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Alteration of Neuronal Firing Properties after In Vivo Experience in a FosGFP Transgenic Mouse
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Identifying the cells and circuits that underlie perception, behavior, and learning is a central goal of contemporary neuroscience. Although techniques such as lesion analysis, functional magnetic resonance imaging, 2-deoxyglucose studies, and induction of gene expression have been helpful in determining the brain areas responsible for particular functions, these methods are technically limited. Currently, there is no method that allows for the identification and electrophysiological characterization of individual neurons that are associated with a particular function in living tissue. We developed a strain of transgenic mice in which the expression of the green fluorescent protein (GFP) is controlled by the promoter of the activity-dependent gene c-fos. These mice enable an in vivo or ex vivo characterization of the cells and synapses that are activated by particular pharmacological and behavioral manipulations. Cortical and subcortical fosGFP expression could be induced in a regionally restricted manner after specific activation of neuronal ensembles. Using the fosGFP mice to identify discrete cortical areas, we found that neurons in sensory-spared areas rapidly regulate action potential threshold and spike frequency to decrease excitability. This method will enhance our ability to study the way neuronal networks are activated and changed by both experience and pharmacological manipulations. In addition, because activated neurons can be functionally characterized, this tool may enable the development of better pharmaceuticals that directly affect the neurons involved in disease states.
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Cellular/Molecular

Introduction

Neurons respond to increased activity by changing levels of gene expression, and this feature has been used to functionally map the CNS. Monitoring expression of immediate-early genes such as c-fos, zif268, and junB has been extraordinarily useful in identifying brain areas involved in behavioral, emotional, physiological, and pharmacological states. Of these genes, c-fos has been used most widely for several reasons, including low levels of basal expression, broad dynamic range of mRNA and protein levels, and short half-life of both mRNA and protein (for review, see Gall et al., 1998). The utility of c-fos as a marker for neural activity is underscored by its induction by a wide array of stimuli, including seizure (Morgan et al., 1987), cortical injury (Sharp et al., 1990), pain (Naranjo et al., 1991), odor exposure (Guthrie et al., 1993), somatosensory stimulation (Mack and Mack, 1992), dehydration (Sagar et al., 1988), and long-term potentiation (Cole et al., 1989; French et al., 2001). c-fos has also been used to map developmental changes in neural circuitry (Rinaman et al., 1997), as well as to compare mechanisms of drug efficacy (Nguyen et al., 1992).

Fos is a member of the AP-1 (activator protein-1) family of transcription factors and binds to DNA with a Jun family member as a heterodimer (Curran and Franz, 1988). Protein abundance and AP-1 activity are regulated by extracellular signals (Curran, 1988), and a large number of signal transduction pathways, including cAMP (Krujier et al., 1985), Ca2+ (Morgan and Curran, 1986), MAPK (mitogen-activated protein kinase) (Bernstein et al., 1994), and CAMKIV (calmodulin kinase IV) (Ho et al., 1996; Krebs and Honegger, 1996), can modulate Fos and thus AP-1 activity. The AP-1 transcription factor can activate downstream gene expression, and its binding site is found in a large number of promoters. Because c-fos expression is correlated with learning (Rosen et al., 1992; Mower, 1994; Guzowski et al., 2000, 2001; Fleischmann et al., 2003), the downstream targets of c-fos are of considerable interest (Lanahan and Worley, 1998). How expression of these downstream genes can be translated into changes in neuronal excitability and synaptic efficacy is not fully understood.

c-fos in situ hybridization, immunohistochemistry, or c-fos promoter-mediated reporter gene expression (Schilling et al., 1991; Smeyne et al., 1992; Wilson et al., 2002) provide both regional and cellular resolution for neural subsets that are activated by behavioral or pharmacological stimuli and have comple-
mented other imaging techniques, such as 2-deoxyglucose labeling, lesion studies, and functional magnetic resonance imaging. However, it has been difficult to identify functional changes, in particular neurons in which c-fos expression has been activated, because alterations in gene expression are monitored after tissue fixation. Thus, the dynamic membrane properties of activated cells, as well as their pharmacological sensitivities, are lost. Especially where gene expression might be altered to consolidate learning and alter neuronal function, it would be advantageous to examine the electrophysiological properties of activated cells. Towards this end, we created mice that are transgenic for a fosGFP fusion protein that is driven by the c-fos promoter.

fosGFP transgene expression was examined using three different protocols, encompassing behavioral, physiological, and pharmacological stimuli. Specific fosGFP expression was observed in living brain slices after single-whisker rearing and was not induced by conditions of tissue preparation. The time course of fosGFP induction and degradation was similar to endogenous c-fos expression both in vivo and in brain slices. We used these transgenic mice to investigate changes in the dynamic membrane properties of cortical neurons after alteration of sensory input, finding that excitability is homeostatically regulated to decrease the likelihood and rate of firing in sensory-stimulated cortical areas compared with adjacent sensory-deprived regions. These experiments demonstrate the utility of the fosGFP transgenic mice for a wide variety of applications.

Materials and Methods

Construction of the fosGFP transgene. The fosGFP mice were generated by fusing the c-fos promoter and the c-fos coding region, including exons and introns, to the coding region for enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP), creating a fosGFP C-terminus fusion protein. The 5′ end of the construct is derived from mouse genomic c-fos DNA (gift from T. Curran, St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital, Memphis, TN), beginning from a HindIII site that is located 218 nucleotides from the first cAMP response element (CRE) binding site in the c-fos promoter (~709 nucleotides from the start ATG codon) and including all exon and intron sequences. The gene for EGFP has been fused in frame to the last exon, and the poly(A) tail is derived from the IRES (phosphorylated-internal ribosomal entry site)-EGFP Clontech (Cambridge, UK) vector (Fig. 1). Thus, EGFP expression should follow c-fos activation. GFP expression was verified by CaPO₄ transient transfection of the construct into 293 fibroblasts (data not shown).

Generation of transgenic mice. The linearized construct was excised from the vector and gel purified using the Qiagen II fragment purification system, in which DNA was eluted into microinjection buffer containing 10 mM Tris-Cl and 0.1 mM EDTA, pH 7.4. The DNA solution was filtered through a 0.45 μm spin filter (Millipore, Bedford, MA) and injected at a concentration of 90 ng/μl into fertilized oocytes that were derived from an F₁ DBA×C57BL/6 strain (Stanford Microinjection Facility, Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA). Nine founder lines were recovered.

Genotyping and animal care. Germ-line transmission of the gene in each line was verified using PCR to detect the EGFP transgene. PCR primers were directed against regions of the EGFP transgene and were as follows: EGFP-1, ACTGTAATCGAGTATGGTGGAGGAAGCCGAG; EGFP-2, ACTGCTAGATTACCACAACTGAAATGCTCAG. Of the nine lines, one did not transmit the transgene to offspring. Transgenic mice were maintained as heterozygotes and were crossed multiple generations (two to five) into C57BL/6. Animals were used at 2–12 weeks of age and were maintained in single-sex cages with littermates (up to five per cage). Animal housing was in accordance with National Institutes of Health guidelines. For analysis of fosGFP expression under basal conditions, animals were not subject to any experimental protocol and were killed within 10 min of removal from their home cage with isoflurane anesthesia, followed by decapitation.

Induction of fosGFP expression: sensory stimulation. To verify accurate expression of the transgene, animals were subjected to a single-whisker rearing protocol that is known to induce c-fos expression in the spared whisker barrel in the cortex (Staiger et al., 2002). Briefly, animals were anesthetized with isoflurane, and all but the D1 whisker from the large mystacial whisker pad were unilaterally removed. Animals were then allowed to recover in their home cage for 24 hr. Animals were then killed, and tissue was prepared for slice electrophysiology (see below) or fixed directly in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (PB) for ~12 hr before cryoprotection in 30% sucrose in 0.1 M phosphate buffer and tissue sectioning.

Induction of fosGFP expression: clozapine injection. The atypical antipsychotic clozapine was injected into 4- to 8-week-old fosGFP transgenics (30 mg/kg body weight, i.p.). Animals were killed 2 hr after the injection, and brains were fixed in PFA as described above.

Induction of fosGFP expression: osmotic stimulation. To induce fosGFP expression in subcortical areas, a hypertonic saline stimulus was used to evoke transgene expression in the paraventricular nucleus (PVN) of the hypothalamus. Hypertonic saline (2 M NaCl; 10 μl/gm body weight) was injected intraperitoneally into transgenic mice, followed by water deprivation for a period of 2 hr. Animals were then killed or supplied with water ad libitum for a period of 2–6 hr before being killed to evaluate the persistence of fosGFP fluorescence after cessation of dehydration. Tissue was prepared for slice electrophysiology or fixed as indicated above. The number of fosGFP⁺ cells within a fixed area of the PVN, from a single 50-μm-thick tissue section for each animal, was assessed by two independent observers who were both blind to experimental condition.

Preparation of brain slices for electrophysiology and imaging. Animals were anesthetized with isoflurane, and slices (100–400 μm) were prepared in ice-cold, bicarbonate-buffered solution [artificial CSF (ACSF)] saturated with 95% O₂–5% CO₂ (in mls: 119 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.0 NaH₂PO₄, 1.3 MgCl₂, 2.5 CaCl₂, 26.2 NaHCO₃, and 11 glucose). After preparation of slices, tissue was maintained at room temperature in the same ACSF solution for the duration of the experiment. To avoid GFP bleaching or fluorescence-induced toxicity in experiments in which the same area of brain was imaged repeatedly over a period of hours, the tissue was illuminated for a short period of time, typically ~10 sec, to focus and record the image.

Immunohistochemistry. Tissue was fixed for 2–12 hr at 4°C and then sunk in a 30% sucrose, 0.1 M PB solution over a period of days. Floating sections (50 μm) were prepared, and tissue was rinsed in 0.1 M PB before immunostaining. Sections were then washed in 0.1 M PB with 0.1% Triton X-100 (PBT) for 30 min and blocked in PBT with 5% normal donkey serum (Jackson Immunoresearch, West Grove, PA) for 1 hr. Primary antibody (Ab5 anti-Fos; Oncogene Sciences, Uniondale, NY) was diluted 1:10,000 in blocking solution, and sections were incubated overnight at room temperature in this solution. Sections were washed in three changes of PBT and then incubated in a Cy3-conjugated secondary antibody (Cy3 anti-rabbit; Jackson Immunoresearch) at a 1:500 dilution in blocking solution. Sections were then washed three times in PBT before being mounted in gelvatol on slides.

Imaging and quantification of double-labeled cells. Photographs of living or immunostained tissue were taken with a Retiga 1300 CCD-cooled camera.
camera (Q Imaging; Southern Micro Instruments, Marietta, GA) that was mounted on an Olympus Optical (Melville, NY) BX51W1. Low-magnification pictures were taken using an Olympus Optical XL-II/FLuorX/340 objective with a 0.28 numerical aperture. However, green fluorescent cells were sometimes bright enough to be visible at low magnification (4×) through the eyepiece of the microscope, without signal integration through the camera. For fluorescence quantification, images from D1-only or control cortex were obtained using an Olympus Optical 1X50 inverted microscope that was equipped with a Solamere Technology Group (Salt Lake City, UT) spinning disk confocal system with QED (Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada) software for image acquisition and processing, and pixel intensity was calculated using ImageJ software (NIH Image).

Electrophysiology. Layer II–III neurons in the barrel cortex were targeted for whole-cell recording. Experiments were performed at room temperature (22–24°C) in ACSF, using an internal solution composed of the following: 125 mM potassium glutonate, 10 mM HEPES, 2 mM KCl, 4 mM Mg-ATP, 0.25 mM Na-GTP, 50 µM Alexa-568, and 5 mg/ml biocytin. Resting potential was determined within several minutes after break-in. Threshold for action potential was calculated from a single action potential at rheobase current. This was determined by a 400 msec pulse of increasing current amplitude at 5 pA increments until a single spike was elicited. Subsequently, current pulses at larger amplitudes were administered to examine spike accommodation. Input resistance was calculated by delivering a 20 pA hyperpolarizing pulse that preceded the 400 msec depolarizing current pulse. In general, the experimenter alternated between targeting fosGFP and fosGFP neurons during the course of an experiment, such that data sets for fosGFP and fosGFP neurons were matched.

Results

Characterization of fosGFP transgenic mice
Nine founder lines were obtained from two sets of DNA microinjections. One line did not produce transgenic offspring, and two other lines did not show appreciable levels of fosGFP expression in the brain. Six lines showed more than ~30% transmission of the transgene after multiple backcrosses to C57BL/6 and were further evaluated (Table 1). The transgene was maintained in heterozygotes, and transgenic animals were viable and showed a normal lifespan (~1 year). Of the six lines subjected to additional characterization, expression under basal conditions in multiple brain areas, including hippocampus, cerebellum, olfactory bulb, and neocortex, was examined (data not shown). Transgenic lines differed with respect to basal levels of gene expression in different brain areas. Under basal conditions, little or no fosGFP expression was observed in the cerebellum. Expression in the olfactory bulb was also variable between lines. Hippocampal and neocortical expression was observed in all transgenic lines. Four transgenic lines (1-3, 4-1, 5-1, and 6-1) with slightly different levels of basal expression or transgene inducibility in the CNS were selected for additional analysis.

fosGFP induction in PVN after dehydration
A robust stimulus for c-fos expression is physiological dehydration (Rinaman et al., 1997; Wilson et al., 2002). Transgenic animals were injected with a concentrated saline solution (to induce serum hypertonicity) and also deprived of water, a protocol that activates c-fos expression in the magnocellular and parvocellular neurons of the PVN (Giovannelli et al., 1990). Hypertonic saline injection into fosGFP animals also induced strong GFP fluorescence in neurons of the PVN (Fig. 2). Control animals injected with isotonic PBS showed few, if any, GFP-expressing neurons within these brain areas. Significant dehydration-induced activation of fosGFP expression in the PVN was observed in two transgenic lines, 4-1 and 6-1.

Pharmacological induction of fosGFP
The atypical antipsychotic clozapine has been a successful agent for the treatment of schizophrenia and dementia. Because of its therapeutic relevance, we selected clozapine as a pharmacological agent with which to monitor transgene induction in the fosGFP transgenic mice. Although its mechanism of action in different brain areas is unclear (it has an affinity for dopamine, serotonin, adrenergic, and cholinergic receptors), administration of this drug induces a characteristic pattern of c-fos expression throughout the CNS (Wan et al., 1995). Indeed, a better understanding of how this compound alters neural activity and induces c-fos expression in distinct populations of neurons might improve efforts at developing new therapeutically relevant compounds.

Clozapine administration induced fosGFP-fluorescent neu-
rons in a variety of brain areas that have been shown to express c-fos under these conditions, whereas PBS-injected animals showed little or no signal in the comparable brain areas. In particular, we monitored fosGFP + neurons in prefrontal–cingulate cortex, the lateral septal nucleus, regions of the nucleus accumbens, and the striatum. Two fosGFP transgenic lines, 1-3 and 5-1, showed strong fosGFP induction compared with control PBS-injected animals (Fig. 2C,D, line 5–1).

**fosGFP induction in barrel cortex**

Single-whisker rearing has been shown to induce gene expression in the barrel cortex within a few hours (Rocamora et al., 1996; Barth et al., 2000; Staiger et al., 2000, 2002). This gene expression may proceed by a cAMP response element-binding protein-dependent pathway and may be related to the induction of plasticity in the neocortex (Barth et al., 2000). Preliminary evidence suggested that CRE-mediated gene transcription and c-fos expression occurred in the same subset of cells (A. L. Barth and K. D. Fox, unpublished data), which is not surprising given that the c-fos promoter contains a CRE site. We investigated fosGFP transgene induction after plucking all but one of the large mystacial vibrissae, whisker D1.

To verify that expression was restricted to the spared whisker barrel, some brains were flattened after fixation so that individual barrels could be identified in a tangential plane of section. In these cases, cells in layer IV of the spared barrel were clearly labeled with GFP, whereas cells in adjacent, deprived barrels showed little expression (Fig. 3A,B). The spared barrel was also identifiable in coronal sections of living brain tissue, and, because this preparation enabled us to observe layer-specific patterns of gene activation, it was used for subsequent analysis (Fig. 3C). The greatest number of labeled cells was in layer IV (Fig. 3D). A sub-population of cells in supragranular layers expressed high levels of GFP, brighter than that observed in layer IV (Figs. 3E, 4), and GFP-expressing neurons were also observed in infragranular layers. For both supragranular and infragranular layers, GFP-expressing cells were not precisely restricted to the barrel column, as has also been observed with Fos immunohistochemistry. In general, all four transgenic lines selected for additional analysis showed fosGFP induction in the barrel cortex after single-whisker rearing.

Although fosGFP + cells were observed in both the spared whisker barrel and control cortical tissue, fosGFP + cells after induction were not only more numerous (Fig. 4A) but also brighter than in control, as assessed by determining mean pixel intensity after confocal imaging of fosGFP + and fosGFP − cells from both conditions (Fig. 4B). FosGFP − cells were easily distinguished from fosGFP + cells in fixed tissue by a dark nucleus, surrounded by brighter neuropil. As indicated by the average pixel intensity values shown for the two populations of cells in both the spared barrel and control cortex shown in Figure 4, these cell populations were nonoverlapping.

In some cases, animals were subjected to single-whisker rearing, and whole brains were dissected and maintained in oxygenated ACSF during a brief period of inspection, using a conventional fluorescence microscope. Even under these somewhat rudimentary conditions, a small cluster of fosGFP-expressing cells in the area of S1 could be detected (data not shown), suggesting that these cells may be targeted for in vivo electrophysiological recording.

Figure 3. Sensory-induced expression of fosGFP in living brain tissue. All but a single large facial vibrissa, whisker D1, were removed by plucking from a fosGFP transgenic mouse (line 1-3, line 4-1, or line 5-1), aged 2–4 weeks. The animal was returned to its home cage for 24 hr before brain slices were prepared. A, Fixed and flattened section of cortex from the deprived hemisphere, showing fosGFP fluorescence in cells from layer IV of the spared whisker barrel, D1 (indicated by asterisk). B, Fixed and flattened cortex from the contralateral, unplucked hemisphere showing no fosGFP signal in the barrels. Scale bar, 100 μm. C, Low-magnification view of a coronal section of cortex, showing a single medial barrel that corresponds to the D1 whisker showing strong GFP fluorescence in living tissue. Scale bar, 200 μm. D, High-magnification view of C, with layer IV of the spared barrel in focus. Note the sharp edges delineated by fosGFP expression, corresponding to the margins of the spared barrel. E, High-magnification view of C, with layer II–III of the spared barrel in focus. Scale bar: B, C, 100 μm.

**Immunohistochemistry**

To compare expression of the fosGFP transgene with expression of the endogenous Fos protein, we performed Fos immunohistochemistry using a Fos-specific antibody that does not cross-react with other Fos-related antigens (FRAs) on tissue sections from the PVN of dehydrated wild-type littermates. Antibody titer was adjusted to show comparable signal from immunoreactive (IR) and fosGFP-fluorescent nuclei to provide a more accurate basis for comparison between these two signals, because high antibody titer is likely to provide an overestimate of Fos-IR nuclei attributable to signal amplification.

In this case, the number of Fos-IR nuclei in the PVN of wild-type littermates immediately after 2 hr of dehydration was 60.7 ±
One possible explanation for the lower number of fosGFP + compared with Fos-IR cells may be delayed GFP fluorescence after translation. It is well known that maturation of the GFP protein is necessary to achieve its fluorescent properties (Tsien, 1998) and that this process can take several hours to occur after translation. Thus, there may be a lag between peak GFP fluorescence and Fos immunoreactivity, depending on the amount of fosGFP expression after stimulus. Indeed, in experiments in which we used a primary anti-GFP antibody and a Cy3-labeled secondary, we observed GFP-immunoreactive (Cy3) cells that were not green, supporting the notion that intrinsic GFP fluorescence may be delayed with respect to translation of the fosGFP protein (data not shown). In these cases, immunoreactivity was distributed throughout the cell body, as would be expected for an unfolded protein before nuclear import. However, regulated degradation of the fosGFP transgene may not require correct folding and may proceed in the absence of intrinsic fosGFP fluorescence.

**In vivo time course of fosGFP fluorescence**

To determine the duration of fosGFP fluorescence in single cells *in vivo*, we examined the persistence of fosGFP fluorescence in the PVN, induced by dehydration, for a period of hours after rehydration of water. In previous experiments, in immunohistochemistry using an antibody specific for the Fos protein and not other FRAs, the number of Fos-IR nuclei using a Fos-specific monoclonal antibody is maximal 1–2 hr after saline injection and declines to baseline levels by 4–8 hr after injection (Sharp et al., 1991). In other examples of Fos protein longevity, the half-life of the protein after induction has been found to be at least 2 hr (Muller et al., 1984; Curran and Morgan, 1986), although it can be as short as 30 min in some cell lines (Curran et al., 1984).

The number of fosGFP-fluorescent cells within the PVN was calculated from animals killed at 0–6 hr after rehydration of water *ad libitum* (Fig. 5). The rate of decline to baseline values in the number of fosGFP-fluorescent nuclei in the PVN, as assessed by calculation of the number of fluorescent nuclei at 0, 2, 4, and 6 hr after resolution of the dehydration stimulus (*n* = 3–6 transgenic animals per time point), was similar to that observed previously in the PVN (Sharp et al., 1991), in which there were no detectable Fos-IR cells in the PVN after 6–8 hr after dehydration.

**fosGFP fluorescence ex vivo**

Patterns of gene expression in living tissue were identical to what we observed in fixed tissue. However, we were concerned that ischemic and mechanical trauma during brain dissection and

---

Figure 4. Sensory stimulation increases both the number and intensity of fosGFP + cells in barrel cortex. *A*, Quantitation of the number of fosGFP + cells in control versus the spared whisker barrel in layer IV and layer II–III. *B*, Average pixel intensity of labeled cells increases after single-whisker stimulation. Error bars in *A* and *B* represent SE. *C*, Example of labeled nuclei (arrow) and unlabeled cells (chevron) from layer II–III of D1-only cortex. *D*, The same as *C* but in contralateral unplucked cortex from the same animal. Scale bar, 20 μm.

3.3 (*n* = 3) versus 7.5 ± 1.3 (*n* = 2) for control, PBS-injected animals. In fosGFP transgenics (line 4–1), the number of fosGFP + cells in the PVN after dehydration was 43 ± 2.7 (*n* = 3) versus 4.3 ± 1.4 (*n* = 3) for control, PBS-injected animals. Thus, although the number of Fos-IR cells in wild-type animals was higher than the number of fosGFP + cells in fosGFP transgenics, we found that these numbers were approximately comparable. In this way, the fosGFP mice may be useful as a surrogate for Fos immunohistochemistry, providing a high-throughput platform for *in vivo* pharmacology.

One important feature of this comparison was developing a protocol for Fos immunohistochemistry that used an appropriate antibody titer, such that antibody amplification of signal did not confound cells expressing low levels of Fos protein with those expressing high levels of protein. Under these conditions, the number of Fos-IR and fosGFP + neurons was similar. Thus, it is likely that fosGFP fluorescent neurons represent those cells that express the highest levels of c-fos and that cells expressing lower levels of the fusion protein do not produce visible levels of fluorescence.
slice preparation might activate fosGFP expression over time. This might impede efforts to electrophysiologically identify neurons specifically activated by in vivo stimuli. To examine this possibility, brain slices from fosGFP mice were prepared and examined for several hours after slice preparation (Fig. 6). Areas of analysis included the barrel cortex, the hippocampus, and the olfactory cortex. In general, the field of view included ~50–250 cells. During a period of 2–3 hr in the slice recording chamber, the number and intensity of GFP-fluorescent cells decreased. In no case did we observe unambiguous detection of new GFP-fluorescent cells during this time period. GFP-expressing cells, however, could be quite long-lived within a brain slice and, on some occasions, could be observed up to 9 hr after slicing (data not shown).

As an additional control, we vibratome-sectioned living brains from fosGFP transgenics and their wild-type littermates and fixed slices 0–3 hr after cutting. Fos immunoreactivity was determined (in the case of wild-type samples) or fosGFP fluorescence was examined at each time point. We observed no additional fosGFP expression and only scattered Fos-IR cells at all time points within this period (data not shown). On the basis of these results, we are confident that tissue preparation does not induce significant fosGFP fluorescence in the transgenic lines we examined.

Of particular interest was the longevity of GFP fluorescence in a brain area in which c-fos expression had been induced in vivo. To address this issue, brain slices from single-whisker reared animals were prepared, and the spared whisker barrel was identified and imaged repeatedly over a period of several hours. Initially, the highest concentration of GFP-fluorescent cells was in layer IV of the spared barrel, with a scattering of bright cells in supragranular and infragranular layers. The number of identifiable GFP-fluorescent cells decreased over time, such that, after several hours, the number of fosGFP-fluorescent cells had nearly disappeared in layer IV, although many cells were still visible in deep and superficial layers of the spared barrel. Because of this, we restricted the period of whole-cell recording to 3–4 hr after the animals were killed.

Experience-dependent changes in neuronal firing properties
The above data indicate that the fosGFP mice may be particularly useful for identifying brain areas with a history of recent activity. We used these transgenic mice to investigate activity-dependent changes in the dynamic membrane properties of layer II–III neurons in primary somatosensory cortex after alteration of sensory input. FosGFP transgenic mice were subjected to unilateral removal of all but a single large facial vibrissa, whisker D1, for a period of 20–24 hr. Brain slices from these animals were prepared, and the spared D1 barrel was identified on the basis of activation of fosGFP expression.

Neurons from three regions, the “spared” D1 barrel, adjacent “deprived” barrels corresponding to areas in which whisker input had been removed, and “control” barrels from the unplucked, sensory-spared hemisphere, were then targeted for whole-cell recording.

Figure 6. Preparation of brain slices does not induce fosGFP expression. Brain slices from a control fosGFP transgenic animal were made and examined shortly after tissue preparation. In all panels, t = 0 is time of decapitation, and brains were in ice-cold saline for the first 20–30 min during dissection and slice preparation. A–D, A region of cortex was imaged at multiple time points. E, F, Images from early time points were color-coded red and overlaid on images from later time points that were color-coded green. In this case, cells whose fluorescence disappeared would appear red, and cells in which new fosGFP expression was induced would appear green. No new fosGFP-expressing (green) cells arose in the field examined. E, Image from t = 40 min (red) was merged with an image from t = 60 min (green). The snapshot from t = 40 was used for the merged picture because of slight differences in the distance between labeled cells resulting from spreading of the tissue after being positioned in the observation chamber. F, gray line is a regression for these points (r^2 = 0.78) showing a high correlation between incubation time and decrease in fluorescence intensity. Error bars represent SE.
current-clamp recording. FosGFP+ and fosGFP− cells were identified before recording, and intracellular recording solution contained the red fluorescent dye Alexa 568 to verify whether cells were fosGFP+ or fosGFP− after the recording period. FosGFP-expressing cells were viable and displayed normal action potentials and glutamatergic synaptic inputs. Response properties of neurons to subthreshold, threshold, and suprathreshold current steps were assessed to determine the minimum current necessary to elicit an action potential. Averaged across all conditions (spared, deprived, and control), no consistent differences in input resistance, resting potential, threshold, or rheobase current between fosGFP+ and fosGFP− cells were observed (Table 2). Thus, it seems unlikely that the expression of fosGFP necessarily confers or indicates significant alterations in these membrane properties.

However, comparison of these properties between neurons from spared, deprived, and control barrels showed that neurons in spared versus deprived barrels showed a significant alteration in the threshold for action potential generation (Fig. 7), although resting potential was not changed. These differences were observed in both fosGFP+ and fosGFP− cells in spared versus deprived samples, but the significance was greater when fosGFP+ and fosGFP− cells from each condition were pooled (p < 0.05). Input resistance and rheobase current were not significantly different between neurons in spared and deprived cortical areas (Table 3).

Modulation of global activity levels in cultured hippocampal neurons can regulate the firing frequency of neurons, such that, during activity blockade, neurons become more excitable, and, when activity is enhanced, neurons become less excitable (Desai et al., 1999). It is unknown whether these changes also occur in the course of use-dependent changes in sensory input during in vivo experience because pharmacological modulation of activity is a much stronger and highly unnatural stimulus.

To address this issue, we took advantage of the disparity in activity between neurons in sensory-stimulated or sensory-deprived cortical areas. The amount of spike accommodation during a depolarizing current pulse was determined from neurons in spared and deprived barrels. Calculation of the first and third interspike interval (ISI) (for trains with a total of 8–10 spikes in a single pulse) showed that the ratio of the third to first ISI was greater in spared barrels, indicating that these neurons showed increased spike accommodation than neurons in deprived barrels (Fig. 8). Thus, these data show that an imbalance in sensory input is sufficient to drive homeostatic changes in cortical neuron firing rates, reflected by an increase in threshold for action potential and a decreased firing frequency in spared sensory areas. These experiments use the fosGFP mice to identify precisely regions of spared and deprived sensory cortex and to show that in vivo experience can regulate rapidly the dynamic membrane properties of cortical neurons.

Table 2. Properties of fosGFP+ and fosGFP− neurons averaged across all conditions are similar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean ± 5D</th>
<th>Vrest (mV)</th>
<th>Vth (mV)</th>
<th>Rheobase (pA)</th>
<th>Rinput (MΩ)</th>
<th>Age (PND)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>fosGFP+</td>
<td>−66.2 ± 7.6</td>
<td>−36.2 ± 6.7</td>
<td>95.4 ± 46.8</td>
<td>277 ± 102</td>
<td>16.2 ± 3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n = 29</td>
<td>n = 26</td>
<td>n = 26</td>
<td>n = 22</td>
<td>n = 23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fosGFP−</td>
<td>−65.1 ± 7.9</td>
<td>−33.6 ± 7.9</td>
<td>86.7 ± 39.6</td>
<td>317 ± 132</td>
<td>16.7 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n = 39</td>
<td>n = 37</td>
<td>n = 36</td>
<td>n = 26</td>
<td>n = 33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p value</td>
<td>p = 0.34</td>
<td>p = 0.19</td>
<td>p = 0.43</td>
<td>p = 0.25</td>
<td>p = 0.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PND, Postnatal day, for which PO is the day of birth.

On the basis of our data, we conclude that fosGFP expression reproduces results from Fos immunohistochemistry in physiological, pharmacological, and sensory-stimulation assays. FosGFP expression induced in vivo could be monitored in brain slices from experimental animals. Finally, fosGFP expression allowed the identification of cortical areas with a history of recent activity, and cells within sensory-spared cortical areas displayed alterations in excitability that were consistent with homeostatic regulation of dynamic membrane currents. Together, these data demonstrate the validity of the fosGFP transgenic mouse for future investigations of the cellular and molecular basis of in vivo brain function.

Transgenic mouse development

Production of visually detectable fluorescence in living cells, without antibody amplification, was an important goal of this project. Because these transgenic animals carry multiple copies of the fosGFP transgene, the fluorescence signal is boosted well within the range of detection. Indeed, in several transgenic lines that we examined, fosGFP+ cells were bright enough to be visualized even at low magnification.

What are the consequences of introducing multiple copies of a fosGFP fusion gene into the genome? If the protein product is nonfunctional, it might act as a dominant negative, especially if it can dimerize with other proteins to form a nonfunctional AP-1 complex. In this case, transgenic mice might display a phenotype that is similar to the fos knock-out (Johnson et al., 1992; Wang et al., 1992), with male infertility, bone defects, and increased mortality at weaning. These phenotypes were not observed in the fosGFP mice.

If, however, the fosGFP fusion protein is functional, transgenic mice might show increased induction of downstream genes. Although this is not a simple issue to resolve, we think that it is unlikely to be the case for the following reasons: (1) brain structures were morphologically normal; (2) by simple measurements, fosGFP+ pyramidal cells were electrophysiologically indistinguishable from pyramidal cells from wild-type animals; (3) despite the presence of ≥10 copies of the transgene in various lines of the fosGFP+ mice, protein levels of fosGFP in the hippocampus after seizure induction were nearly identical to that of Fos in both transgenic and wild-type animals, indicating at most a twofold upregulation of total Fos plus fosGFP protein expression (D. Beil and A. L. Barth, unpublished data); (4) performance in hippocampal-dependent c-fos-activating learning tasks were behaviorally indistinguishable between fosGFP and wild-type littermates (A. Rising and A. L. Barth, unpublished data); and (5) within the spared whisker barrel, this altered firing phenotype was observed in both fosGFP+ and fosGFP− cells, indicating that transgene expression did not predict firing phenotype.

Although transgene silencing, a problem common in other transgenic mouse strains (Barth et al., 2000), was observed in some of the fosGFP lines, the lines that we characterized showed both typical Mendelian inheritance over multiple generations, as well as reproducible expression in the CNS. Thus, transgene ex-
Activity-dependent changes in neuronal firing properties

We used the fosGFP transgenic mice to follow experience-dependent changes in the dynamic membrane properties of cortical neurons after inducing an imbalance in sensory input via whisker plucking. Our results show, by two separate measures, that neurons rapidly adjust their firing properties to alterations in sensory input, such that neuron in sensory-deprived areas become more excitable and neurons in adjacent sensory-spared areas become less excitable. Even small increases in threshold can have a profound influence on the excitability of a cell, because the decrease in driving force at higher thresholds will nonlinearly affect the ability of individual synaptic inputs to fire a cell. Be-

pression is not lethal and can be maintained stably over many generations of backcrossing. In addition, fosGFP expression is observed in a wide variety of brain areas, from cortex and hippocampus to the hypothalamus and striatum. Levels of transgene activation varied among lines; for example, two lines that showed excellent fosGFP induction after clozapine injection (1-3 and 5-1) showed poor induction in the PVN after dehydration. The reverse was observed in lines 4-1 and 6-1. In general, however, expression in the neocortex was good in all lines examined.

Inducibility of fosGFP transgene

The three assays we used for fosGFP induction demonstrated precise and reproducible patterns of fosGFP expression. In individual transgenic lines under particular stimulation conditions, induced fosGFP expression showed good correspondence with results from Fos immunohistochemistry.

The longevity of fosGFP-fluorescent signal from expressing cells was similar to that which has been observed in wild-type animals, in which signal in the PVN after dehydration declined to baseline values after 6–8 hr. This is in accord with the what has been shown for the Fos protein as determined by Fos immunoreactivity in this area (Sharp et al., 1990), although it is slightly longer than what has been described for Fos, using other methods (Curran and Morgan, 1986).

When the persistence of fosGFP-fluorescent nuclei was examined in ex vivo brain slices, we found that the signal from activated cells was greatly reduced within the first 2–3 hr. Because slices were maintained at ambient temperatures that may be likely to decrease Fos protein turnover, this provides additional evidence that the dynamics of fosGFP protein levels are within the same range as that of the endogenous Fos.

Slice recording from neurons activated by in vivo manipulation

In at least three different assays, fosGFP expression could be induced in vivo and fluorescent cells could be detected in the predicted brain area in living brain slices. Transgene expression did not appear to compromise cell viability. Our data show that regionally specific fosGFP fluorescence in a single whisker barrel after sensory stimulation, as well as in the PVN after dehydration, could easily be detected in brain slices and was not confounded by nonspecific transgene expression throughout the tissue, induced by tissue trauma during slice preparation.

Indeed, in control experiments in which we looked at induction of fosGFP fluorescence in transgenic animals or Fos immunoreactivity in wild-type littermates after vibratome preparation of tissue, we saw little, if any, Fos immunoreactivity or fosGFP fluorescence (Fig. 5 and data not shown). Other investigators have shown that c-fos mRNA is induced after slice preparation (Taubenfeld et al., 2002) but that this is uncoupled from translational. Thus, the amount of protein produced is low, and protein levels are not sufficient to overcome tissue autofluorescence and remain undetectable. Together, these data indicate the utility of the fosGFP transgenic mice for identification and characterization of neuronal subsets activated in vivo, using whole-cell recording in brain slices.
cause these changes were observed after subtle imbalances in sensory input, it is likely that the activity-dependent changes that we observed are a general feature of cortical neurons and take place during normal experience. These data demonstrate that the often observed heterogeneity of cortical neuron firing patterns may be an indicator of the recent history of activity of that neuron.

Both the increase in threshold and spike accommodation in spared versus deprived barrel neurons reflect homeostatic changes in membrane conductances that may act to reset neuronal firing range to an optimum level for sensory transmission and discrimination and may each have a distinct or related molecular basis. This rapid regulation of firing frequency has not been observed after in vivo experience and raises intriguing questions about the impact of synapse-specific strengthening in the face of homeostatic regulation of firing frequency and synaptic scaling (Desai et al., 2002; Takahashi et al., 2003).

Applications of fosGFP transgenic mice
The fosGFP mice represent a technological and conceptual advance in the relationship between the systems of neuroscience and cellular electrophysiology. We anticipate that this tool, and others like it, that use intrinsic fluorescent proteins under the control of activity-dependent promoters will rapidly become required for the cellular analysis of brain function. Characterization of fosGFP-expressing neurons can be used to understand the cellular networks that underlie learning and memory for discrete tasks (Giovannelli et al., 1992; Sakata et al., 2002; Staiger et al., 2002). Because specific synapses onto activated cells can be examined for evidence of learning-induced modifications, it is now possible to rigorously test how mechanisms such as long-term potentiation, depression, and homeostasis occur during in vivo experience. Additionally, anatomical modifications in the subpopulation of activated cells after in vivo experience can be characterized. Finally, it may be possible to target fosGFP-expressing neurons in vivo, using two-photon imaging to visualize activated cells.

The fosGFP transgenic mice have important applications in pharmacology because they enable the precise characterization of neurons whose activity has been modulated by drug exposure. Using the fosGFP mice, it will now be possible to better understand the direct or indirect mechanism of action for a variety of drugs on dynamic membrane properties and potentially to design better agents to affect important subsets of cells in the brain and other areas of the body, such as the immune system.

Although our understanding of how different brain areas contribute to CNS function has improved vastly over the past few decades, the resolution of these studies has been rather coarse in that individual subtypes of neurons have been implicated only rarely. Given the wide diversity of neurons within individual brain areas, such as the neocortex, it is likely that the anatomical and molecular diversity of neurons underlies functional divisions that are used for sensory perception, learning, and memory (Barth, 2002). The ability to characterize the cellular and synaptic properties of neurons that are activated during in vivo experience is an important step forward in understanding how the brain works.
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