron P such that:*
$i)$ $\text{conv}(S) \subset \mathbf{int}(P)$,
$ii)$ *The set $K = B \cap P$ is lattice-free,*
$iii)$ *For every facet F of P, $F \cap K$ is a facet of K,*
$iv)$ *For every facet F of P, $F \cap K$ contains an integral point in its relative interior.*

Since $\text{conv}(S)$ is a rational polyhedron, there exist integral A and b such that $\text{conv}(S) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid Ax \leq b\}$. The set $P' = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid Ax \leq b + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}\}$ satisfies $i)$. The set $B \cap P'$ is lattice-free since B is S-free and P' does not contain any point in $\mathbb{Z}^n \setminus S$, thus P' also satisfies $ii)$. Let $\bar{A}x \leq \bar{b}$ be the system containing all inequalities of $Ax \leq b + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}$ that define facets of $B \cap P'$. Let $P_0 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \bar{A}x \leq \bar{b}\}$. Then P_0 satisfies $i), ii), iii)$.

It will be more convenient to write P_0 as intersection of the half-spaces defining the facets of P_0, $P_0 = \cap_{H \in \mathcal{F}_0} H$. We construct a sequence of rational polyhedra $P_0 \subset P_1 \subset \ldots \subset P_t$ such that P_i satisfies $i), ii), iii)$, $i = 1, \ldots, t$, and such that P_t satisfies $iv)$. Given P_i, we construct P_{i+1} as follows. Let $P_i = \cap_{H \in \mathcal{F}_i} H$, where $\mathcal{F}_i$ is the set of half spaces defining facets of P_i. Let $\bar{H}$ be a half-space in $\mathcal{F}_i$ defining a facet of $B \cap P_i$ that does not contain an integral point in its relative interior; if no such $\bar{H}$ exists, then P_i satisfies $iv)$ and we are done. If $B \cap \bigcap_{H \in \mathcal{F}_i \setminus \{\bar{H}\}} H$ does not contain any integral point in its interior, let $\mathcal{F}_{i+1} = \mathcal{F}_i \setminus \{\bar{H}\}$. Otherwise, since P_i is rational, among all integral points in the interior of $B \cap \bigcap_{H \in \mathcal{F}_i \setminus \{\bar{H}\}} H$ there exists one, say $\bar{x}$, at minimum distance from $\bar{H}$. Let H' be the half-space containing $\bar{H}$ with $\bar{x}$ on its boundary. Let $\mathcal{F}_{i+1} = \mathcal{F}_i \setminus \{\bar{H}\} \cap \{H'\}$. Observe that H' defines a facet of P_{i+1} since $\bar{x}$ is in the interior of $B \cap \bigcap_{H \in \mathcal{F}_{i+1} \setminus \{H'\}} H$ and it is on the boundary of H'. So $i), ii), iii)$ are satisfied and P_{i+1} has fewer facets that violate $iv)$ than P_i. $\diamond$

Let T be a maximal lattice-free convex set containing the set K defined in Claim 1. By Theorem 1, T is a polyhedron with an integral point in the relative interior of each of its facets. Let H be a hyperplane that defines a facet of P. Since $K \cap H$ is a facet of K with an

integral point in its relative interior, it follows that H defines a facet of T. This implies that $T \subset P$. Therefore we can write T as

$$T = P \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} H_i, \tag{1}$$

where H_i are halfspaces. Let $\bar{H}_i = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathbf{int}(H_i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$.

Claim 2. *B is a polyhedron.*

We first show that, for $i = 1, \ldots, k$, $\mathbf{int}(B) \cap (\bar{H}_i \cap \mathrm{conv}(S)) = \emptyset$. Consider $y \in \mathbf{int}(B) \cap \bar{H}_i$. Since $y \in \bar{H}_i$ and K is contained in T, $y \notin \mathbf{int}(K)$. Since $K = B \cap P$ and $y \in \mathbf{int}(B) \setminus \mathbf{int}(K)$, it follows that $y \notin \mathbf{int}(P)$. Hence $y \notin \mathrm{conv}(S)$ because $\mathrm{conv}(S) \subseteq \mathbf{int}(P)$.

Thus, for $i = 1, \ldots, k$, there exists a hyperplane separating B and $\bar{H}_i \cap \mathrm{conv}(S)$. Hence there exists a halfspace K_i such that $B \subset K_i$ and $\bar{H}_i \cap \mathrm{conv}(S)$ is disjoint from the interior of K_i. We claim that the set $B' = \cap_{i=1}^{k} K_i$ is S-free. Indeed, let $y \in S$. Then y is not interior of T. Since $y \in \mathrm{conv}(S)$ and $\mathrm{conv}(S) \subseteq \mathbf{int}(P)$, y is in the interior of P. Hence, by (1), there exists $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ such that y is not in the interior of H_i. Thus $y \in \bar{H}_i \cap \mathrm{conv}(S)$. By construction, y is not in the interior of K_i, hence y is not in the interior of B'. Thus B' is an S-free convex set containing B. Since B is maximal, $B' = B$. $\diamond$

Claim 3. $\mathrm{lin}(K) = \mathrm{rec}(K)$.

Let $r \in \mathrm{rec}(K)$. We show $-r \in \mathrm{rec}(K)$. By Lemma 4 applied to $\mathbb{Z}^n$, $K + \langle r \rangle$ is lattice-free. We observe that $B + \langle r \rangle$ is S-free. If not, let $y \in S \cap \mathbf{int}(B + \langle r \rangle)$. Since $S \subseteq \mathbf{int}(P)$, $y \in \mathbf{int}(P + \langle r \rangle)$, hence $y \in \mathbf{int}(K + \langle r \rangle)$, a contradiction. Hence, by maximality of B, $B = B + \langle r \rangle$. Thus $-r \in \mathrm{rec}(B)$. Suppose that $-r \notin \mathrm{rec}(P)$. Then there exists a facet F of P that is not parallel to r. By construction, $F \cap K$ is a facet of K containing an integral point $\bar{x}$ in its relative interior. The point $\bar{x}$ is then in the interior of $K + \langle r \rangle$, a contradiction. $\diamond$

Claim 4. $\mathrm{lin}(K)$ *is rational.*

Consider the maximal lattice-free convex set T containing K considered earlier. By Theorem 1, $\mathrm{lin}(T) = \mathrm{rec}(T)$, and $\mathrm{lin}(T)$ is rational. Clearly $\mathrm{lin}(T) \supseteq \mathrm{lin}(K)$. Hence, if the claim does not hold, there exists a rational vector $r \in \mathrm{lin}(T) \setminus \mathrm{lin}(K)$. By (1), $r \in \mathrm{lin}(P)$. Since $K = B \cap P$, $r \notin \mathrm{lin}(B)$. Hence $B \subset B + \langle r \rangle$. We will show that $B + \langle r \rangle$ is S-free, contradicting the maximality of B. Suppose there exists $y \in S \cap \mathbf{int}(B + \langle r \rangle)$. Since $\mathrm{conv}(S) \subseteq \mathbf{int}(P)$, $y \in \mathbf{int}(P) \subseteq \mathbf{int}(P) + \langle r \rangle$. Therefore $y \in \mathbf{int}(B \cap P) + \langle r \rangle$. Since $B \cap P \subseteq T$, then $y \in \mathbf{int}(T) + \langle r \rangle = \mathbf{int}(T)$ where the last equality follows from $r \in \mathrm{lin}(T)$. This contradicts the fact that T is lattice-free. $\diamond$

By Lemma 4 and by the maximality of B, $\mathrm{lin}(B) \cap \mathrm{rec}(\mathrm{conv}(S)) = \mathrm{rec}(B) \cap \mathrm{rec}(\mathrm{conv}(S))$.

Claim 5. $\mathrm{lin}(B) \cap \mathrm{rec}(\mathrm{conv}(S))$ *is rational.*

Since $\mathrm{lin}(K)$ and $\mathrm{rec}(\mathrm{conv}(S))$ are both rational, we only need to show $\mathrm{lin}(B) \cap \mathrm{rec}(\mathrm{conv}(S)) = \mathrm{lin}(K) \cap \mathrm{rec}(\mathrm{conv}(S))$. The "$\supseteq$" direction follows from $B \supseteq K$. For the other direction, note that, since $\mathrm{conv}(S) \subseteq P$, we have $\mathrm{lin}(B) \cap \mathrm{rec}(\mathrm{conv}(S)) \subseteq \mathrm{lin}(B) \cap \mathrm{rec}(P) = \mathrm{lin}(B \cap P) = \mathrm{lin}(K)$, hence $\mathrm{lin}(B) \cap \mathrm{rec}(\mathrm{conv}(S)) \subseteq \mathrm{lin}(K) \cap \mathrm{rec}(\mathrm{conv}(S))$. $\diamond$

Claim 6. *Every facet of B contains a point of S in its relative interior.*

Let L be the linear space generated by $\mathrm{lin}(B) \cap \mathrm{rec}(\mathrm{conv}(S))$. By Claim 5, L is rational. Let B', S', Λ be the projections of B, S, $\mathbb{Z}^n$, respectively, onto $L^\perp$. Since L is rational, Λ is a lattice of $L^\perp$ and $S' = \mathrm{conv}(S') \cap \Lambda$. Also, B' is a maximal S'-free convex set of $L^\perp$, since for any S'-free set D of $L^\perp$, $D + L$ is S-free. By construction, $B' \cap \mathrm{conv}(S')$ is bounded. Let $B' = \{x \in L^\perp \mid \alpha_i x \leq \beta_i,\ i = 1, \ldots, t\}$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, let $\bar{B} = \{x \in L^\perp \mid \alpha_i x \leq \beta_i,\ i = 1, \ldots, t-1,\ \alpha_t x \leq \beta_t + \varepsilon\}$. The polyhedron $\mathrm{conv}(S') \cap \bar{B}$ is a polytope since it has the same recession cone as $\mathrm{conv}(S') \cap B'$. The polytope $\mathrm{conv}(S') \cap \bar{B}$ contains points of S' in its interior by the maximality of B'. Since Λ is a lattice of $L^\perp$, $\mathbf{int}(\mathrm{conv}(S') \cap \bar{B})$ has a finite number of points in S', hence there exists one minimizing $\alpha_t x$, say z. By construction, the polyhedron $B'' = \{x \in L^\perp \mid \alpha_i x \leq \beta_i,\ i = 1, \ldots, t-1,\ \alpha_t x \leq \alpha_t z\}$ does not contain any point of S' in its interior and contains B'. By the maximality of B', $B' = B''$ hence B' contains z in its relative interior, and B contains a point of S in its relative interior. □

Corollary 5. *For every maximal S-free convex set B there exists a maximal lattice-free convex set K such that, for every facet F of B, $F \cap K$ is a facet of K.*

Proof. Let K be defined as in Claim 1 in the proof of Theorem 2. It follows from the proof that K is a maximal lattice-free convex set with the desired properties. □

Remark 6. *It follows from the proof of Theorem 2 that every S-free convex set is contained in a maximal S-free convex set.*

2 Minimal inequalities

Let S be the set of integral points in some rational polyhedron in $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\dim(S) = n$. We consider the following model introduced by Dey and Wolsey [5]. Let $R(f, S)$ be the set of all $s = (s_r)_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & f + \sum_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n} r s_r \in S \\ & s_r \geq 0, \quad r \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ & s \text{ has finite support.} \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Given a function $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, the linear inequality

$$\sum_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n} \psi(r) s_r \geq 1 \tag{3}$$

is *valid for* $R(f, S)$ if it is satisfied by every $s \in R(f, S)$.

Next we observe how maximal lattice-free convex sets in $\mathbb{R}^n$ give valid linear inequalities for $R(f, S)$. Let B be a maximal S-free convex set in $\mathbb{R}^n$ containing f in its interior. Since, by Theorem 2, B is a polyhedron and since f is in its interior, there exist $a_1, \ldots, a_t \in \mathbb{R}^q$ such that $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid a_i(x - f) \leq 1,\ i = 1 \ldots, t\}$. We define the function $\psi_B : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\psi_B(r) = \max_{i=1,\ldots,t} a_i r.$$

Note that the function ψ_B is *subadditive*, i.e. $\psi_B(r) + \psi_B(r') \geq \psi_B(r + r')$, and *positively homogeneous*, i.e. $\psi_B(\lambda r) = \lambda \psi_B(r)$ for every $\lambda \geq 0$. We claim that

$$\sum_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n} \psi_B(r) s_r \geq 1$$

is valid for $R(f, S)$.

Indeed, let $s \in R(f, S)$, and $x = f + \sum_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n} r s_r$. Note that $x \in S$, thus $x \notin \mathbf{int}(B)$. Then

$$\sum_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n} \psi_B(r) s_r = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n} \psi_B(r s_r) \geq \psi_B(\sum_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n} r s_r) = \psi_B(x - f) \geq 1,$$

where the first equation follows from positive homogeneity, the first inequality follows from subadditivity of ψ_B and the last one follows from the fact that $x \notin \mathbf{int}(B)$.

We will show that all nontrivial irredundant valid linear inequalities for $R(f, S)$ are indeed of the type described above. We make this more precise in the next theorem.

A linear inequality $\sum_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n} \psi(r) s_r \geq 1$ that is satisfied by every nonnegative element s with finite support such that $f + \sum_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n} r s_r \in \mathrm{conv}(S)$ is said to be *trivial*.

We say that inequality $\sum_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n} \psi(r) s_r \geq 1$ *dominates* inequality $\sum_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n} \psi'(r) s_r \geq 1$ if $\psi(r) \leq \psi'(r)$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Note that, for any $\bar{s} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\bar{s}_r \geq 0$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}^n$, if $\bar{s}$ satisfies the first inequality, then $\bar{s}$ also satisfies the second. A valid inequality $\sum_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n} \psi(r) s_r \geq 1$ for $R(f, S)$ is *minimal* if it is not dominated by any valid linear inequality $\sum_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n} \psi'(r) s_r \geq 1$ for $R(f, S)$ such that $\psi' \neq \psi$.

Theorem 7.
Every nontrivial valid linear inequality for $R(f, S)$ of the form $\sum_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n} \psi(r) s_r \geq 1$ is dominated by a nontrivial minimal valid linear inequality for $R(f, S)$.
Every nontrivial minimal valid linear inequality for $R(f, S)$ of the form $\sum_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n} \psi(r) s_r \geq 1$ is an inequality

$$\sum_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n} \psi_B(r) s_r \geq 1,$$

where B is a maximal S-free convex set in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with f in its interior such that $\mathbf{int}(B \cap \mathrm{conv}(S)) \neq \emptyset$.

A linear function $\Psi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is of the form

$$\Psi(s) = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n} \psi(r) s_r \tag{4}$$

for some $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$. Throughout the rest of the paper, capitalized Greek letters indicate linear functions from $\mathbb{R}^n$ to $\mathbb{R}$, while the corresponding lowercase letters indicate functions from $\mathbb{R}^n$ to $\mathbb{R}$ as defined in (4).

We will need the following lemma, whose proof is identical to the proof of Lemma 18 in [2]. A function is *sublinear* if it is positively homogeneous and subadditive.

Lemma 8. *Let $\Psi(s) \geq 1$ be a valid linear inequality for $R(f, S)$. Then $\Psi(s) \geq 1$ is dominated by a valid linear inequality $\Psi'(s) \geq 1$ for $R(f, S)$ such that ψ' is sublinear.*

Given a nontrivial valid linear inequality $\Psi(s) \geq 1$ for $R(f, S)$ such that ψ is sublinear, we consider the set

$$B_\psi = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \psi(x - f) \leq 1\}.$$

Since ψ is continuous, B_ψ is closed. Since ψ is convex, B_ψ is convex. Since ψ defines a valid inequality, B_ψ is S-free. Indeed the interior of B_ψ is $\mathbf{int}(B_\psi) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \psi(x-f) < 1\}$. Its boundary is $\mathbf{bd}(B_\psi) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \psi(x-f) = 1\}$, and its recession cone is $\mathrm{rec}(B_\psi) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \psi(x - f) \leq 0\}$. Note that f is in the interior of B_ψ.

Before proving Theorem 7, we need the following general theorem about sublinear functions. Let K be a closed, convex set in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the origin in its interior. The *polar* of K is the set $K^* = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid ry \leq 1 \text{ for all } r \in K\}$. Clearly K^* is closed and convex, and since $0 \in \mathbf{int}(K)$, it is well known that K^* is bounded. In particular, K^* is a compact set. Also, since $0 \in K$, $K^{**} = K$. Let

$$\hat{K} = \{y \in K^* \mid \exists x \in K \text{ such that } xy = 1\}. \tag{5}$$

Note that $\hat{K}$ is contained in the relative boundary of K^*. Let $\rho_K : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$\rho_K(r) = \sup_{y \in \hat{K}} ry, \quad \text{for all } r \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{6}$$

It is easy to show that ρ_K is sublinear.

Theorem 9 (Basu et al. [3])**.** *Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed convex set containing the origin in its interior. Then $K = \{r \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \rho_K(r) \leq 1\}$. Furthermore, for every sublinear function σ such that $K = \{r \mid \sigma(r) \leq 1\}$, we have $\rho_K(r) \leq \sigma(r)$ for every $r \in \mathbb{R}^n$.*

Remark 10. *Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a polyhedron containing the origin in its interior. Let $a_1, \ldots, a_t \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $K = \{r \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid a_i r \leq 1,\ i = 1, \ldots, t\}$. Then $\rho_K(r) = \max_{i=1,\ldots,t} a_i r$.*

Proof. The polar of K is $K^* = \mathrm{conv}\{0, a_1, \ldots, a_t\}$ (see Theorem 9.1 in Schrijver [7]). Furthermore, $\hat{K}$ is the union of all the facets of K^* that do not contain the origin, therefore

$$\rho_K(r) = \sup_{y \in \hat{K}} yr = \max_{i=1,\ldots,t} a_i r$$

for all $r \in \mathbb{R}^n$. □

Remark 11. *Let B be a closed S-free convex set in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with f in its interior, and let $K = B - f$. Then the inequality $\sum_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n} \rho_K(r) s_r \geq 1$ is valid for $R(f, S)$.*

Proof: Let $s \in R(f, S)$. Then $x = f + \sum_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n} r s_r$ is in S, therefore $x \notin \mathbf{int}(B)$ because B is S-free. By Theorem 9, $\rho_K(x - f) \geq 1$. Thus

$$1 \leq \rho_K\Big(\sum_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n} r s_r\Big) \leq \sum_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n} \rho_K(r) s_r,$$

where the second inequality follows from the sublinearity of ρ_K. □

Lemma 12. *Let C be a closed S-free convex set such that $\mathbf{int}(C \cap \mathrm{conv}(S)) \neq \emptyset$. Given a point f in the interior of C, let $K = C - f$. There exists a maximal S-free convex set $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid a_i(x - f) \leq 1,\ i = 1, \ldots, k\}$ such that $a_i \in \mathbf{cl}(\mathrm{conv}(\hat{K}))$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$.*

Proof. Since C is an S-free convex set, it is contained in some maximal S-free convex set T. The set T satisfies one of the statements (i)-(iii) of Theorem 2. Since $\mathbf{int}(C \cap \mathrm{conv}(S)) \neq \emptyset$, $\mathbf{int}(T \cap \mathrm{conv}(S)) \neq \emptyset$, hence case (i) applies. Thus T is a polyhedron and $\mathrm{rec}(T \cap \mathrm{conv}(S)) = \mathrm{lin}(T) \cap \mathrm{rec}(\mathrm{conv}(S))$ is rational. Let us choose T such that the dimension of $\mathrm{lin}(T)$ is largest possible.

Since T is a polyhedron containing f in its interior, there exists $D \in \mathbb{R}^{t \times q}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^t$ such that $b_i > 0$, $i = 1, \ldots, t$, and $T = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid D(x - f) \leq b\}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\sup_{x \in C} d_i(x - f) = 1$ where d_i denotes the ith row of D, $i = 1, \ldots, t$. By our assumption, $\sup_{r \in K} d_i r = 1$. Therefore $d_i \in K^*$, since $d_i r \leq 1$ for all $r \in K$. Furthermore $d_i \in \mathbf{cl}(\hat{K})$, since $\sup_{r \in K} d_i r = 1$.

Let $P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid D(x - f) \leq e\}$. Note that $\mathrm{lin}(P) = \mathrm{lin}(T)$. By our choice of T, $P + \langle r \rangle$ is not S-free for any $r \in \mathrm{rec}(\mathrm{conv}(S)) \setminus \mathrm{lin}(P)$, otherwise P would be contained in a maximal S-free convex set whose lineality space contains $\mathrm{lin}(T) + \langle r \rangle$, a contradiction.

Let $L = \langle \mathrm{rec}(P \cap \mathrm{conv}(S)) \rangle$. Since $\mathrm{lin}(P) = \mathrm{lin}(T)$, L is a rational space. Note that $L \subseteq \mathrm{lin}(P)$, implying that $d_i \in L^\perp$ for $i = 1, \ldots, t$.

We observe next that we may assume that $P \cap \mathrm{conv}(S)$ is bounded. Indeed, let $\bar{P}$, $\bar{S}$, Λ be the projections onto $L^\perp$ of P, S, and $\mathbb{Z}^n$, respectively. Since L is a rational space, Λ is a lattice of $L^\perp$ and $\bar{S} = \mathrm{conv}(\bar{S}) \cap \Lambda$. Note that $\bar{P} \cap \mathrm{conv}(\bar{S})$ is bounded, since $L \supseteq \mathrm{rec}(P \cap \mathrm{conv}(S))$. If we are given a maximal $\bar{S}$-free convex set $\bar{B}$ in $L^\perp$ such that $\bar{B} = \{x \in L^\perp \mid a_i(x - f) \leq 1,\ i = 1, \ldots, h\}$ and $a_i \in \mathrm{conv}\{d_1, \ldots, d_t\}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, h$, then $B = \bar{B} + L$ is the set $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid a_i(x - f) \leq 1,\ i = 1, \ldots, h\}$. Since $\bar{B}$ contains a point of $\bar{S}$ in the relative interior of each of its facets, B contains a point of S in the relative interior of each of its facets, thus B is a maximal S-free convex set.

Thus we assume that $P \cap \mathrm{conv}(S)$ is bounded, so $\dim(L) = 0$. If all facets of P contain a point of S in their relative interior, then P is a maximal S-free convex set, thus the statement of the lemma holds. Otherwise we describe a procedure that replaces one of the inequalities defining a facet of P without any point of S in its relative interior with an inequality which is a convex combination of the inequalities of $D(x - f) \leq e$, such that the new polyhedron thus obtained is S-free and has one fewer facet without points of S in its relative interior. More formally, suppose the facet of P defined by $d_1(x - f) \leq 1$ does not contain any point of S in its relative interior. Given $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, let

$$P(\lambda) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid [\lambda d_1 + (1 - \lambda) d_2](x - f) \leq 1, \quad d_i(x - f) \leq 1 \ \ i = 2, \ldots, t\}.$$

Note that $P(1) = P$ and $P(0)$ is obtained from P by removing the inequality $d_1(x - f) \leq 1$. Furthermore, given $0 \leq \lambda' \leq \lambda'' \leq 1$, we have $P(\lambda') \supseteq P(\lambda'')$.

Let $r_1, \ldots, r_m$ be generators of $\mathrm{rec}(\mathrm{conv}(S))$. Note that, since $P \cap \mathrm{conv}(S)$ is bounded, for every $j = 1, \ldots, m$ there exists $i \in \{1, \ldots, t\}$ such that $d_i r_j > 0$. Let $r_1, \ldots, r_h$ be the generators of $\mathrm{rec}(\mathrm{conv}(S))$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 r_j &> 0 \\ d_i r_j &\leq 0 \qquad i = 2, \ldots, t. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, if no such generators exist, then $P(0) \cap \text{conv}(S)$ is bounded. Otherwise $P(\lambda) \cap \text{conv}(S)$ is bounded if and only if, for $j = 1, \ldots, h$

$$[\lambda d_1 + (1-\lambda)d_2]r_j > 0.$$

This is the case if and only if $\lambda > \lambda^*$, where

$$\lambda^* = \max_{j=1,\ldots,h} \frac{-d_2 r_j}{(d_1 - d_2)r_j}.$$

Let r^* be one of the vectors $r_1, \ldots, r_h$ attaining the maximum in the previous equation. Then $r^* \in \text{rec}(P(\lambda^* \cap \text{conv}(S)$.

Note that $P(\lambda^*)$ is not S-free otherwise $P(\lambda^*) + \langle r^* \rangle$ is S-free by Lemma 4, and so is $P + \langle r^* \rangle$, a contradiction.

Thus $P(\lambda^*)$ contains a point of S in its interior. That is, there exists a point $\bar{x} \in S$ such that $[\lambda^* d_1 + (1-\lambda^*)d_2](\bar{x} - f) < 1$ and $d_i(\bar{x} - f) < 1$ for $i = 2, \ldots, t$. Since P is S-free, $d_1(\bar{x} - f) > 1$. Thus there exists $\bar{\lambda} > \lambda^*$ such that $[\bar{\lambda} d_1 + (1-\bar{\lambda})d_2](\bar{x} - f) = 1$. Note that, since $P(\bar{\lambda}) \cap \text{conv}(S)$ is bounded, there is a finite number of points of S in the interior of $P(\bar{\lambda})$. So we may choose $\bar{x}$ such that $\bar{\lambda}$ is maximum. Thus $P(\bar{\lambda})$ is S-free and $\bar{x}$ is in the relative interior of the facet of $P(\bar{\lambda})$ defined by $[\bar{\lambda} d_1 + (1-\bar{\lambda})d_2](x - f) \leq 1$.

Note that, for $i = 2, \ldots, t$, if $d_i(x - f) \leq 1$ defines a facet of P with a point of S in its relative interior, then it also defines a facet of $P(\bar{\lambda})$ with a point of S in its relative interior, because $P \subset P(\bar{\lambda})$. Thus repeating the above construction at most t times, we obtain a set B satisfying the lemma. □

Remark 13. *Let C and K be as in Lemma 12. Given any maximal S-free convex set $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid a_i(x - f) \leq 1,\ i = 1, \ldots, k\}$ containing C, then $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in K^*$. If* $\text{rec}(C)$ *is not full dimensional, then the origin is not an extreme point of K^*. Since all extreme points of K^* are contained in $\{0\} \cup \hat{K}$, in this case* $\mathbf{cl}(\text{conv}(\hat{K})) = K^*$. *Therefore, when* $\text{rec}(C)$ *is not full dimensional, every maximal S-free convex set containing C satisfies the statement of Lemma 12.*

Lemma 14. *Given a maximal S-free convex set B of $\mathbb{R}^n$ containing f in its interior, $\Psi_B(s) \geq 1$ is a minimal valid inequality for $R(f, S)$.*

Proof. Let $\Psi(s) \geq 1$ be a valid linear inequality for $R(f, S)$ such that $\psi(r) \leq \psi_B(r)$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $B_\psi \supset B$ and B_ψ is S-free. By maximality of B, $B = B_\psi$. By Theorem 9 and Remark 10, $\psi_B(r) \leq \psi(r)$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}^n$, proving $\psi = \psi_B$. □

Proof of Theorem 7.
Let $\Psi(s) \geq 1$ be a nontrivial valid linear inequality for $R(f, S)$. By Lemma 8, we may assume that ψ is sublinear.

We first show that, if $\mathbf{int}(B_\psi \cap \text{conv}(S)) = \emptyset$, then $\Psi(s) \geq 1$ is trivial. Indeed, suppose $\mathbf{int}(B_\psi \cap \text{conv}(S)) = \emptyset$ and let s nonnegative with finite support such that $x = f + \sum_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n} r s_r \in \text{conv}(S)$. This implies $x \notin \mathbf{int}(B_\psi)$. It follows

$$1 \leq \psi(x - f) = \psi(\sum_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n} r s_r) \leq \sum_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n} \psi(r) s_r = \Psi(s),$$

where the last inequality follows from the sublinearity of ψ.

Let $K = \{r \in \mathbb{R}^n \,|\, \psi(r) \leq 1\}$, and let $\hat{K}$ be defined as in (5). Note that $K = B_\psi - f$. Thus, by Remark 11, $\sum_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n} \rho_K(r) s_r \geq 1$ is valid for $R(f, S)$. Since ψ is sublinear, it follows from Theorem 9 that $\rho_K(r) \leq \psi(r)$ for every $r \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

By Lemma 12, there exists a maximal S-free convex set $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \,|\, a_i(x - f) \leq 1,\ i = 1, \ldots, k\}$ such that $a_i \in \mathbf{cl}(\mathrm{conv}(\hat{K}))$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$.

Then

$$\psi(r) \geq \rho_K(r) = \sup_{y \in \hat{K}} yr = \max_{y \in \mathbf{cl}(\mathrm{conv}(\hat{K}))} yr \geq \max_{i=1,\ldots,k} a_i r = \psi_B(r).$$

This shows that $\psi_B(r) \leq \psi(r)$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}^n$, which concludes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Remark 15. *Note that ψ is nonnegative if and only if* $\mathrm{rec}(B_\psi)$ *is not full-dimensional. It follows from Remark 13 that, for every maximal S-free convex set B containing B_ψ, we have $\psi_B(r) \leq \psi(r)$ for every $r \in \mathbb{R}^n$ when ψ is nonnegative.*

A statement similar to the one of Theorem 7 was shown by Borozan-Cornuéjols [4] for a model similar to (2) when $S = \mathbb{Z}^n$ and the vectors s are elements of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Q}^n}$. In this case, it is easy to show that, for every valid inequality $\sum_{r \in \mathbb{Q}^n} \psi(r) s_r \geq 1$, the function $\psi : \mathbb{Q}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is nonnegative. Remark 15 explains why in this context it is much easier to prove that minimal inequalities arise from maximal lattice-free convex sets.

References

[1] A. Barvinok, *A Course in Convexity*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 54, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 2002.

[2] A. Basu, M. Conforti, G. Cornuéjols, G. Zambelli, Maximal lattice-free convex sets in linear subspaces, manuscript (March 2009).

[3] A. Basu, G. Cornuéjols, G. Zambelli, Convex Sets and Minimal Sublinear Functions, manuscript (March 2009).

[4] V. Borozan, G. Cornuéjols, Minimal Valid Inequalities for Integer Constraints, technical report (July 2007), to appear in *Mathematics of Operations Research*.

[5] S.S. Dey, L.A. Wolsey, Constrained Infinite Group Relaxations of MIPs, manuscript (March 2009).

[6] L. Lovász, Geometry of Numbers and Integer Programming, *Mathematical Programming: Recent Developements and Applications*, M. Iri and K. Tanabe eds., Kluwer (1989), 177-210.

[7] A. Schrijver, *Theory of Linear and Integer Programming*, Wiley, New York (1986).